

VIA IBFS

September 21, 2020

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

RE: IBFS File No. SAT-LOA-20190704-00057; Call Sign S3051

Dear Ms. Dortch:

I wish to respond to the letter from Kuiper Systems LLC, an Amazon.com Services LLC subsidiary (collectively, 'Amazon'). The quote in footnote 2 from the D.C. Circuit decision clearly does not prohibit "late" filings; it only discourages them. Since I received no notification of this proceeding that affects my environment and my home, and therefore, could not file a response previously, it is unclear what a timely filing would be. My request also stated that if a deadline had passed, I asked for disabled accommodation under the Americans with Disabilities Act to file at this time since this project directly affects my disability.

In its letter, Amazon misquoted and mischaracterized the recent proceeding at the FCC.¹

First, in footnote 3, Amazon stated, "the FCC thoroughly examined 'the effects of our actions on the quality of the human environment, including human exposure to RF energy emitted by Commission-regulated transmitters and facilities'" which is incorrect. The passage Amazon quoted (¶ 1) is actually about NEPA requirements: "The National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) requires the Commission to evaluate the effects of our actions on the quality of the human environment..."

Second, the Commission did not "thoroughly examine[]" "the effects of our actions..." ¶ 2 states the Commission only "review[ed]" what was submitted into the docket and the brief information submitted outside the comment record by the FDA and EPA. This is far different than a thorough examination, an investigation, a literature review, or research.

Third, I am not the "general public". I am disabled by electromagnetic sensitivity. "General public" guidelines do not apply to me. The FCC did not change its guidance and did not address me and people like me with this disabled characteristic, despite that I and others raised this issue in the "extensive record". "General public" guidelines also do not apply to birds, bees and insects, trees, plants and other wildlife. The FCC does not have exposure guidelines for other species.

¹ *In re Proposed Changes in the Commission's Rules Regarding Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields*, ET Docket Nos. 03-137, 13-84, and 19-226.(FCC 19-126)

Finally, the FCC has not addressed the facts and arguments I raised. That is why I raised them.

To find so many issues in such a brief letter raises more questions about Amazon's satellite project and the accuracy and good faith effort of its representations made to the FCC.

I request that the Commission grant my request for reconsideration and stay.

Sincerely,

/s/ Nina Beety

Nina Beety
277 Mar Vista Dr.
Monterey, CA 93940

CC: C. Andrew Keisner