

From: Jan

To: "chris oriley" <chris.oriley@bchydro.com>

Cc: "maureen daschuk" <maureen.daschuk@bchydro.com>, "janet fraser" <janet.fraser@bchydro.com>, "al leonard" <al.leonard@bchydro.com>, "charlotte mitha" <charlotte.mitha@bchydro.com>, "kirsten peck" <kirsten.peck@bchydro.com>, "david wong" <david.wong@bchydro.com>, "doug allen" <doug.allen@bchydro.com>, "aida sarroca" <aida.sarroca@bchydro.com>, legal@bchydro.com, "commission secretary" <commission.secretary@bcuc.com>, "Complaints BCUC" <complaints@bcuc.com>, "adrian dix mla" <adrian.dix.mla@leg.bc.ca>, bcauditor@bcauditor.com, "mem minister" <mem.minister@gov.bc.ca>, "Office PREM OfficeofthePremier, EX" <premier@gov.bc.ca>, "adrian dix mla" <adrian.dix.mla@leg.bc.ca>, oppositionleader@leg.bc.ca, "patrick wruck" <patrick.wruck@bcuc.com>

Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:37:52 AM

Subject: Attention Chris O'Riley, et al.

You all are aware of BC Hydro cutting off vital life services for the 88 year old "customer", this is the 2nd attempt to kill her, she is already handicapped and bed ridden by the past actions of disconnection in the winter of February 2017.

Were public utility services terminated for non-payment? No [notwithstanding the new punishment of "failure to install extortion"] . Were they terminated for late payment? No. None of these valid reasons are the cause of terminating a required public utility service to an elderly woman, but on the unethical pretense of allegedly some sort of obligation to a smart meter, which has yet to be provided for over a decade.

But because BCHydro seeks to install by force a dangerous and unsafe "smart plastic, programable/hackable/fire starting meter" upon the physical structure of a private dwelling instead of installing it within their easement, on their pole which is lawful and accessible to them, they have chosen to deny a lifelong customer service to force compliance of their wishes and ignoring her basic life needs.

This is is not only unethical, immoral, and unprincipled, but it is mafia-like tactics done in bad faith, with illwill and unclean hands, it is even wilfull and negligent. The act of terminating life sustaining service is criminal behavior by BC Hydro and its employees condoned by CEO and other managers.

If I did what BC Hydro did to an elderly lady, a customer in good standing since 1970's would i be considered a criminal, be arrested, and subject to trial and punishment? Same goes for your premeditated unethical actions.

The smart meter program has been fought by hundreds of thousands of us Since 2012, we were told we would be not forced into this ponzi scheme and to keep using our analogue meters ,then BC Utilities Commission agreed with you to extort the "non compliant" and to be forced into paying \$32.40 a month. This fee was granted by them after BC Hydro made excuses how the fired meter persons had to be rehired, notwithstanding that was already part of the "service" without extra extortion charges.

Through a Freedom of Information Act, approximately 50,000 plus smart meters were being read manually and not being charged. When asked why those living in the same area who had kept their analogue meters were being charged for the same service, the answer was because they had "not accepted the smart meter", therefore punishing and penalizing those that wanted to keep SAFE analogue meter that worked flawlessly for decades. Is this not discrimination? yes it is.

BCUC was forbidden to get involved with any aspect of the smart meter program except rates and fees, and ignored the SAFETY problems. These fees were approved by the BCUC based on incorrect, inaccurate, and deliberately misleading information, and or paid under the table to just ignore all the SAFETY problems with non CSA non certified safe meters.

In December 2013 when the "meter choice" [where there was no real choice] program was implemented, schemed up calling it the "the opt out" was introduced, 250,000 homes were not wanting to have dangerous smart meters placed on their SAFE houses or properties. WHAT happened to the \$65/billing since then? who pocketed that unjust enrichment?
 $250,000 \times 65\$ \times 6$ for one year times almost 9 years = \$ 877,500,000 , the high end, I ask again what happened to that? who benefited? who pocketed that? why is this not in your taxation report?

What reason, without just merit, could there be to cut off life dependent services to a loyal 88 year old fragile customer? Retaliatory use of power to exercise undue force. We agreed to pay the "legacy charge" extortion in our application for Injunction against BC Hydro, in their first attempt to murder their own "customer" was to be LEFT ALONE and NOT TO BE FORCED into a dangerous plastic junk smart meter. There is no excuse to do what you are doing, period. All of you should be ashamed every time you cash your cheque, stealing/extortion from "customers", and taking advantage of them knowing you will have the Corporate shield and lawyers/courts will help defend you.

google search smart meter fires About 70,200,000 results (0.63 seconds)

misfeasance

noun Law.

A wrong, actual or alleged, arising from or consisting of affirmative action. the wrongful performance of a normally lawful act; the wrongful and injurious exercise of lawful authority. [Doing a proper act in a wrongful or injurious manner]

nonfeasance

The omission of some act that ought to have been performed.

A failure to act when under an obligation to do so; a refusal (without sufficient excuse) to do that which it is your legal duty to do.

General Legal Obligations of the Board of Directors

The Board is responsible for ...The basic legal duties are imposed at common law.

Directors are under a fiduciary duty to BC Hydro to carry out the duties of their office:

>>> Honestly and in good faith...With the care, diligence, and skill of a reasonably prudent person. <<<<

SMART METER FIRES: Fatalities & Liability

- Another 100 smart meters simultaneously explode (Capitola, CA – May 2015)
 - Hundreds of smart meters simultaneously explode (Stockton, CA – April 2015)
 - Smart meter fire kills 74-year old man in Dallas, Texas (February 2015)
 - Man dies in “smart” meter fire (Vacaville, CA – July 2013)
 - Fatal fire, smart meter suspected: “Be very aware, very vigilant” says Fire Chief (Reno, NV – Sept 2014)
 - Couple escapes house fire, dogs killed: smart meter blamed (Detroit, MI – October 2014)
 - SaskPower to replace 105,000 faulty “smart” meters (Saskatchewan, CAN – July 2014)
 - SaskPower CEO resigns following investigation into smart meter “catastrophe” (October 2014)
 - PGE to replace 70,000 faulty “smart” meters (Portland, OR – July 2014)
 - Lakeland Electric to replace over 10,000 faulty “smart” meters (Lakeland, FL – August 2014)
 - Are tens of thousands of defective “smart” meters being stealthily replaced in Arizona? (Sept 2014)
 - PECO replaces 186,000 faulty “smart” meters (Philadelphia, PA – October 2012)
 - News & articles on fires – Take Back Your Power
 - Archive of hundreds of documented “smart” meter fires – EMF Safety Network
- Why are meters exploding off houses by the 100’s at a time? Why are fires happening no matter where smart/digital meters are being installed?

This new video (removed by Youtube) explains in simple terms these new revelations from the insiders, as well as new court documentation and other insights.

The whistleblowers (wishing to remain anonymous) who contacted us have serviced and repaired over 200,000 meters in the field. They have been warning their supervisors about 'smart' meter problems for nearly a decade now. They have had enough of the lies and want you to know what they know. Arcing in 'smart' meters causes extreme heat, which causes fires. As we now see, it is beyond any shadow of a doubt that meter manufacturers know, utilities know, and regulators know. They have known the whole time,...

In this investigation the focus on two of the worst offenders, BC Hydro and PG&E. However, as this is a systemic problem regardless of meter type, we feature fire incidents from Texas, Ontario, Saskatchewan, Pennsylvania, Illinois and more.

Of note, for those that have been following the BC Freedom process to keep your safe analog meter, you can see how BC Hydro, the BCUC, and government (including Measurement Canada) are now all implicated in collusion to attempt to remove your choice to keep your analog meter.

People like Larry Nikkel, James Humphrey, Michelle Sherman, and very likely this family of five — have all died from 'smart' meter fires . Utilities and pocket-lining officials see the harm to your property, biological health and basic rights merely as collateral damage on their way to profits from data. According to the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC), this 'smart' meter data will be "a lot more" valuable than the electricity itself. In case you were wondering, the electricity market globally is \$2.2 Trillion USD.

3.11.1 General

1) The electrical system is to be designed to comply the latest edition of all applicable

Federal, Provincial and local Municipal codes including but not limited to:

- National Building Code
- I.E.S. (Illuminating Engineering Society)
- CSA
- CEC (Canadian Electrical Code Part 1)

[meter not CSA approved? safety safety, unless its a plastic smart meter.]

Standard of Products

1) All products and materials used shall be new and be CSA certified. Where there is no alternative to supplying equipment which is not CSA certified, the Proponent is to obtain special approval from the British Columbia Electrical Inspection Department.

Where required, the products and materials shall have CSA/ULC labels.

Complete installation to be carried out in accordance with CSA C22.1, B.C. Building Code, B.C. Electrical Safety Branch amendments. Identification of Equipment

1) Products required having CSA, ULC, or other approval shall be properly identified or labelled indicating that the product has been approved.

I trust you all will weigh the seriousness of the criminal activities of BC Hydro and its employees.

Sincerely Jan , PER: Kata the "customer"

On Tuesday, October 19, 2021, 11:17:46 p.m. PDT, Jan wrote:

Mr. Chris O'Riley and all executives, you obviously would rather kill you 88 year old customer then to act in good faith and clean hands.

I am including the registered mail you all have now received, and are aware of the acts you all are vicariously and severaly liable of malfeasance, surmounting to depraved heart murder. You are obviously aware the 88 year old, your "dear customer" will catch pneumonia and will die because of your willful and unethical, immoral wrong doing. You know what you do, and you do not even care, but for the money,

"It is difficult to get a man to understand something, when his salary depends on his not understanding it."

— Upton Sinclair, Candidate for Governor.

malfeasance

mäl-fē'zəns

noun

Misconduct or wrongdoing, especially by a public official.

Evil-doing; the doing of that which ought not to be done; wrongful conduct, especially official misconduct; violation of a public trust or obligation; specifically, the doing of an act which is positively unlawful or wrongful, in contradistinction to misfeasance, or the doing of a lawful act in a wrongful manner. The term is often inappropriately used instead of misfeasance.

The doing of an act which a person ought not to do; evil conduct; an illegal deed.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=INt4KoPCa4c>

ATC and BChydra interaction youtube. where mr Silver is complaining of "privacy", will be reuploaded to other non sensing platforms.

showing how BCHydro sends others to do their dirty work, to force installation of a known dangerous device, or be forcibly disconnected.

Depraved Heart Murder Definition:

Where an individual under circumstances evincing a depraved indifference to human life, recklessly engaged in conduct which created a grave risk of death to another person, and thereby caused the death of another person.

Also known as depraved-mind murder.

In *Robinson v State*, Justice Adkins of the Court of Appeals of Maryland relied on this extract of extant jurisprudence:

"Depraved heart murder is the form of murder that establishes that the wilful doing of a dangerous and reckless act with wanton indifference to the consequences and perils involved, is just as blameworthy, and just as worthy of punishment, when the harmful result ensues, as is the express intent to kill itself. This highly blameworthy state of mind is not one of mere negligence. It is not merely one even of gross criminal negligence. It involves rather the deliberate perpetration of a knowingly dangerous act with reckless and wanton unconcern and indifference as to whether anyone is harmed or not. The common law treats such a state of mind as just as blameworthy, just as anti-social and, therefore, just as truly murderous as the specific intents to kill and to harm."

In *Windham*, Justice Prather of the Supreme Court of Mississippi adopted these words:

"The killing of a human being without the authority of law by any means or in any manner shall be murder ... when done in the commission of an act eminently dangerous to others and evincing a depraved heart, regardless of human life, although without any premeditated design to effect the death of any particular individual....[D]epraved-heart murder involves a higher degree of recklessness from which malice or deliberate design may be implied..."

"Under the traditional view, death which resulted from a reckless act directed toward a particular individual would not be deemed to be within the scope of depraved-heart murder statutes. To constitute depraved-heart murder, the act must have manifested a reckless indifference to human life in general. For example, an unjustified shooting at a passing train or into a house, which generally poses a risk to a group of individuals and which results in death, is a familiar example of traditional depraved-heart murder (eg. shooting into a room; shooting into caboose of passing train).

"The traditional view has since evolved. An act which poses a risk to only one individual and which results in that individual's death may also be deemed depraved-heart murder. For example, death which resulted from a beating has been deemed to be within the scope of depraved-heart murder statutes. "

In *People v Register*, Justice Simons of the New York Court of Appeals wrote:

"(A) depraved mind murder ... conviction must be supported by evidence that defendant under circumstances evincing a depraved indifference to human life recklessly engaged in conduct which created a grave risk of death to another person, and thereby caused the death of another person. A person acts recklessly when he is aware of and consciously disregards a substantial and unjustifiable risk, but to bring defendant's conduct within the murder statute, the People were required to establish also that defendant's act was imminently dangerous and presented a very high risk of death to others and that it was committed under circumstances which evidenced a wanton indifference to human life or a depravity of mind. The crime differs from intentional murder in that it results not from a specific, conscious intent to cause death, but from an indifference to or disregard of the risks attending defendant's conduct."

In *Substantive Criminal Law*, the authors described depraved-heart murder as:

"Extremely negligent conduct, which creates what a reasonable man would realize to be not only an unjustifiable but also a very high degree of risk of death or serious bodily injury to another or to others - though unaccompanied by any intent to kill or do serious bodily injury - and which actually causes the death of another, may constitute murder."

REFERENCES:

LaFare, Wayne and Scott, Austin, *Substantive Criminal Law*, Vol. 2, (St. Paul, Minn.: West Publishing Co., 1986), §7.4, pp. 199-200

People v Register, 60 NY 2d 270 (1983)

Robinson v State, 517 A. 2d 94 (1986)

R. v. Martineau, [1990] 2 S.C.R. 633

Windham v State, *Windham v. State*, 602 So. 2d 798 (1992)