

RF Radiation: Damage to Trees, Wildlife, Plants

Following is a sample of the hundreds of published scientific research studies and reviews showing adverse impact of RF radiation on wildlife, trees, and plants (both residential/commercial, and natural habitat):

A. The following document contains links to **over 300 published studies on the significantly damaging effects of EMR on wildlife, trees, plants**, completed by Physicians for Safe Technology:

<https://mdsafetech.org/environmental-and-wildlife-effects/>

B. State of New Hampshire Commission Recommends 15 Measures to Protect **the Living Environment** and Public from 5G radiation:

Excerpt:

“Fifth generation, or 5G, wireless technology is intended to greatly increase device capability and connectivity but also may pose significant risks to humans, **animals, and the environment** due to increased radiofrequency exposure. The purpose of the study is to examine the advantages and risks associated with 5G technology, with a focus on its environmental impact and potential health effects...”

The NH Commission, introduced in Jan. 2019 in HB 522, concluded, in part:

“The Commission heard from ten recognized experts in the fields of physics, epidemiology, toxicology, and public policy and they note that all but the Telecommunications representative expert acknowledged the vast body of peer reviewed science showing harm to animals, insects, vegetation and humans, with children being highly vulnerable. The push for 5G is for a presumed need, with “assurances by federal regulatory agencies that 5G technology is not harmful.” This safety is now called into question as more people are using devices for longer periods of time and closer to the body. More cell towers are being deployed in cities with an expected 800,000 cell towers ultimately placed adjacent to homes, schools and businesses. Space satellites will connect with these towers and billions of Internet of Things devices resulting in a dense layered blanket of RF radiation to the planet.”

<https://mdsafetech.org/2020/11/17/new-hampshire-commission-studies-5g-technology-health-and-environment-effects/>

The Commission Report: <http://www.gencourt.state.nh.us/statstudcomm/committees/1474/reports/5G%20final%20report.pdf>

C. “Wireless Silent Spring” by Dr. Cindy Russell: A ten-page report on adverse impact to insects and wildlife by RF Radiation, with 49 referenced published scientific papers:

https://mdsafetech.files.wordpress.com/2018/11/wireless-silentspring_sccma-oct-2-2018.pdf

D. “Research Studies on Impacts to the Environment from Wireless: Trees, Plants, Pollinators, Birds, and Wildlife” (including 25 scientific references)

<https://ehtrust.org/research-studies-on-impacts-to-the-environment-from-wireless-trees-plants-pollinators-birds-and-wildlife/>

E. “Statement by Wildlife Biologist Alfonso Balmori, on the FDA Review of Cell Phone Radiation”:

<https://ehtrust.org/26684-2/>

F. “Bees-Butterflies-Wildlife Research: Electromagnetic Fields and the Environment”

Including references to numerous published studies, and two expert videos:

<https://ehtrust.org/science/bees-butterflies-wildlife-research-electromagnetic-fields-environment/>

G. “Memorandum on the Bird and Wildlife Impacts of Non-Ionizing Radiation by Albert M. Manville, PhD, Former U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Senior Biologist”

<https://ehtrust.org/memorandum-bird-wildlife-impacts-non-ionizing-radiation-albert-m-manville-ph-d-former-u-s-fish-wildlife-service-senior-biologist/>

H. NABU Insect Research Review (190 studies)

<https://phys.org/news/2020-09-mobile-insects-german.html>

I. “Effects of Wireless Radiation on Birds and Other Wildlife”

<https://www.saferemr.com/2016/07/effects-of-wireless-radiation-on-birds.html>

Radiofrequency radiation injures trees around mobile phone base stations:

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27552133/>

Electromagnetic pollution from phone masts. Effects on wildlife:

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0928468009000030>

Exposure of Insects to Radio-Frequency Electromagnetic Fields from 2 to 120 GHz:

<https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-018-22271-3>

“Insect Apocalypse”:

<https://scientists4wiredtech.com/what-are-4g-5g/the-insect-apocalypse-is-here/>

Reports on the Increasing Energy Consumption of Wireless Systems and Digital Ecosystem:

<https://ehtrust.org/science/reports-on-power-consumption-and-increasing-energy-use-of-wireless-systems-and-digital-ecosystem/>

A research review:

The influence of bioactive mobile telephony radiation at the level of a plant community - Possible mechanisms and indicators of the effects:

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1470160X19306764?via%3Dihub>

in plants reduced growth, increased infection and physiological and morphological changes (Balodis et al. 1996, Haggerty 2010, Waldmann-Selsam et al. 2016, Havas and Symington 2016, Vian et al. 2016, Halgamuge 2017);

in birds, aggressive behavior, impaired reproduction and interference with migration (Southern 1975, Larkin and Sutherland 1977, Balmori 2004, Balmori and Hallberg 2007, Everaert and Bauwens 2007, Fernie et al. 2010, Engels et al. 2015, Wiltschko et al. 2015);

in livestock, especially dairy cows, reduced productivity, impaired reproduction, and sudden death (Burchard et al. 1996, Loscher and Kas 1998, Hillman et al. 2013, Stetzer et al. 2016);

in rodents, increased cancer risk in three long-term studies (Chou et al 1992, NTP 2018, Falcioni et al. 2019);

in amphibians (Balmori 2006, Balmori 2010) and insects (Cucurachi et al. 2013), deformities and population decline; and

in honey bees, aggressive behavior, reduced learning, reduced productivity, swarming and abandoning hives (Harst et al. 2006, Pattezhay 2009, Warnke 2009, Favre 2011, Kumar et al. 2011, Sahib 2011, Shepherd et al. 2019).

Balmori A. 2004. Effects of electromagnetic fields of phone masts on a population of white storks (*Ciconia ciconia*). *Electromagnetic Biology and Medicine* 24: 109–119.

Balmori A. 2006. The incidence of electromagnetic pollution on the amphibian decline: Is this an important piece of the puzzle? *Toxicological & Environmental Chemistry* 88 (2): 287–299.

Balmori A. 2010. Mobile phone mast effects on common frog (*Rana temporaria*) tadpoles: the city turned into a laboratory. *Electromagn Biol Med.* 29 (1–2):31–35.

Balmori A and O Hallberg. 2007. The urban decline of the house sparrow (*Passer domesticus*): A possible link with electromagnetic radiation. *Electromagnetic Biology and Medicine* 26 (2): 141–151.

Balodis V, G Briimelis, K Kalvskis, et al. 1996. Does the Skrunda Radio Location Station diminish the radial growth of pine trees? *The Science of the Total Environment* 180: 57-64.

Burchard JF, DH Nguyen DH, and M Rodriguez. 2006. Plasma concentrations of thyroxine in dairy cows exposed to 60 Hz electric and magnetic fields. *Bioelectromagnetics* 27 (7): 553–559.

Chou C-K, A Guy, LL Kunz, RB Johnson, JJ Crowley and J. H. Krupp. 1992. Long-term, low-level microwave irradiation of rats. *Bioelectromagnetics* 13:469–496. See [NTP: Not the First Govt. Study to Find Wireless Radiation Can Cause Cancer in Lab Rats](#)

Cucurachi S, WLM Tamis et al. 2013. A review of the ecological effects of radiofrequency electromagnetic fields (RF-EMF), *Environment International* 51:116–140.

Engels S, N-L Schneider, N Lefeldt, et al. 2015. Anthropogenic electromagnetic noise disrupts magnetic compass orientation in a migratory bird. *Nature* 509: 353.

Everaert J and D Bauwens. 2007. A possible effect of electromagnetic radiation from mobile phone base stations on the number of breeding house sparrows (*Passer domesticus*) *Electromagn Biol Med.* 26 (1): 63–72.

Falcioni L, L Bua, E Tibaldi, et al. 2019. Report of final results regarding brain and heart tumors in Sprague-Dawley rats exposed from prenatal life until natural death to mobile phone radiofrequency field representative of a 1.8 GHz GSM base station environmental emission. *Environmental Research* 165:496–503. See [Ramazzini Institute Cell Phone Radiation Study Replicates NTP Study](#)

Favre D. 2011. Mobile phone-induced honeybee worker piping. *Apidologie* 42 (3): 270– 279.

Ferni KJ, NJ Leonard and DM Bird. 2010. Behavior of free-ranging and captive American kestrels under electromagnetic fields. *J. Tox. and Environ. Health Part A Vol* 59 (8).

Haggerty K. 2010. Adverse influence of radio frequency background on Trembling Aspen seedlings: Preliminary observations. *International Journal of Forestry Research* 2010, 7 pages.

Halgamuge MN. 2016. Review: Weak radiofrequency radiation exposure from mobile phone radiation on plants. *Electromagn Biol Med.* 2017;36(2):213-235.

Harst W, J Kuhn, and H Stever. 2006. Can electromagnetic exposure cause a change in behaviour? Studying possible non-thermal influences on honey bees—An approach within the framework of Educational Informatics. *Acta Systematica – IIAS Intern. J.* 6: 1–6.

Havas M and MS Symington. 2016. Effects of Wi-Fi radiation on germination and growth of garden cress (*Lepidium sativum*), broccoli (*Brassica oleracea*), red clover (*Trifolium pratense*) and pea (*Pisum sativum*) seedlings: A partial replication study. *Current Chemical Biology* 10 (1): 65–73.

Hillman D, D Stetzer, M Graham, CL Goeke, et al. 2013. Relationship of electric power quality to milk production of dairy herds – Field study with literature review. *Science of the Total Environment* 447: 500–514.

Kumar NR, S Sangwan and P Badotra. 2011. Exposure to cell phone radiations produces biochemical changes in worker honey bees. *Toxicol Int.* 18 (1): 70–72.

Larkin RP and PJ Sutherland. 1977. Migrating birds respond to Project Seafarer's electromagnetic field. *Science.* 195 (4280): 777–9.

Löscher W, and G Käs. 1998. Extraordinary behavior disorders in cows in proximity to transmission stations. Translated from German language. *Der Praktische Tierarz* 79 (5): 4377-444.

NTP 2018. NTP Technical Report on the Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies in Hsd:Sprague Dawley SD Rats exposed to Whole-body Radio Frequency Radiation at a Frequency (900 MHz) and Modulations (GSM and CDMA) used by Cell Phones. National Toxicology Program, National Institutes of Health, Public Health Service, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. 384 pp. See [NTP Cell Phone Radiation Study: Final Reports](#)

Pattazhy S. 2009. Mobile phone towers a threat to honey bees: Study. *The Times of India*, August 2009. <http://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/NEWS/Science/Mobile-phonetowers-a-threat-to-honeybees-Study/articleshow/4955867.cms>.

Shepherd S, Hollands G, Godley VC, Sharkh SM, Jackson CW, Newland PL. Increased aggression and reduced aversive learning in honey bees exposed to extremely low frequency electromagnetic fields. *PLoS One*. 2019 Oct 10;14(10):e0223614. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0223614.

Southern WE. 1975. Orientation of gull chicks exposed to project Sanguine's electromagnetic field. *Science*. 189 (4197): 143–145.

Stetzer D, AM Leavitt, CL Goeke, and M Havas. 2016. Monitoring and remediation of on-farm and off-farm ground current measured as step potential on a Wisconsin dairy farm: A case study. *Electromagnetic Biology and Medicine* 35 (4): 321–336.

Vian, A, E Davies, M Gendraud and P Bonnet. 2016. Plant responses to high frequency electromagnetic fields, *BioMed research International* Vol. 2015 Article ID 1830262, 13 pp.

Waldmann-Selsam, A Balmori-de la Puente, H Breunig and A Balmori. 2016. Radiofrequency radiation injures trees around mobile phone base stations. *Science of the Total Environment* 572: 13 554–569.

Warnke U. 2009. Bees, birds and mankind. Destroying nature by 'electrosmog' effects of wireless communication technologies, A brochure series by the Competence Initiative for the Protection of Humanity, Environment and Democracy, 47 pp.

Wiltschko R, P Thalau, D Gehring, C Niessner, T Ritz and W. Wiltschko. 2015. Magnetoreception in birds: the effect of radio-frequency fields. *J R Soc Interface* 12(103).

<https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0223614>

<https://ehtrust.org/grand-tetons-largest-cell-tower-expansion-in-national-park-history-letter-on-environmental-impact/>.

I. The following series of photographs and slides from one German researcher are short pictorial-text examples of how RF radiation may be damaging trees:

1. Series of three lime trees taken between Sept. 9 - Nov. 16, 2006. The three trees show differential leaf death corresponding to matching differential exposure to a nearby RFR antenna.

One tree not shielded and in line with signal is dead by Oct. 8. one tree whose top is not shielded, but whose bottom is shielded, has a dead top where exposed to the RFR, and a tree unexposed to antenna has healthy leaves throughout:

<http://puls-schlag.org/download/ThreeLimeTrees%2096dpi0704ebook.pdf>

2. Photographic and slide series from the same researcher, indicating how incongruous, incomplete tree damage may occur depending on partial exposure to RF radiation:

<http://puls-schlag.org/download/Bizarre-HF-Damage.pdf>

3. Cherry trees on different sides of a shed, with an RF transmitter in the distance: two trees on the RF transmitter side die in July, a tree on the other side, partially protected by the shed, loses all its leaves above and to the side of the shed only (note last two photos):

<http://puls-schlag.org/download/CherryTreeHut200705.pdf>

4. Horse Chestnut sickens on the side exposed to RF Radiation, healthy on the other side:

<http://puls-schlag.org/download/Intelligencetest200705.pdf>