

Sullivan, Michelle A HLTH:EX

From: Janis Hoffmann <parentsforsafeschools@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 11, 2018 9:10 AM
Subject: 36 Year Old with Neck Tumor/Cell Phone Related: Santa Rosa 5G Small Cell Testimony

French school students to be banned from using mobile phones

Pupils will not be allowed to use phones on schools grounds after September

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/jun/07/french-school-students-to-be-banned-from-using-mobile-phones>



36 Year Old with Neck Tumor/Cell Phone Related: Santa Rosa 5G Small Cell Testimony

How many years of mandatory RF radiation exposure in our schools will it take for our children, who are the most vulnerable, to develop cancer? Health Officials say, "It's an acceptable risk."

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MM_doeFBLz0&feature=share

Schools and Wi-Fi : Is Your Child Sitting Under This Radiation Emitting Device? Are you okay with this?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?time_continue=56&v=wXy-H1h-W8Q



Mobile phone cancer warning as malignant brain tumours double


"Brain tumours kill more children in the UK than any other cancer. It's essential we fund more research to make kinder and safer treatments for young patients and understand why there is an increase in incidence rates."

<https://www.telegraph.co.uk/science/2018/05/02/mobile-phone-cancer-warning-malignant-brain-tumours-double/>

New Publication: Children Absorb 2-5 Times Higher Doses of Microwave Radiation than Adults, From Virtual Reality Systems

Children's health specialists are concerned that higher exposures to unprecedented levels of microwave radiation pose risks of permanent damage to vision, hearing and brain development, and cancer.

<https://ehtrust.org/new-publication-children-absorb-2-5-times-higher-doses-of-microwave-radiation-than-adults-from-virtual-reality-systems/>



Top mobile phone firms warning shareholders over devices' possible cancer risks - but fail to tell customers

<https://www.mirror.co.uk/news/uk-news/top-mobile-phone-firms-warning-12637044>

5G Health Concerns Confirmed By Published Scientific Research RT News

<https://www.facebook.com/1415811862047861/videos/1845650022397374/UzpfSTQyODgwODYxMDU1Mzg0MDoxMzc3NDk5NzA5MDE4MDU0/>

Sullivan, Michelle A HLTH:EX

From: Janis Hoffmann <parentsforsafeschools@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 26, 2018 8:27 AM
Subject: Depression, anxiety, and smartphone addiction in university students- A cross sectional study

Hard-Hitting Nature Valley Ad Shows The Terrifying Side Of Kids Addicted To Technology

<https://www.mostwatchedtoday.com/nature-valley-rediscover-nature/>



Wi-Fi is an important threat to human health


Repeated Wi-Fi studies show that Wi-Fi causes oxidative stress, sperm/testicular damage, neuropsychiatric effects including EEG changes, apoptosis, cellular DNA damage, endocrine changes, and calcium overload. Each of these effects are also caused by exposures to other microwave frequency EMFs, with each such effect being documented in from 10 to 16 reviews.

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0013935118300355>

ADHD study links teens' symptoms with digital media use

"Dr. Dimitri Christakis, a pediatrician and director of the Center for Childhood Health, Behavior, and Development at the Seattle Children's Research Institute, called the study "the best to date" showing the association between digital media use and ADHD symptoms.

<https://www.cnn.com/2018/07/17/health/adhd-symptoms-digital-media-study/index.html>



Microwave frequency electromagnetic fields (EMFs) produce widespread neuropsychiatric effects including depression

We not only have biological credibility but also more importantly, each of these distinct but interrelated biological considerations predicts that low-intensity, non-thermal microwave EMFs produce widespread neuropsychiatric effects. That common prediction is verified by extensive data summarized in citations provided by the Naval Medical Research Institute Research Report (June 1971), data provided by The Raines (1981) NASA report, and by 26 epidemiological studies summarized in Table 3.

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0891061815000599>

Depression, anxiety, and smartphone addiction in university students- A cross sectional study

Conclusion: Several independent positive predictors of smartphone addiction emerged including depression and anxiety. It could be that young adults with personality type A experiencing high stress level and low mood may lack positive stress coping mechanisms and mood management techniques and are thus highly susceptible to smartphone addiction.

<http://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0182239>

Generation Zapped is now available for home viewing!

Voted the Best Documentary of 2018! Generation Zapped investigates the dangers of daily exposure to wireless technologies – including the devastating effects on our health from infertility to cancer – and suggests ways to reduce overexposure.

<https://itunes.apple.com/us/movie/generation-zapped/id1389506959>



Peer-reviewed scientific studies on EMF related subjects

<https://www.powerwatch.org.uk/science/studies.asp>

Sullivan, Michelle A HLTH:EX

From: Wright, Kristin J HLTH:EX
Sent: Thursday, September 6, 2018 9:52 AM
To: Henry, Bonnie HLTH:EX
Subject: FW: - Cliff 1120689 -Our request for a statement on Wifi safety in our schools
Attachments: BC Health Officer2.pdf

Hi Bonnie

This has been assigned from the Minister's office for response. Cliff 1120689

Thank you



Kristin

From: Janis Hoffmann [mailto:iknowjanis@shaw.ca]
Sent: Saturday, August 25, 2018 1:21 PM
To: Dix.MLA, Adrian LASS:EX; Minister, HLTH HLTH:EX
Cc: Henry, Bonnie HLTH:EX; Minister, EDUC EDUC:EX; XT:Hancock, Trevor HLTH:IN; XT:HLTH Kosatsky, Tom; OfficeofthePremier, Office PREM:EX
Subject: Our request for a statement on Wifi safety in our schools

HLTH MO to PHO – jp – XREF 1099989

Dear Adrian Dix,

Unfortunately our request for a statement about the safety of wireless technology in our schools from our new Provincial Health Officer, Dr. Bonnie Henry has gone unanswered. The most current letter on file is dated July 2011, from our School Health Officer, Dr. Stanwick, who was unable to provide us with any peer-reviewed studies stating the safety of RF radiation exposure. Dr. Stanwick instead has shifted *"the responsibility for professional oversight"* to the Provincial Health Officer. Dr. Stanwick, appears to have no educational background on the biological health effects of RF radiation exposure, yet has endorsed the installation of a known 2b carcinogen listed next to lead, DDT, methyl mercury, chloroform, and car exhaust as an acceptable risk in our schools.

The latest findings of the National Toxicology Program and the supporting Ramzzuini Studies stating "Clear Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity" and the association of cancer in the heart and brain and cell phone radiation, warrants the solicitation of a current letter re Wi-Fi safety from Dr. Bonnie Henry to ensure the most accurate health information and recommendations for all children were being used as a basis for sound decision making on such an important topic as our children's health and well being. Given the start of a new school year, parents, teachers and students are expecting a timely response to our concerns about this upcoming health crisis.

Respectfully,

Janis Hoffmann

Parentsforsafeschools

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject: Urgent Request for an Appointment

Date:Sat, 4 Aug 2018 10:29:19 -0700
From:Janis Hoffmann
To:bonnie.henry@gov.bc.ca
CC:hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca, educ.minister@gov.bc.ca

Dear Dr. Bonnie Henry,

We are disappointed that you have been unable to find time to respond to our letters asking you to implement the Precautionary Principal to protect our children's health in our schools.

We are now requesting an appointment to come to your office to discuss the simple solutions to reducing RF radiation in our classrooms.

This will be a health crisis and we can't afford to wait another school year.

Respectfully,

Janis Hoffmann

250-478-7976

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject:Re: Requesting a response to the letter from Parents, Teachers and Students April 20, 2018

Date:Thu, 31 May 2018 06:12:16 -0700

From:Janis Hoffmann

To:bonnie.henry@gov.bc.ca

CC:hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca, mike.farnworth.mla@leg.bc.ca, EDUC.Correspondence@gov.bc.ca,
educ.minister@gov.bc.ca, info@healthyschoolsbc.ca, tom.kosatsky@bccdc.ca,
drtheresa.tam@canada.com, thancock@uvic.ca

Dear Dr. Bonnie Henry,

We request that the BC Provincial Health Officer provide the public with an official response to the findings of the US National Toxicology Program Expert Panel who concluded that there is "**Clear Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity**" and the association of cancer in the heart and brain and cell phone radiation. This is serious health and safety matter that will cause permanent damage to thousands of children in all our public schools. Currently it is mandatory that our children be exposed RF Microwave Radiation with no way to shield themselves from this full body radiation, much like the rats used in this study.

Parents have been robbed of their right to decide if this chronic exposure, an established 2B carcinogen listed next to lead, DDT, methyl mercury, chloroform, and car exhaust is an acceptable risk or whether precautionary measures should be implemented given there is alternative simple solution.

More and more schools are applying a 'No cell phone' policy during school hours due to distractions that negatively impact learning. This has made a huge impact on the reduction of radiation exposure our children are experiencing in our classrooms. However, the removal of the industrial strength Wi-Fi routers should be implemented immediately and return to hard-wired Internet, which is faster, cheaper, more reliable, more secure and safer than wireless networks.

For as little as a one time cost of \$500 US dollars every classroom would be provided with 40 Ethernet ports plus adapters for their wireless devices, costing about \$20 per child, a small price to pay compared to brain surgery, chemotherapy, radiation treatments etc.

As the Provincial Health Officer you have a duty to take reasonable steps to reduce wireless radiation in our schools given the overwhelming amount of evidence of harm that concerned parents have submitted.

Respectfully,
Janis Hoffmann
Founder of ParentsforSaferSchools
Vancouver Island Rep. C4ST.org

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject:Parents, teachers and students want to know why the BC Provincial Health Officer has not done her due diligence and informed the School Boards about the health risks

Date:Fri, 20 Apr 2018 15:57:04 -0700

From:Janis Hoffmann

To:bonnie.henry@gov.bc.ca

CC:hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca, mike.farnworth.mla@leg.bc.ca, EDUC.Correspondence@gov.bc.ca, educ.minister@gov.bc.ca, info@healthyschoolsbc.ca, tom.kosatsky@bccdc.ca, drtheresa.tam@canada.com

Dear Dr. Bonnie Henry,

Parents, teachers and students want to know why the BC Provincial Health Officer has not done her due diligence and informed the School Boards about the health risks from the prolonged and accumulative exposure to RF radiation emitting from wireless technology in our schools.

On March 26-29, 2018, the National Toxicology Program (NTP), a 10-year study costing the US 25 million dollars, had eleven experts review the reports from the NTP's cell phone radiation studies. They concluded that exposure to cell phone radiation caused a rare cancer, malignant schwannoma in the heart, and incidences of glioma, a rare, aggressive and highly malignant brain cancer.

<https://ehtrust.org/cell-phone-radiofrequency-radiation-study/>

Conclusions were based on NTP's scale of "clear evidence", "some evidence", "equivocal evidence", and "no evidence". **Clear Evidence being conclusive.**

<https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/results/pubs/longterm/defs/index.html>

https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/about_ntp/trpanel/2018/march/actions20180328_508.pdf

"The evidence indicating wireless is carcinogenic has increased and can no longer be ignored," stated University of Toronto Dalla Lana School of Public Health Professor Emeritus Anthony B. Miller MD, Member of the Royal Colleges of Physicians of Canada and the UK, and Senior Medical Advisor to EHT who is also a long-term advisor to the World Health Organization.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?time_continue=5&v=bgGJeOVEDQs

Soon after, the Ramazzini Institute also completed the world's largest animal study on cell tower radiation and confirmed the findings of the National Toxicology Program link to Cancer. This information accompanied with the over 26,000 peer reviewed studies by scientists and medical experts <https://www.emf-portal.org/en> is more than enough evidence for you to apply the precautionary principal to protect our children.

<http://www.sbwire.com/press-releases/worlds-largest-animal-study-on-cell-tower-radiation-confirms-cancer-link-953696.htm>

In January 1998, The Wingspread Conference held a three-day academic conference where the Precautionary Principle was defined. It was agreed **the Precautionary Principle would be implemented when an activity raises threats of harm to human health or the environment, even if some cause and effect relationships are not fully established scientifically.** The Precautionary Principle shifts the burden of proof, insisting that those responsible for an activity must vouch for its harmlessness and be held responsible if damage occurs.

<http://sehn.org/wingspread-conference-on-the-precautionary-principle/>

Health is a Provincial Jurisdiction. Your duty and obligation as the Provincial Health Officer and as a Doctor is to implement the Precautionary Principle by honouring your Hippocratic Oath, "nil nocere" to do no harm and protect our children from this health crisis.

All schools now employ industrial wireless networks, exposing students and staff to ubiquitous microwave radiation without any way to shield or avoid this mandatory exposure. Parents have never been informed of the health risks or given their written consent to expose their children to microwave radiation in our schools. School Districts have refused to educate students, parents and staff on how to avoid excessive RFR exposure when handling wireless devices during class time as outlined in user manuals, requirements mandated by law.

Vancouver Island School Boards have shifted the responsibility of potential lawsuits caused by this wireless technology to their School Medical Health Officer, Dr. Stanwick, who has endorsed the installation of a known 2b carcinogen in our schools and is unable to provide parents with any peer reviewed studies stating the safety of radiation exposure. Dr. Stanwick then shifted "the responsibility for professional oversight" to the Provincial Health Officer.

The BC Ministry of Education has stated they set educational standards, allocates funds and monitors student achievement but decisions regarding the planning and delivery of supports and services for all students are the responsibility of local boards of education and school district administrators. This includes decisions around exposure to RF frequencies and the use of WiFi in the classroom setting.

The Health Minister Philpott was also unable to provide parents with peer reviewed studies stating the safety of Wifi safety in our schools and has instead **relied on Health Canada's Safety Code 6 exposure "guidelines."**

But on February 20, 2013, James McNamee, scientist for Health Canada, admitted in the Superior Court of Quebec, that the Safety Code 6 guideline for microwave radiation emitting from wireless technology is based **ONLY** on thermal effects, heating of the skin. This means Canada does not have any regulations in place to protect the public from long-term exposure to the biological effects of the "non-thermal" levels of microwave radiation. <http://www.magdahavas.com/health-canada-admits-safety-code-6-guideline-for-microwave-radiation-is-based-only-on-thermal-effects/>

On page 3, inside the Safety Code 6 document it states, *"These guidelines apply to all individuals working at, or visiting, federally regulated sites and may also be adopted by the provinces, industry or other interested parties."* Schools are not federally regulated sites and, therefore, SC 6 does not apply to wifi in schools. The fact that the provinces may Adopt SC 6 means that the provinces are free to set their own guidelines.

<http://www.radiationsafety.ca/wp-content/uploads/2012/06/Safety-Code-6.pdf>

In a report by Dr. Martin Pall he discusses Ken Foster and John Moulder, 2 industry affiliated scientists who, for years, have argued that there is no evidence of harm from exposure to microwave radiation. These 2 "bent" scientists have been on Health Canada panels and reviewed Safety Code 6, stating it is protective of non-thermal effects, when it applies to thermal effects only. On page 412 (8 of 12) he explains how they use poor science to help support wifi in schools, cell phones, etc
<https://drive.google.com/file/d/1cxHocOxsX33OO3dsOfjVLHEj2BVI3Klw/view>

Tim Singer from Health Canada responded to a letter concerning the radiation levels in our schools by stating, *Health Canada is aware of concerns related to Wi-Fi in schools, such decisions are not within Health Canada's mandate. We recommend that you continue to consult your local school board or provincial authority to discuss your concerns.*

The finger pointing has now gone full circle and it is obvious that Provincial Health Officer will be held accountable for willfully ignoring the overwhelming amount of scientific proof of harm.

Parents want to exercise their right to protect their children from harm and are calling on you to take immediate action to mitigate the risk and inform the School Boards throughout the province of the established health risks associated with long term exposure to microwave radiation by recommending the use of hard wired connections to access the Internet in our schools.

The burden of proof should not be put upon the public to prove that it's unsafe, but upon the industry to prove it is safe.

Sincerely,

Janis Hoffmann
Founder of Parentsforsafeschools Vancouver Island Rep. C4ST.org

1225 Millstream Road
Victoria BC V9B 6J3

PO Box 9648
STN PROV GOVT
Victoria BC V8W 9P4

May 31, 2018

Dear Dr. Bonnie Henry,

Re: Requesting a response to the letter from Parents, Teachers and Students April 20, 2018

We request that the BC Provincial Health Officer provide the public with an official response to the findings of the US National Toxicology Program Expert Panel who concluded that there is "**Clear Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity**" and the association of cancer in the heart and brain and cell phone radiation. This is serious health and safety matter that will cause permanent damage to thousands of children in all our public schools. Currently it is mandatory that our children be exposed RF Microwave Radiation with no way to shield themselves from this full body radiation, much like the rats used in this study.

Parents have been robbed of their right to decide if this chronic exposure, an established 2B carcinogen listed next to lead, DDT, methyl mercury, chloroform, and car exhaust is an acceptable risk or whether precautionary measures should be implemented given there is alternative simple solution.

More and more schools are applying a 'No cell phone' policy during school hours due to distractions that negatively impact learning. This has made a huge impact on the reduction of radiation exposure our children are experiencing in our classrooms. However, the removal of the industrial strength Wi-Fi routers should implemented immediately and return to hard-wired Internet, which is faster, cheaper, more reliable, more secure and safer than wireless networks.

For as little as a one time cost of \$500 US dollars every classroom would be provided with 40 Ethernet ports plus adapters for their wireless devices, costing about \$20 per child, a small price to pay compared to brain surgery, chemotherapy, radiation treatments etc.

As the Provincial Health Office you have a duty to take reasonable steps to reduce wireless radiation in our schools given the overwhelming amount of evidence of harm that concerned parents have submitted.

Respectfully,

Janis Hoffmann
Founder of Parentsforsafeschools
Vancouver Island Rep. C45T.org

Sullivan, Michelle A HLTH:EX

From: Wright, Kristin J HLTH:EX
Sent: Thursday, September 6, 2018 9:53 AM
To: Henry, Bonnie HLTH:EX
Subject: FW: 1120689-Benoit-wireless in schools?

Hi Bonnie

This has also been assigned from the Minister's office for response. Cliff 1120689

Thank you
Kristin

From: Lorene Benoit [mailto:Lorene@naturalpathremedies.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 5, 2018 10:02 AM
To: Henry, Bonnie HLTH:EX
Cc: Minister, HLTH HLTH:EX; Vancouver Sun Editor; editor@pentictonherald.ca; Cowichan News Leader - Pictorial; Farnworth.MLA, Mike LASS:EX; EDUC Correspondence EDUC:EX; Minister, EDUC EDUC:EX; info@healthyschoolsbc.ca; XT:HLTH Kosatsky, Tom; drtheresa.tam@canada.com; info@sd79.bc.ca; superintendent@sd68.bc.ca; community@sd61.bc.ca; Alistair MacGregor; Furstenau.MLA, Sonia LASS:EX; Nanaimo Daily News
Subject: 1120689-Benoit-wireless in schools?

HLTH MO to PHO – ss – Xref 1009731, GCPE has been advised

Can someone from your office PLEASE respond and let us, concerned grandparents, past public school teacher, concerned health practitioner, and tax payers that pay your salaries, know when your office is going to respond to requests for answers and for an appointment to discuss this critical issue? We also have written on several occasions and are yet to receive a response.

This is your responsibility; the buck has been passed around for too many years!

If you are unaware of the issue, (hard to believe), please contact any of the many groups and individuals who have already written you about this, (with no response), and LEARN by reading any of the following links:

<https://ehtrust.org/cell-phone-radiofrequency-radiation-study/>

<https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/results/pubs/longterm/defs/index.html>

https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/about_ntp/trpanel/2018/march/actions20180328_508.pdf

<http://parentsforasafeschool.blogspot.com/>

"The evidence indicating wireless is carcinogenic has increased and can no longer be ignored," stated University of Toronto Dalla Lana School of Public Health Professor Emeritus Anthony B. Miller MD, Member of the Royal Colleges of Physicians of Canada and the UK, and Senior Medical Advisor to EHT who is also a long-term advisor to the World Health

over 26,000 peer reviewed studies by scientists and medical experts: <https://www.emf-portal.org/en> is more than enough evidence for you to apply the precautionary principal to protect our children.

<http://www.sbwire.com/press-releases/worlds-largest-animal-study-on-cell-tower-radiation-confirms-cancer-link-953696.htm>

Health is a Provincial Jurisdiction. **Your duty and obligation as the Provincial Health Officer** is to implement the Precautionary Principle by honouring your Hippocratic Oath, "nil nocere" to do no harm and protect our children from this health crisis.

All schools now employ industrial wireless networks, exposing students and staff to ubiquitous microwave radiation without any way to shield or avoid this mandatory exposure. Parents have never been informed of the health risks or given their written consent to expose their children to microwave radiation in our schools. School Districts have refused to educate students, parents and staff on how to avoid excessive RFR exposure when handling wireless devices during class time as outlined in user manuals, requirements mandated by law.

<http://www.radiationsafety.ca/wp-content/uploads/2012/06/Safety-Code-6.pdf>

<https://drive.google.com/file/d/1cxHocOxsX33OO3dsOfJVLHEj2BVI3Klw/view>

The finger pointing has gone full circle and it is obvious that the Provincial Health Officer will be held accountable for willfully ignoring the overwhelming amount of scientific proof of harm.

--

Benoit & Associates Health Education

Lorene Benoit, MHH, BEd, CHC, CRA,

FBenoit BScNursing, NHC, LChabot HCA

Website: NaturalPathRemedies.com

Nature's Sunshine: supplement information, free health assessment, webinars & more: <http://TakeTheNaturalPath.mynsp.com/>

Phone: 250-748-6802

Lorene Benoit's book to create optimum health: *The Paw Paw Program - A "Christopher Columbus" Approach to Cancer - The World IS Round and Cancer CAN Be Treated Naturally*

Take The Natural Path to Your Health - You ARE Worth It !

Sullivan, Michelle A HLTH:EX

From: s.22
Sent: Friday, June 1, 2018 9:25 AM
To: Henry, Bonnie HLTH:EX
Cc: Minister, HLTH HLTH:EX; Farnworth.MLA, Mike LASS:EX; EDUC Correspondence EDUC:EX; Minister, EDUC EDUC:EX; info@healthyschoolsbc.ca; XT:HLTH Kosatsky, Tom; drtheresa.tam@canada.com; XT:Hancock, Trevor HLTH:IN
Subject: FW: Requesting a response to the letter from Parents, Teachers and Students April 20, 2018

Dear Dr. Bonnie Henry,

I reiterate Janis Hoffmann's concerns below. This needs to be addressed now. My teens know two friends that lost their father's to brain cancer this May. They go to a small high school.

Our next generation is counting on you to make the right decisions.

Respectfully,

s.22

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject:Re: Requesting a response to the letter from Parents, Teachers and Students April 20, 2018

Date:Thu, 31 May 2018 06:12:16 -0700

From:Janis Hoffmann

To:bonnie.henry@gov.bc.ca

CC:hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca, mike.farnworth.mla@leg.bc.ca, EDUC.Correspondence@gov.bc.ca, educ.minister@gov.bc.ca, info@healthyschoolsbc.ca, tom.kosatsky@bccdc.ca, drtheresa.tam@canada.com, thancock@uvic.ca

Dear Dr. Bonnie Henry,

We request that the BC Provincial Health Officer provide the public with an official response to the findings of the US National Toxicology Program Expert Panel who concluded that there is "Clear Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity" and the association of cancer in the heart and brain and cell phone radiation. This is serious health and safety matter that will cause permanent damage to thousands of children in all our public schools. Currently it is mandatory that our children be exposed RF Microwave Radiation with no way to shield themselves from this full body radiation, much like the rats used in this study.

Parents have been robbed of their right to decide if this chronic exposure, an established 2B carcinogen listed next to lead, DDT, methyl mercury, chloroform, and car exhaust is an acceptable risk or whether precautionary measures should be implemented given there is alternative simple solution.

More and more schools are applying a 'No cell phone' policy during school hours due to distractions that negatively impact learning. This has made a huge impact on the reduction of radiation exposure our children are experiencing in our classrooms. However, the removal of the industrial strength Wi-Fi routers should implemented immediately and return to hard-wired Internet, which is faster, cheaper, more reliable, more secure and safer than wireless networks.

For as little as a one time cost of \$500 US dollars every classroom would be provided with 40 Ethernet ports plus adapters for their wireless devices, costing about \$20 per child, a small price to pay compared to brain surgery, chemotherapy, radiation treatments etc.

As the Provincial Health Office you have a duty to take reasonable steps to reduce wireless radiation in our schools given the overwhelming amount of evidence of harm that concerned parents have submitted.

Respectfully,

Janis Hoffmann

Founder of Parentsforsafeschools

Vancouver Island Rep. C4ST.org

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject:Parents, teachers and students want to know why the BC Provincial Health Officer has not done her due diligence and informed the School Boards about the health risks

Date:Fri, 20 Apr 2018 15:57:04 -0700

From:Janis Hoffmann

To:bonnie.henry@gov.bc.ca

CC:hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca, mike.farnworth.mla@leg.bc.ca, EDUC.Correspondence@gov.bc.ca,

educ.minister@gov.bc.ca, info@healthyschoolsbc.ca, tom.kosatsky@bccdc.ca, drtheresa.tam@canada.com

Dear Dr. Bonnie Henry,

Parents, teachers and students want to know why the BC Provincial Health Officer has not done her due diligence and informed the School Boards about the health risks from the prolonged and accumulative exposure to RF radiation emitting from wireless technology in our schools.

On March 26-29, 2018, the National Toxicology Program (NTP), a 10-year study costing the US 25 million dollars, had eleven experts review the reports from the NTP's cell phone radiation studies. They concluded that exposure to cell phone radiation caused a rare cancer, malignant schwannoma in the heart, and incidences of glioma, a rare, aggressive and highly malignant brain cancer.

<https://ehtrust.org/cell-phone-radiofrequency-radiation-study/>

Conclusions were based on NTP's scale of "clear evidence", "some evidence", "equivocal evidence", and "no evidence". **Clear Evidence being conclusive.**

<https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/results/pubs/longterm/defs/index.html>

https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/about_ntp/trpanel/2018/march/actions20180328_508.pdf

"The evidence indicating wireless is carcinogenic has increased and can no longer be ignored," stated University of Toronto Dalla Lana School of Public Health Professor Emeritus Anthony B. Miller MD, Member of the Royal Colleges of Physicians of Canada and the UK, and Senior Medical Advisor to EHT who is also a long-term advisor to the World Health Organization.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?time_continue=5&v=bgGJeOVEdQs

Soon after, the Ramazzini Institute also completed the world's largest animal study on cell tower radiation and confirmed the findings of the National Toxicology Program link to Cancer. This information accompanied with the over 26,000 peer reviewed studies by scientists and medical experts <https://www.emf-portal.org/en> is more than enough evidence for you to apply the precautionary principal to protect our children.

<http://www.sbwire.com/press-releases/worlds-largest-animal-study-on-cell-tower-radiation-confirms-cancer-link-953696.htm>

In January 1998, The Wingspread Conference held a three-day academic conference where the Precautionary Principle was defined. It was agreed **the Precautionary Principle would be implemented when an activity raises threats of harm to human health or the environment, even if some cause and effect relationships are not fully established scientifically.** The Precautionary Principle shifts the burden of proof, insisting that those responsible for an activity must vouch for its harmlessness and be held responsible if damage occurs.

<http://sehn.org/wingspread-conference-on-the-precautionary-principle/>

Health is a Provincial Jurisdiction. Your duty and obligation as the Provincial Health Officer and as a Doctor is to implement the Precautionary Principle by honouring your Hippocratic Oath, "nil nocere" to do no harm and protect our children from this health crisis.

All schools now employ industrial wireless networks, exposing students and staff to ubiquitous microwave radiation without any way to shield or avoid this mandatory exposure. Parents have never been informed of the health risks or given their written consent to expose their children to microwave radiation in our schools. School Districts have refused to educate students, parents and staff on how to avoid excessive RFR exposure when handling wireless devices during class time as outlined in user manuals, requirements mandated by law.

Vancouver Island School Boards have shifted the responsibility of potential lawsuits caused by this wireless technology **to their School Medical Health Officer, Dr. Stanwick**, who has endorsed the installation of a known 2b carcinogen in our schools and is unable to provide parents with any peer reviewed studies stating the

safety of radiation exposure. **Dr. Stanwick then shifted “the responsibility for professional oversight” to the Provincial Health Officer.**

The BC Ministry of Education has stated they set educational standards, allocates funds and monitors student achievement but decisions regarding the planning and delivery of supports and services for all students are the responsibility of local boards of education and school district administrators. This includes decisions around exposure to RF frequencies and the use of WiFi in the classroom setting.

The Health Minister Philpott was also unable to provide parents with peer reviewed studies stating the safety of Wifi safety in our schools and has instead relied on Health Canada’s Safety Code 6 exposure “guidelines.”

But on February 20, 2013, James McNamee, scientist for Health Canada, admitted in the Superior Court of Quebec, that the Safety Code 6 guideline for microwave radiation emitting from wireless technology is based ONLY on thermal effects, heating of the skin. This means Canada does not have any regulations in place to protect the public from long-term exposure to the biological effects of the “non-thermal” levels of microwave radiation. <http://www.magdahavas.com/health-canada-admits-safety-code-6-guideline-for-microwave-radiation-is-based-only-on-thermal-effects/>

On page 3, inside the Safety Code 6 document it states, “These guidelines apply to all individuals working at, or visiting, federally regulated sites and may also be adopted by the provinces, industry or other interested parties.” Schools are not federally regulated sites and, therefore, SC 6 does not apply to wifi in schools. The fact that the provinces may Adopt SC 6 means that the provinces are free to set their own guidelines.

<http://www.radiationsafety.ca/wp-content/uploads/2012/06/Safety-Code-6.pdf>

In a report by Dr. Martin Pall he discusses Ken Foster and John Moulder, 2 industry affiliated scientists who, for years, have argued that there is no evidence of harm from exposure to microwave radiation. These 2 “bent” scientists have been on Health Canada panels and reviewed Safety Code 6, stating it is protective of non-thermal effects, when it applies to thermal effects only. On page 412 (8 of 12) he explains how they use poor science to help support wifi in schools, cell phones, etc

<https://drive.google.com/file/d/1cxHocOxsX33O03dsOfjVLHEj2BVI3Klw/view>

Tim Singer from Health Canada responded to a letter concerning the radiation levels in our schools by stating, Health Canada is aware of concerns related to Wi-Fi in schools, such decisions are not within Health Canada’s mandate. We recommend that you continue to consult your local school board or provincial authority to discuss your concerns.

The finger pointing has now gone full circle and it is obvious that Provincial Health Officer will be held accountable for willfully ignoring the overwhelming amount of scientific proof of harm.

Parents want to exercise their right to protect their children from harm and are calling on you to take immediate action to mitigate the risk and inform the School Boards throughout the province of the established health risks associated with long term exposure to microwave radiation by recommending the use of hard wired connections to access the Internet in our schools.

The burden of proof should not be put upon the public to prove that it’s unsafe, but upon **the industry to prove it is safe.**

Sincerely,

Janis Hoffmann
Founder of Parentsforsafeschools

Vancouver Island Rep. C4ST.org

Sullivan, Michelle A HLTH:EX

From: s.22
Sent: Friday, July 6, 2018 7:48 AM
To: s.22
Subject: FW: Update 2018-07-05 The EU is trying to stifle results of phonegate, and allow telecoms to testing results from the public

From: s.22
Sent: July 5, 2018 11:03 PM
To: s.22
Subject: Update 2018-07-05 The EU is trying to stifle results of phonegate, and allow telecoms to hide testing results from the public

- 1) Phonegate, which exposed the fact that 250 makes of cell phones were emitting levels of microwave radiation that exceeded by many times the French allowable limit, resulted in the EU government protecting the telecoms. Testing information can now be kept completely secret. The public no longer will be allowed to know how dangerous their cell phones are.

Opinion "The Phonegate scandal prey to business secrecy" published by Mediapart

« Marc Arazi and Jérôme Karsenti denounce the entry into force of the confidentiality clause of the European directive which allows « the manufacturers of mobile devices to benefit from total confidentiality for products they will place on the European market that may be a risk to human health ».

12 JUNE 2018 will remain a sad date for all citizens who for a number of weeks have been protesting against the control of the business world over public health and environmental violations denounced by many media representatives and whistleblowers.

Telephony manufacturers in particular will soon be completely protected to produce and sell phones whose harmfulness can no longer be denounced. »

<https://www.phonegatealert.org/2018/06/13/opinion-the-phonegate-scandal-prey-to-business-secrecy-published-by-mediapart/>

- 2) In response to the secrecy law in France, Dr. Arazi is starting a campaign for action, including establishment of an independent committee of experts, plus lawyers and jurists to fight, asking for people to sign a petition and donating if you can. This is an international effort and could have results that could help us here in Canada. I hope you will consider signing (and donating, if you can).

Support PhoneGate Alert by signing our petition and making a donation

« After years of intensive lobbying in the European institutions, the biggest multinational mobile telecommunications companies have achieved their ultimate goal: to prevent NGOs like ours from investigating the toxicity of their products and revealing their disastrous effects on the human health of citizens who are the primary victims...

The aim of the telephony industry lobby is clear: to ensure by all means at their disposal that the Phonegate scandal will never be known to the general public. And for that, the industrialists have an unstoppable weapon: the direct ownership or control of most of the major media.

But thanks to you, and the committed citizens of many countries who stand by our side, we will resist!...

We are assembling a team of specialized lawyers and jurists to identify the judicial means to launch legal actions on a large scale in France, Europe and internationally, in order to force the public authorities to act. We are also launching group actions to enable each affected consumer to be heard and have his or her damages remedied.

We are one of the rare associations to fight, in a free and independent way, with no financial or corporate interests, to ensure the defense of citizens and not to cede to the power of big multinationals which decide that they can make the rules and favor the dominance of their devices over our health and that of our children – and this without being accountable to anyone, protected by regulations made to measure. »

<https://www.phonegatealert.org/2018/07/04/support-phonegate-alert-by-signing-our-petition-and-making-a-donation/>

- 3) There is a real push across No. America, and in other areas around the world, for 5G, just as it has been for smelters. Combined the 2 will blanket us with EMR smog, making it virtually impossible to escape. Industry is fighting to have any and all regulations removed to make installation of microcells easier and faster. Our health, security, and privacy are being threatened as never before. I believe that until the World Health Organization takes action based on the most recent science, health problems will be denied by Health Canada and provincial health authorities, using Safety Code 6 as their justification. But lawsuits will happen.

["My Street, My Choice!" Website Provides Helpful Guide to Stop 4G and 5G Small Cell Towers From Being Installed Near Homes and Everywhere Else.](#)

"Every day more warnings about cell phone and wireless WiFi radiation exposure are being reported– for kids and everyone else by a variety of sources. In fact, cell phone manufacturers have also issued warnings to shareholders – not customers – that they may eventually be held liable for the harm they have caused."

<https://www.activistpost.com/2018/07/my-street-my-choice-website-provides-helpful-guide-to-stop-4g-and-5g-small-cell-towers-from-being-installed-near-homes-and-everywhere-else.html>

- 4) Despite warnings by intelligence agencies for many years, many telecom companies in the US have been using Huawei equipment for years because it is good and it is cheap. The fear is that China is willing to play a long term game, waiting patiently until it has all the pieces in place, before doing something drastic. Huawei is in deep with Telus, as partners in the 5G infrastructure. The transmitters outside our homes were built in China, by Huawei and were installed here in BC with the support of Huawei.

Editor's Corner—Here are the U.S. wireless carriers currently using Huawei equipment

"As a group of lawmakers reportedly prepares to voice concerns about how the proposed merger of Sprint and T-Mobile might be affected by China's Huawei, there's something they should know: Huawei already commands a substantial business among a range of smaller U.S. wireless carriers...."

The fact that more than half a dozen smaller wireless operators across the United States are currently using Huawei equipment is important because a group of lawmakers in the U.S. House is reportedly preparing a letter related to the proposed merger of Sprint and T-Mobile. As noted by Bloomberg, the lawmakers are worried that Sprint's parent, SoftBank, has ties to China's Huawei.

"The Sprint, T-Mobile merger would increase telecommunications risks associated with third-party foreign entities, including Huawei, being utilized in the development of U.S. 5G infrastructure," the letter read."

<https://www.fiercewireless.com/tech/editor-s-corner-here-are-u-s-wireless-carriers-currently-using-huawei-equipment/>

- 5) Our Minister of Health, Adrian Dix, and our Public Health Officer, Dr. Bonnie Henry (who replaced Perry Kendall in every way) continue to ignore evidence and hide behind Safety Code 6. Please see a letter below.

Letters:

Our own Janis Hoffmann's letter in Mississauga's paper. <https://www.mississauga.com/opinion-story/8701860-shame-on-schools-for-not-doing-more-to-protect-student-from-wi-fi/>

From: X

Sent: July 4, 2018 4:59 PM

To: Population and Public Health HLTH:EX <hlth.pph@gov.bc.ca>; John Horgan <premier@gov.bc.ca>

Cc: Adrian Dix.MLA <adrian.dix.mla@leg.bc.ca>; Bonnie.Henry@gov.bc.ca; Lisa Beare <lisa.beare.MLA@leg.bc.ca>

Subject: Re: Minsistry of Health Response - 1116055

Dear Tim,

I am not interested in reading about industry-sponsored proof of it's safety. Do you remember when the tobacco industry told us their products were safe and government agencies who were intended to protect us also told us their product was safe?

You may not be old enough to remember how tobacco science worked: the tobacco industry hired 'scientists' to reach the safety conclusions the industry was interested in quoting. It was the INDEPENDENT scientists who were able to prove the health risks we were taking when we smoked. (And yes, I did for a few years!)

If our governmental agencies, mandated to protect us, are going to do their jobs, they need to rely on INDEPENDENT scientists, not 'scientists' hired by the industry; if our government is more interested in promoting and protecting the industry, using industry 'scientists' is quite acceptable. Rather than relying on "weight of evidence", "accuracy of evidence" should be relied on.

Industries from many sectors of our economy get approval for their products just because our agencies believe industry science. How many drugs have been withdrawn after approval? How many pesticides and chemicals have been withdrawn? How many paint products have been withdrawn? How many, how many, how many...

I'm sorry that you are not able to provide any reassurance. I'm not sure who needs to awaken you, other bureaucrats and our politicians; they all seem to be completely under the control of Big Industry. It is a very sad state of affairs that we find ourselves in!

Sincerely,
X

On Jul 3, 2018, at 3:28 PM, **Population and Public Health** HLTH:EX <hlth.pph@gov.bc.ca> wrote:

1116055

Dear X

Thank you for your email of June 9th 2018, to the Honourable Adrian Dix, Minister of Health regarding Health Canada's Safety Code 6. Your email has been forwarded to me and I am pleased to respond on his behalf.

Information developed by the Ministry of Health, BC Center for Disease Control and the Office of the Provincial Health Officer related to electromagnetic fields can be found at <https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content/health/keeping-bc-healthy-safe/radiation/electromagnetic-fields>.

As outlined in Health Canada's Fact Sheet on Safety Code 6, the limits provided in this guideline take into account all peer-reviewed scientific studies and consider many different potential health effects including thermal, non-thermal and biological effects. As well, the limits provided in Safety Code 6 incorporate a large safety margin to provide protection to all Canadians including children and people with electro hypersensitivity disorder. Scientists within Health Canada and the Ministry of Health continue to monitor scientific information on an ongoing basis and regularly review the guidelines of Safety Code 6 so that it reflects the most current understanding of this topic.

The safety limits provided in Safety Code 6 are based on current science-based knowledge of safe exposure levels for the protection of all Canadians. These limits are consistent with other jurisdictions around the world, including the European Union, New Zealand, Japan, Australia and the United States.

Again, I would like to thank you for taking time to write to us about your concerns.

Sincerely,

Tim Lambert, Ph.D.
Executive Director
Health Protection Branch

****WARNING:** This email is intended only for the use of the individual or organization to whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged or confidential. Any distribution, disclosure, copying, or other use by anyone else is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please telephone or e-mail the sender immediately and delete the message.

From: X
Sent: Saturday, June 9, 2018 7:55 PM
To: Minister, HLTH HLTH:EX
Cc: Henry, Bonnie HLTH:EX
Subject:

Dear Minister Dix and Dr. Henry,

Yet again, I have read the misinformation provided by the Ministry of Health, and other information by someone who considers himself an informed member of the public.

When will those intended to protect us learn to recognize the difference between real, independent, science and 'tobacco' science? Shouldn't you, at least, begin to ask the questions posed in the second paragraph below? When will you look beyond the thermal effects of Safety Code 6 or establish a new code that addresses the non-thermal effects of electromagnetic radiation?

If it is true that ISED does not set exposure limits, why are you implying that it does?

If you are aware of the NTP report, can you not find independent scientists or scientists who are NOT related to or funded by the industry, to verify the NTP results? Do you not owe it, not only to the health of the citizens you serve, but to the cost of the health care system that the province provides? Glioblastomas, schwannomas, other cancers and chronic health conditions reputed to be caused or affected by this technology are all very costly to treat! (And isn't it curious that Lloyd's of London will not cover issues related to this technology!)

Sincerely,
X

s.22

"Any one who has the power to make you believe absurdities has the power to make you commit injustices." Voltaire

s.22

If you wish to stop receiving updates, return this with "remove" on the subject line.

Page 024 to/à Page 028

Withheld pursuant to/removed as

DUPLICATE

Sullivan, Michelle A HLTH:EX

From: s.22
Sent: Friday, June 1, 2018 6:39 AM
To: s.22
Subject: FW: Update 2018-05-31 Honey bees dying -- but no mention of EMF as a contributing factor

From: s.22
Sent: May 31, 2018 11:29 PM
To: s.22
Subject: Update 2018-05-31 Honey bees dying -- but no mention of EMF as a contributing factor

- 1) Honey bees keep dying in increasing numbers every year. The many articles about this omit the fact that microwave radiation has been shown to weaken their immune system and to interfere with their navigational system. It is obvious that the media must doesn't want to open this topic for debate.

Honeybees May Be Dying in Larger Numbers Due to Climate Change

"U.S. beekeepers said 40 percent of their hives, also called colonies, died unexpectedly during the year that ended March 31, according to a survey released Wednesday by researchers from Auburn University and the University of Maryland. That's up from 33 percent a year earlier.

Elevated bee-loss rates have been an agricultural concern for the past decade, since a mysterious malady called Colony Collapse Disorder coincided with a doubling of honeybee death rates and spurred greater attention and research on commercial and wild bees. Higher death rates make pollination more expensive for beekeepers and farmers."

<https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2018-05-23/bee-death-increase-may-be-tied-to-climate-change-survey-says>

- 2) Continuation and expansion of "phonegate" by Dr. Arazi in France.

New withdrawal and updates for four mobile phones

« it is now the turn of four new smartphones exceeding the 2 W/kg Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) threshold for the trunk to have to ensure compliance...

In a first press release published on the ANFR website on Tuesday 29 May 2018, the Agency announced the withdrawal from the market of the NEFFOS X1 TP902 phone imported by TP-Link France and stated: « The measurements revealed that the regulatory limit of 2 W/kg (Watt per kilogram) had been exceeded by 0.52 W/kg, i.e. with a value of 2.52 W/kg on the rear of the telephone ».

In a second press release appearing the same day, ANFR announced having requested the updating of three phones of the brands Alcatel PIXI 4-6 », Echo Star Plus and Huawei Honor 8...

« Millions of mobile phones are concerned in France, tens of millions in Europe, hundreds of millions internationally ».

<https://www.phonegatealert.org/2018/05/30/first-reaction-new-withdrawal-and-updates-for-four-mobile-phones/>

- 3) Thanks to some help from the Union of BC Municipality, Hudson's Hope is seeing the financial benefit of making its own power. Community- or neighbourhood-owned solar systems could help many to cut ties with Hydro/FortisBC, thus realizing major savings as costs for electricity continue to rise and will do so, especially with expensive, wasteful projects like smelters and Site C.

Hudson's Hope hosting ribbon-cutting ceremony for municipal solar project this weekend

"In total, the District has installed 1550 solar panels on nine municipal facilities, creating B.C.'s largest municipal solar project and making Hudson's Hope the most-solarized community per capita in the province. As the solar panels go up, the District says its electrical bills are going down."

<https://www.energeticcity.ca/2018/05/hudsons-hope-hosting-ribbon-cutting-ceremony-for-municipal-solar-project-this-weekend/>

- 4) From someone in the US. Information about 5G is getting out there, at least in the rest of the world. I have seen precious little in Canada.

"I caught the last bit of a story on 5G and cancer on RT America's News with Ed Schultz. It looked really good.

It's on Comcast Channel 103 in Monterey. The program will be repeated at 3 AM GMT, which I think is 8 PM PDT and 11 PM EDT.

<https://www.rt.com/schedule/usa/> – it can be watched live online if your TV provider does not carry RT.

Tomorrow the show will be linked here (shows are posted the following day):

<https://www.rt.com/shows/news-with-ed-schultz/>

Letters:

Some to Prov. Health Officer Bonnie Henry. Please consider helping to keep the pressure on her. She needs to acknowledge the current science. If we are silent, she will think we are happy with the way things are!!! Silence is consent.

From: Janis Hoffmann (name given with permission)

Sent: May 31, 2018 6:12 AM

To: bonnie.henry@gov.bc.ca

Cc: hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; mike.farnworth.mia@leg.bc.ca; EDUC.Correspondence@gov.bc.ca;

educ.minister@gov.bc.ca; info@healthyschoolsbc.ca; tom.kosatsky@bccdc.ca; drtheresa.tam@canada.com;

thancock@uvic.ca

Subject: Re: Requesting a response to the letter from Parents, Teachers and Students April 20, 2018

Dear Dr. Bonnie Henry,

We request that the BC Provincial Health Officer provide the public with an official response to the findings of the US National Toxicology Program Expert Panel who concluded that there is "Clear Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity" and the association of cancer in the heart and brain and cell phone radiation. This is serious health and safety matter that will cause permanent damage to thousands of children in all our public schools. Currently it is mandatory that our children be exposed RF Microwave Radiation with no way to shield themselves from this full body radiation, much like the rats used in this study.

Parents have been robbed of their right to decide if this chronic exposure, an established 2B carcinogen listed next to lead, DDT, methyl mercury, chloroform, and car exhaust is an acceptable risk or whether precautionary measures should be implemented given there is alternative simple solution.

More and more schools are applying a 'No cell phone' policy during school hours due to distractions that negatively impact learning. This has made a huge impact on the reduction of radiation exposure our children are experiencing in our classrooms. However, the removal of the industrial strength Wi-Fi routers should implemented immediately and return to hard-wired Internet, which is faster, cheaper, more reliable, more secure and safer than wireless networks.

For as little as a one time cost of \$500 US dollars every classroom would be provided with 40 Ethernet ports plus adapters for their wireless devices, costing about \$20 per child, a small price to pay compared to brain surgery, chemotherapy, radiation treatments etc.

As the Provincial Health Office you have a duty to take reasonable steps to reduce wireless radiation in our schools given the overwhelming amount of evidence of harm that concerned parents have submitted.

Respectfully,

Janis Hoffmann
Founder of Parentsforsafeschools
Vancouver Island Rep. C4ST.org

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject:Parents, teachers and students want to know why the BC Provincial Health Officer has not done her due diligence and informed the School Boards about the health risks

Date:Fri, 20 Apr 2018 15:57:04 -0700

From:Janis Hoffmann

To:bonnie.henry@gov.bc.ca

CC:hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca, mike.farnworth.mla@leg.bc.ca, EDUC.Correspondence@gov.bc.ca,

educ.minister@gov.bc.ca, info@healthyschoolsbc.ca, tom.kosatsky@bccdc.ca, drtheresa.tam@canada.com

Dear Dr. Bonnie Henry,

Parents, teachers and students want to know why the BC Provincial Health Officer has not done her due diligence and informed the School Boards about the health risks from the prolonged and accumulative exposure to RF radiation emitting from wireless technology in our schools.

On March 26-29, 2018, the National Toxicology Program (NTP), a 10-year study costing the US 25 million dollars, had eleven experts review the reports from the NTP's cell phone radiation studies. They concluded that exposure to cell phone radiation caused a rare cancer, malignant schwannoma in the heart, and incidences of glioma, a rare, aggressive and highly malignant brain cancer. <https://ehtrust.org/cell-phone-radiofrequency-radiation-study/>

Conclusions were based on NTP's scale of "clear evidence", "some evidence", "equivocal evidence", and "no evidence". **Clear Evidence being conclusive.**

<https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/results/pubs/longterm/defs/index.html>

https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/about_ntp/trpanel/2018/march/actions20180328_508.pdf

"The evidence indicating wireless is carcinogenic has increased and can no longer be ignored," stated University of Toronto Dalla Lana School of Public Health Professor Emeritus Anthony B. Miller MD, Member of the Royal Colleges of Physicians of Canada and the UK, and Senior Medical Advisor to EHT who is also a long-term advisor to the World Health Organization. https://www.youtube.com/watch?time_continue=5&v=bgGJeOVEDQs

Soon after, the Ramazzini Institute also completed the world's largest animal study on cell tower radiation and confirmed the findings of the National Toxicology Program link to Cancer. This information accompanied with the over 26,000 peer reviewed studies by scientists and medical experts <https://www.emf-portal.org/en> is more than enough evidence for you to apply the precautionary principal to protect our children. <http://www.sbwire.com/press-releases/worlds-largest-animal-study-on-cell-tower-radiation-confirms-cancer-link-953696.htm>

In January 1998, The Wingspread Conference held a three-day academic conference where the Precautionary Principle was defined. It was agreed the Precautionary Principle **would be implemented when an activity raises threats of harm to human health or the environment, even if some cause and effect relationships are not fully established scientifically.** The Precautionary Principle shifts the burden of proof, insisting that those responsible for an activity must vouch for its harmlessness and be held responsible if damage occurs. <http://sehn.org/wingspread-conference-on-the-precautionary-principle/>

Health is a Provincial Jurisdiction. Your duty and obligation as the Provincial Health Officer and as a Doctor is to implement the Precautionary Principle by honouring your Hippocratic Oath, "nil nocere" to do no harm and protect our children from this health crisis.

All schools now employ industrial wireless networks, exposing students and staff to ubiquitous microwave radiation without any way to shield or avoid this mandatory exposure. Parents have never been informed of the health risks or given their written consent to expose their children to microwave radiation in our schools. School Districts have refused to educate students, parents and staff on how to avoid excessive RFR exposure when handling wireless devices during class time as outlined in user manuals, requirements mandated by law.

Vancouver Island School Boards have shifted the responsibility of potential lawsuits caused by this wireless technology to their School Medical Health Officer, Dr. Stanwick, who has endorsed the installation of a known 2b carcinogen in our schools and is unable to provide parents with any peer reviewed studies stating the safety of radiation exposure. Dr. Stanwick then shifted **"the responsibility for professional oversight"** to the Provincial Health Officer.

The BC Ministry of Education has stated they set educational standards, allocates funds and monitors student achievement but decisions regarding the planning and delivery of supports and services for all students are the responsibility of local boards of education and school district administrators. This includes decisions around exposure to RF frequencies and the use of WiFi in the classroom setting.

The Health Minister Philpott was also unable to provide parents with peer reviewed studies stating the safety of Wifi safety in our schools and has instead relied on Health Canada's Safety Code 6 exposure "guidelines."

But on February 20, 2013, James McNamee, scientist for Health Canada, admitted in the Superior Court of Quebec, that the Safety Code 6 guideline for microwave radiation emitting from wireless technology is based ONLY on thermal effects, heating of the skin. This means Canada does not have any regulations in place to protect the public from long-term exposure to the biological effects of the "non-thermal" levels of microwave radiation.

<http://www.magdahavas.com/health-canada-admits-safety-code-6-guideline-for-microwave-radiation-is-based-only-on-thermal-effects/>

On page 3, inside the Safety Code 6 document it states, "These guidelines apply to all individuals working at, or visiting, federally regulated sites and may also be adopted by the provinces, industry or other interested parties." Schools are not federally regulated sites and, therefore, SC 6 does not apply to wifi in schools. The fact that the provinces may Adopt SC 6 means that the provinces are free to set their own guidelines.

<http://www.radiationsafety.ca/wp-content/uploads/2012/06/Safety-Code-6.pdf>

In a report by Dr. Martin Pall he discusses Ken Foster and John Moulder, 2 industry affiliated scientists who, for years, have argued that there is no evidence of harm from exposure to microwave radiation. These 2 "bent" scientists have been on Health Canada panels and reviewed Safety Code 6, stating it is protective of non-thermal effects, when it applies to thermal effects only. On page 412 (8 of 12) he explains how they use poor science to help support wifi in schools, cell phones, etc

<https://drive.google.com/file/d/1cxHocOxsX330O3dsOfJVLHEj2BVi3Klw/view>

Tim Singer from Health Canada responded to a letter concerning the radiation levels in our schools by stating, *Health Canada is aware of concerns related to Wi-Fi in schools, such decisions are not within Health Canada's mandate. We recommend that you continue to consult your local school board or provincial authority to discuss your concerns.*

The finger pointing has now gone full circle and it is obvious that Provincial Health Officer will be held accountable for willfully ignoring the overwhelming amount of scientific proof of harm.

Parents want to exercise their right to protect their children from harm and are calling on you to take immediate action to mitigate the risk and inform the School Boards throughout the province of the established health risks associated with long term exposure to microwave radiation by recommending the use of hard wired connections to access the Internet in our schools.

The burden of proof should not be put upon the public to prove that it's unsafe, but upon **the industry to prove it is safe.**

Sincerely,

Janis Hoffmann

Founder of Parentsforsafeschools Vancouver Island Rep. C4ST.org

From: s.22

Sent: May 29, 2018 9:32 PM

To: 'Bonnie.Henry@gov.bc.ca' <Bonnie.Henry@gov.bc.ca>

Cc: 'HLTH.Minister@gov.bc.ca' <HLTH.Minister@gov.bc.ca>

Subject: Two major studies on microwave radiation

Dear Dr. Henry,

Within the last year, two remarkable studies pertaining to microwave radiation, one of which was rated as first class even by the American Cancer Societies, have been completed and reports have been released.

1) The National Toxicology Project. A 10 year \$25 million study which was devoted to studying cell phone radiation.

2) Ramazzini Institute's study on Base Station/Cell tower Radiation. It also was a 10 year project.

The studies took different approaches. The NTP dealt with higher levels of exposure emitted by cell phones held close to the body while the exposures used in the Ramazzini study were far lower – the same to which we are exposed in our everyday environment.

The results were identical: serious biological effects which included increases in brain tumors (especially glioblastomas) and in rare cancerous heart tumors (schwannomas).

<https://ehtrust.org/clear-evidence-of-cancer-concludes-the-expert-panel-to-the-us-national-toxicology-program-on-cell-phone-radiation-study-findings/>

<https://ehtrust.org/wp-content/uploads/Ramazzini-Institute-Study-Press-Q-and-A-2.pdf>

A peer review of the NTP study was done in March, 2018, and concluded that there was "clear evidence" (the strongest classification) of cancer.

Dr. Henry, could you please tell me when I might receive your evaluation of these studies? I look forward to hearing from you at your earliest convenience.

s.22

s.22

"Your silence gives consent" - Plato

If you wish to stop receiving updates, return this with "remove" on the subject line.

Sullivan, Michelle A HLTH:EX

From: s.22
Sent: Saturday, June 16, 2018 7:08 AM
To: s.22
Subject: FW: Update 2018-06-15 s.22
Attachments: JAN EHS (1).pdf

From: Janis Hoffmann [mailto:stopsmartmetersbc@gmail.com]
Sent: June 16, 2018 3:17 AM
To: undisclosed-recipients:
Subject: Update 2018-06-15 We have lost a wonderful friend.

1) We have lost a wonderful friend. Dr. Blank gave much to all of us – his knowledge, his time, his dedication. He will be sorely missed.

Dr. Martin Blank, 1933-2018, A Man Who Emanated "Goodness"

From his son, *Ari R Blank*:

Dear friend:

I wish to share with you the sad news that my father, Dr. Martin Blank, has passed away at the age of 85. He did so peacefully, in his sleep, this past Wednesday, June 13.



Dr. Martin Blank

1933 - 2018

Please see the following link for the obituary written and approved by his family:

<https://emsafetyalliance.org/docs/Martin-Blank-Obituary-2018.pdf>

The family requests that, in lieu of flowers, donations shall be made to the *Electromagnetic Safety Alliance*, for which Dr. Blank was an advisor. Donations may be made via PayPal.

1) Visit <https://emsafetyalliance.org/>

2) Scroll to the bottom of the page

3) Click the 'Donate' button.

Memorial proceedings are TBD.

Sincerely,
Ari R Blank

Here are 2 of his many papers:

Electromagnetic fields stress living cells.

Blank M¹, Goodman R.

Author information

Abstract

Electromagnetic fields (EMF), in both ELF (extremely low frequency) and radio frequency (RF) ranges, activate the cellular stress response, a protective mechanism that induces the expression of stress response genes, e.g., HSP70, and increased levels of stress proteins, e.g., hsp70. The 20 different stress protein families are evolutionarily conserved and act as 'chaperones' in the cell when they 'help' repair and refold damaged proteins and transport them across cell membranes. Induction of the stress response involves activation of DNA, and despite the large difference in energy between ELF and RF, the same cellular pathways respond in both frequency ranges. Specific DNA sequences on the promoter of the HSP70 stress gene are responsive to EMF, and studies with model biochemical systems suggest that EMF could interact directly with electrons in DNA. While low energy EMF interacts with DNA to induce the stress response, increasing EMF energy in the RF range can lead to breaks in DNA strands. It is clear that in order to protect living cells, EMF safety limits must be changed from the current thermal standard, based on energy, to one based on biological responses that occur long before the threshold for thermal changes.

[https://www.pathophysiologyjournal.com/article/S0928-4680\(09\)00006-6/pdf](https://www.pathophysiologyjournal.com/article/S0928-4680(09)00006-6/pdf)

Protein and DNA reactions stimulated by electromagnetic fields.

Blank M¹.

Author information

Abstract

The stimulation of protein and DNA by electromagnetic fields (EMF) has been problematic because the fields do not appear to have sufficient energy to directly affect such large molecules. Studies with electric and magnetic fields in the extremely low-frequency range have

shown that weak fields can cause charge movement. It has also been known for some time that redistribution of charges in large molecules can trigger conformational changes that are driven by large hydration energies. This review considers examples of direct effects of electric and magnetic fields on charge transfer, and structural changes driven by such changes.

Conformational changes that arise from alterations in charge distribution play a key role in membrane transport proteins, including ion channels, and probably account for DNA stimulation to initiate protein synthesis. It appears likely that weak EMF can control and amplify biological processes through their effects on charge distribution.

<https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10307262054844/3-12%20Attachment%20-%20Blank%2C%20Electromagnetic%20Biology%20%2C%202008.pdf>

2) Attached is a letter from the US Department of Education acknowledging sensitivity to EMFs and states that accommodations at work must be made to protect against the health issues.

“Job Accommodation Network recognizes EHS.

General Accommodation Considerations

- Relocate workplace away from areas where symptoms are triggered. This may include limiting certain types of devices in the vicinity of the employee’s workstation.
- Provide wired telephones and network connections.
- Provide building-wide and/or workspace shielding of equipment and devices”

3) When writing to public health officials, school principals, MLAs, MPs, politicians etc. we often have to search for supporting letters from experts. A compilation of more than 100 letters from doctors and scientists regarding EHS and microwave radiation has been put together. This is a great resource and I would recommend you set this aside in a file for future use.

<https://drive.google.com/file/d/0B2oWg9jNIPa9cUtHYzJURFpUZG5TaUxTLTBfOGtnbHM1dXpR/view>

Letters:

If the links at the end of the letter don’t work, copy them and do a search.

From: s.22

Sent: June 14, 2018 1:29 PM

To: 'premier@gov.bc.ca' <premier@gov.bc.ca>; 'Andrew.wilkinsonl@gov.bc.ca' <Andrew.wilkinsonl@gov.bc.ca>

Subject: Letter to Dr. Bonnie Henry, BC’s PHO re. hazardous EMR

Please note the attached letter, a hard copy of which was sent to Dr. Bonnie Henry today via Registered Mail.

Thank you.

s.22

2018 Released to the Public

Dr. Bonnie Henry
Provincial Health Officer
P.O. Box 9648
STN PROV GOVT
Victoria BC V8W 9P4

Dear Dr. Henry,

Media Silence
Keeps Canada's Medical Community
Ignorant of the Hazards of Electromagnetic Radiation (EMR)

As British Columbia's PHO, you – like all people - should be shocked and outraged to learn that the US Centre for Disease Control's latest survey found that, in the USA, the incidence of autism in 2016 was an absolutely frightening 1 in 36 US children – and 1 in 28 boys! [1] Sixty years ago, the incidence of autism in children was thought to be more like 1 in 10,000! Authorities also say that, today, almost every 2nd person will contract cancer in their lifetime! Sixty years ago, one seldom knew - or even heard of – someone who had cancer! Alzheimer's too was unheard of. So was hearing of someone committing suicide ... or suffering from depression! Schools didn't need 'special needs' teachers. What has changed so drastically in our world to cause this? Man-made technology that emits EMR!

A prudent, caring and responsible PHO errs on the side of caution, insofar as the public's health and safety are concerned. But today presents unique challenges which no PHO could possibly have imagined 60 years ago – when technology began to take over our lives. Today, every person in British Columbia – including you, Dr. Henry - regardless of where they live, is chronically exposed – without either their knowledge or consent - to invisible, silent, odorless and tasteless radiation (EMR) which is emitted by ever-more cell phones, cordless phones, cell phone towers, overhead power transmission and distribution lines, substations, 'smart' meters, household electricity, household appliances, AM and FM radio and TV towers, Wi-Fi routers, Bluetooth, baby monitors, tablet and laptop computers, GPS, satellites, radars, power tools, machinery, electric vehicles, collision avoidance systems, driverless cars, etc. Scientists call the resultant, invisible blanket of unimaginably dense EMR that now encircles the globe "electrosmog"! [2]

Governments and health officials everywhere must realize that it is only those who manufacture, utilize, sell or otherwise profit from the sale or use of these technologies who claim that EMR is 'SAFE' and harmless to humans – as was the case with asbestos, tobacco, Thalidomide, etc.! Non-industry scientists know that EMR, especially when 'pulsed,' is hazardous to all living things – not just humans! Moreover, they say that EMR – at any level – CAUSES cancers and most of today's ever-increasing disease epidemics!

In addition to the literally thousands of peer-reviewed scientific studies that have been done over the past 50+ years by eminent scientists around the world, including both BioInitiative Reports [3], the International EMF Scientists Appeal to the United Nations (which, as of March 2018, was signed by 237 scientists from 41 nations) [4], and the Scientists and Doctors warning of potential serious health effect of 5G technology (signed by more than 180 scientists and doctors from 35 countries) [5] - all of which agreed that *non thermal* EMR was harmful to humans and all living things, and that new biological Exposure Limits were needed to protect the public – two, new major studies have provided yet further clear, conclusive evidence that *non-thermal* EMR does CAUSE cancer - gliomas of the brain and schwannomas of the heart! The first study, conducted by the US National Toxicology Program (NTP), a division of the National Institutes of Health, was a US \$25-million, 10-year+ study on cell phone radiation. [6]

The second study, conducted by the renowned Italian Ramazzini Institute, was the world's largest-ever done on animals and cell tower radiation. Scientists found it 'striking' that "both experimental studies found the same type of rare tumors, which also have pertinence to the human clinical picture"! [7]

Dr. Henry, please note that public health experts from the states of California, Connecticut and Maryland, as well as those in France, Israel, and Belgium all call on government and the private sector to educate the public, promote safer technology, and require and expedite industry to make fundamental changes in hardware and software to reduce exposures to radio / microwave frequency radiation throughout indoor and outdoor environments! [8] [9] [10]

Dr. Henry, it is essential that you realize that Canada's entire medical community (i.e., CMA and its provincial chapters, medical schools, PHOs, centres for disease control, hospital medical directors, physicians and all other healthcare workers) have deliberately been kept ignorant of the hazards of EMR – as have all Canadians - thanks to mainstream news media's sinful silence these past 50-60 years! Otherwise, today's unimaginable situation could not possibly have happened (This applies equally to all major Western countries)!

In British Columbia's case (which is the same in every jurisdiction in Canada), this 'crime against humanity' is caused by Health Canada's (HC) Radiation Protection Bureau, who produce the globally-reviled 'Safety Code 6,' which, HC wants the world to believe, protects Canadians against electromagnetic field (EMF) exposure. It does not! (Note: In this context the terms EMR and EMF are interchangeable.)

For the health and safety of all British Columbians, it is imperative that you, BC's PHO, scrutinize the 2011, 10-year, \$100-million/year (potentially a \$1-Billion) contract the government of BC signed with Telus. Not surprisingly, with no health authority in B.C. with the requisite EMR expertise to oppose them, Telus installed wireless technology wherever they wanted – each and every item or product of which produces hazardous non-thermal EMR (which the World Health Organization or “WHO” classified a Class 2B ‘Possible’ carcinogen, in 2011). This is why British Columbia's cancer clinics, hospitals, nursing homes, schools, etc. today are all awash in wireless devices, e.g., Wi-Fi routers, cell phones, cordless phones, Bluetooth headsets, wireless computers, keyboards, etc. – the radiation from which non-industry EMF scientists know CAUSES cancer and most, if not all, of today's disease epidemics!

Today's unthinkably evil situation [in which Health Canada's Radiation Protection Bureau, instead of protecting the health and safety of Canadians, is an integral member of the corrupt cabal of ‘radiation protection agencies,’ i.e., the WHO, ICNIRP (International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection), IEEE/ICES (Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers / International Committee on Electromagnetic Safety)] – constitutes: 1) an unprecedented crime against humanity; 2) an absolute violation of every person's human rights; and, 3) a gross violation of the Nuremberg Code! This unbelievable, frightening corruption screams out for the RCMP to be directed to conduct an immediate, thorough national / international - criminal investigation of the entire EMR / EMF community, to identify and apprehend those cruel, heartless individuals responsible for and/or complicit in this most heinous of all crimes! [11]

In closing Dr. Henry, before telecoms can roll out their unproven and universally-feared 5G (Fifth Generation) technology – which will flood every home in every community throughout BC with inescapable CANCER-causing EMR - as BC's PHO, it is incumbent upon you to strongly recommend to the Government of BC that it immediately invoke the ‘Precautionary Principle’ in order to protect the public's health and safety. This – finally - would put the onus on electric power utilities and wireless and telecom companies to prove (by independent, qualified experts – having no ties to industry) that their technologies are indeed SAFE to be used on, by and/or around people of all ages, of all sizes, and of all levels of wellness on a 24/7/365 basis in perpetuity! Today's unhealthy and dangerous media concentration requires a second investigation.

Sincerely,

s.22

References

- [1] <https://www.askdrsears.com/topics/autism/autism-rate-jumps-1-36-children-1-28-boys>
- [2] <https://maisonsaine.ca/sante-et-securite/electrosmog/wireless-electrosmog-was-canada-s-health-minister-misled-by-her-officials.html>
- [3] www.bioinitiative.org

- [4] <https://www.emfscientist.org/>
- [5] <https://ehtrust.org/wp-content/uploads/Scientist-5G-appeal-2017.pdf>
- [6] https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/research/areas/cellphone/slides_bioem_wyde.pdf
- [7] <https://www.naturalblaze.com/2018/04/cell-tower-radiation-cancer-link-confirmed-largest-animal-study.htm>
- [8] <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0013935118300367?via%3Dihub>
- [9] <https://ehtrust.org/wp-content/uploads/Comment-on-NTP-study-2-1.pdf>
- [10] <https://ehtrust.org/worlds-largest-animal-study-on-cell-tower-radiation-confirms-cancer-link/>
- [11] <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5504984/>

s.22

It is difficult to get a man to understand something when his salary depends on his not understanding it.....
Upton Sinclair

s.22

If you wish to stop receiving updates, return this with "remove" on the subject line.

Page 042 to/à Page 048

Withheld pursuant to/removed as

DUPLICATE

JAN

Job Accommodation Network

Practical Solutions • Workplace Success

Effective Accommodation Practices (EAP) Series

Job Accommodations for People with Electrical Sensitivity

Job Accommodation Network

PO Box 6080

Morgantown, WV 26506-6080

(800)526-7234 (V)

(877)781-9403 (TTY)

jan@askjan.org

askjan.org



ODEP

Office of Disability
Employment Policy

A service of the U.S. Department of Labor's Office of Disability Employment Policy

JAN'S EAP SERIES

JOB ACCOMMODATIONS FOR PEOPLE WITH ELECTRICAL SENSITIVITY

Electromagnetic sensitivity, also known as electromagnetic hypersensitivity, electrical sensitivity, electro-magnetic sensitivity, and idiopathic environmental illness (IEI), has been difficult for the environmental health and medical communities to define. Individuals with electromagnetic sensitivity may experience various non-specific symptoms including but not limited to fatigue, weakness, neurological issues, immunological issues, gastrointestinal issues, increased irritability, lack of ability to think clearly and quickly, sleep disturbance, overall malaise, and anxiety.

Individuals with electromagnetic sensitivity typically report managing symptoms by avoiding exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) that trigger their symptoms. They often make modifications to their homes and daily routines to minimize exposure through avoidance of EMFs and reduce their overall long term exposure to EMFs. When it is not possible to avoid it, then limiting duration and strength of exposure and use of shielding may also be useful. Based on data from JAN calls, common workplace issues involve exposure to Wi-Fi, cell phones, and computer equipment such as CPUs and monitors.

According to a review of literature by Martin Rösli 2007¹, a causal relationship between short term exposure to EMFs and elicitation of symptoms has been challenging to substantiate under laboratory conditions. However, population based studies involving longer term exposure have shown correlation between long term exposure and symptoms such as headache, cold hands or feet, and concentration difficulties. Research on this topic is ongoing.

The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) have published guidelines for "safe" levels of human exposure in a publication called, Manual for Measuring Occupational Electric and Magnetic Field Exposures. However, the nature of electromagnetic sensitivity is such that even levels that are deemed safe for the general public can cause trigger symptoms for individuals who are hypersensitive. Individuals affected by electromagnetic sensitivity experience symptoms at far lower levels and therefore may need accommodations in the workplace beyond the safe levels of exposure indicated in the manual.

¹ Science Direct Environmental Research 107 (2008) 277–287 Radiofrequency electromagnetic field exposure and non-specific symptoms of ill health: A systematic review Martin Rösli Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, Department of Social and Preventive Medicine, University of Bern, Finkenhubelweg 11, CH-3012 Bern, Switzerland Received 21 September 2007; received in revised form 4 February 2008; accepted 6 February 2008 Available online 21 March 2008 Retrieved 2/12/2015

Organizations such as the World Health Organization (WHO) and the United States Access Board, which offers technical assistance on the ADA Accessibility Guidelines, have issued statements and regulatory guidelines related to electrical sensitivity. The World Health Organization (WHO) held an international workshop on the issue in Prague, Czech Republic, in 2004. WHO recognizes that a significant number of people report symptoms after exposure to electromagnetic radiation that range from neurological and immunological to gastrointestinal issues (WHO, 2005). The Access Board addressed electromagnetic sensitivities as part of the IEQ Indoor Environmental Quality Project.

The following is a quick overview of some of the job accommodations that might be useful for people with electrical sensitivity. For a more in depth discussion, access JAN's publications at <http://AskJAN.org/media/atoz.htm>. To discuss an accommodation situation with a consultant, contact JAN directly.

General Accommodation Considerations

- Allow communication via typewriter or handwritten notes rather than via computer or cover the computer with Plexiglas or other shielding material.
- Provide headset/handset extenders or alternate headsets to lengthen the distance between devices that trigger symptoms and the employee's body.
- Change the employee's shift to allow for less exposure to others' devices.
- Relocate workplace away from areas where symptoms are triggered. This may include limiting certain types of devices in the vicinity of the employee's workstation.
- Allow telework (Note: regarding work at home, unless the employee wants to work at home, other options should be explored first to keep the employee in the workplace).
- Allow the employee to meet with others in areas where triggers are minimized or allow remote access to meetings or activities that must take place in areas that trigger symptoms.
- Provide wired telephones and network connections.
- Provide building-wide and/or workspace shielding of equipment and devices, for example add filters to fluorescent lights and tape electrical cords.
- Individuals with electrical sensitivity may also experience limitations from fragrance sensitivity and/or photosensitivity.

Updated 04/28/15

This document was developed by the Job Accommodation Network (JAN). Preparation of this item was funded by the Office of Disability Employment Policy, U.S. Department of Labor, Grant Number OD-23442-12-75-4-54. This document does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Office of Disability Employment Policy, U.S. Department of Labor, nor does the mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

Sullivan, Michelle A HLTH:EX

From: s.22
Sent: Tuesday, June 26, 2018 7:42 AM
To: s.22
Subject: FW: Update 2018-06-25 Suicide clusters related to EMR??
Attachments: 2018-05-29 Letter to the Minister of Health - G5 network wireless radiation.pdf

From: s.22
Sent: June 26, 2018 12:08 AM
To: s.22
Subject: Update 2018-06-25 Suicide clusters related to EMR??

- 1) Regarding communication with MPs and MLAs, one member was able to meet with her MP, who wrote to the Minister of Health on her behalf. No response from the Minister has been received yet. Mr. MacGregor is an NDP member -- is he the exception that proves the rule? Please see "letters" and the attached.
- 2) Many schools and universities around the world have seen increasing numbers of students committing suicide, and some experts, such as Barrie Trower, have warned that increasing levels of microwave radiation cause depression, anxiety and suicide, especially in young people.

Student suicide clusters and its missing link

"In 2009 the UK's ex-Ministry of Defence physicist and renowned microwave weapons expert Barrie Trower said of the Bridgend suicides⁽⁵⁾

"I think I can link them all together and I think it's a viable reason to look into. We know the suicide rates are rising. The increase in suicides does match the speed of low level microwaves. It's happening in every country in the world, not just Bridgend."

In 2008 he wrote a report on the effects of TETRA⁽⁷⁾ for the UK Police Federation and he said at the time "waves from the new police radio system could create "anxiety leading to suicide". His report highlighted the symptoms of electrosensitivity which included depression, lack of concentration, personality changes and suicidal tendencies. He also said young people were particularly susceptible as their immune system was not wholly developed. Mr Trower went on to add, "It's the young who get it first," he said. "The younger you are, your skull is thinner than an adult's so the waves can go through and your immune system is not fully developed. The suicide rate is leaping up all over the place and it happens to cluster in areas.""

<http://hellostarseeds.net/2018/05/29/student-suicide-clusters-the-missing-link/>

- 3) Further to BC Hydro's crisis management fund, the financial estimates for setting up the process and annual costs can be found on pages 28-29.

http://www.bcuc.com/Documents/Proceedings/2017/DOC_49750_B-1_BCH_Customer_Emergency_Fund_Pilot_Program.pdf

And here are some comments to consider. BC Hydro is very generous with OUR money – and it is a tax.

<https://acuriouslookatpoliticsinbc.blogspot.com/2018/06/they-can-call-it-what-they-want-clean.html>

- 4) Barrie Trower, EMR expert, believes it criminal to expose children to wifi. Exposure could cause DNA damage that damages future generations.

TURN OFF YOUR WIFI ROUTER BEFORE BED!

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BToqEvCAoPo> 14 min.

Letters:

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject:From the office of MP Alistair MacGregor

Date:Tue, 12 Jun 2018 20:00:47 +0000

From:Alistair.MacGregor.C1A@parl.gc.ca

To:Janis Hoffmann (name given with permission)

Hi Janis,

I am following up with you regarding your meeting with MP Alistair MacGregor on April 11th.

Attached is Alistair's letter to the Minister of Health regarding concerns about G5 network wireless radiation.

We will be sure to share the Minister's reply with you when we receive it.

All the best,

Jennifer Hermary, Community Outreach Coordinator

Office of Alistair MacGregor, MP

Cowichan-Malahat-Langford

alistairmacgregor.ndp.ca

alistair.macgregor@parl.gc.ca

s.22

"Knowledge is Power." Sir Francis Bacon, 1597

s.22

If you wish to stop receiving updates, return this with "remove" on the subject line.



HOUSE OF COMMONS
CHAMBRE DES COMMUNES
CANADA

Alistair MacGregor

MEMBER OF PARLIAMENT - COWICHAN-MALAHAT-LANGFORD

Parliamentary Office
519 Confederation Building
Ottawa, ON K1A 0A6

Duncan Community Office
101-126 Ingram St.
Duncan, BC V9L 1P1

Langford Community Office
3202B Happy Valley Rd.
Langford, BC V9C 2V8

Ottawa Tel.: 613-943-2180

Community Office Toll Free Tel.: 1-866-609-9998

Email: Alistair.MacGregor@parl.gc.ca

Web: alistairmacgregor.ca



May 29, 2018

The Honourable Ginette Petitpas Taylor
Minister of Health
House of Commons
Ottawa, ON K1A 0A6

Dear Minister Petitpas Taylor,

I am writing on behalf of constituents who have concerns with the future rollout of Canada's 5G wireless network, and who would appreciate further research into the possible effects the continuous exposure might pose to human health.

As you may be aware, the 5G network will employ the so-called millimetre-wave spectrum, which has wavelengths so small they are measured in millimetres. These radio waves can carry huge amounts of data but don't travel far, which means network builders need to place many small cells close together to use this spectrum. These networks will include a mix of traditional cell-phone towers and antennas on rooftops, plus a web of small cells at lower heights to support bandwidth use over a range of distances. What this effectively means is that people walking down the streets of urban centers will be constantly exposed to wireless radiation at distances that are much closer than our traditional cell tower placements.

On December 2, 2010, the Standing Committee on Health presented its tenth report to the House of Commons, entitled *Impact of Microwaves on Human Health*. Among the recommendations of that report was that Health Canada and Industry Canada develop a comprehensive risk awareness program for exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic radiation, which would include Health Canada making public all the studies and analyses undertaken on the impact of this radiation on human health. As a part of that report, the NDP provided its complimentary report, which acknowledged the rapidly evolving technology and the need for precautions to ensure no adverse biological effects.

In June 2015, the Standing Committee on Health revisited the issue with its thirteenth report to the House of Commons, entitled *Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Radiation and the Health of Canadians*. Among the recommendations of that report was that the Government of Canada consider providing funding to the Canadian Institutes of Health Research in support of long-term studies examining the potential health impacts of exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic radiation and develop a comprehensive risk awareness program for exposure to this radiation.


Prior to this most recent report, the Royal Society of Canada's Expert Panel reviewed the issue and Safety Code 6 in 2016 in response to Health Canada's request. As you are aware, the purpose of Safety Code 6 is to establish safety limits for human exposure to RF fields in the frequency range from 3 kHz to 300 GHz. The safety limits in this code apply to all individuals working at, or visiting, federally regulated sites.

Minister, it is quite apparent that the issue of wireless radiation has attracted much study, and deservedly so. Despite Health Canada's defence of Safety Code 6, the Royal Society of Canada's Expert panel noted in its report that the measurement, calculation and assessment of the ionizing radiation dose absorbed by the human body in the 6 GHz to 300 GHz frequency range is still developing and further research is required to examine the effects of exposure to new and emerging technologies. There are multiple peer-reviewed journal articles that exist which point to real concerns with Safety Code 6. The 5G network rollout will essentially introduce a new spectrum and will "blanket" urban spaces with wireless radiation because of the close proximity of the new cells on telephone poles and the sides of buildings.

I am bringing this issue to your attention for your review and comment. As the Royal Society's Expert Panel noted, we need further research to examine the effect the effects of exposure to the new and emerging technology of the 5G wireless network. It is often the case that we discover harms of a product well after its introduction to, and use by, the public. I hope that your government will very much use the precautionary principle prior to the widespread rollout of the 5G wireless network.

I thank you on behalf of my concerned constituents for your attention to this matter, and I look forward to your reply.

Sincerely,



Alistair MacGregor, MP
Cowichan-Malahat-Langford.

Cc: Constituents

AM/am

Sullivan, Michelle A HLTH:EX

From: s.22
Sent: Monday, July 30, 2018 8:05 AM
To: s.22
Subject: FW: Update 2018-07-29 Smeters --> billing problem

From: s.22
Sent: July 29, 2018 11:55 PM
To: s.22
Subject: Update 2018-07-29 Smeters --> billing problems

- 1) More info about the class-action lawsuit customers are starting in Maine, charging that the utility company illegally overcharged customers after the smeter program was implemented.

AMI Smart Meter Overcharges & Overbillings Class Action Lawsuit

“How can that be when, in reality, utility companies are billing customers for the electricity the utility sends to monitor the customer, which was never factored into utility bills rendered by analog meters for decades! Analogs only recorded what customers actually used; whereas AMI SMs record as customer usage the following:

Electric power spikes, aka “dirty electricity” or sinusoidal waves, sent as often as every 15 seconds.

Electric power signals to monitor “smart appliances” you have in your home. The AMI SM sends out electric signals until it finds a smart appliance to hook up with. Did you know that?

Electric power to send signals back to the utility of what it finds from the surveillance of your smart appliances; what those appliances were doing and the times—as often as utility AMI SMs computer programs are written to send that information over HAN or LAN networks to cell towers or other networks.”

<https://www.activistpost.com/2018/07/ami-smart-meter-overcharges-overbillings-class-action-lawsuit.html>

- 2) More billing issues in North Carolina, where cellular connectivity is poor. Are there similar problems in BC? Electrical engineers have told that when the utility removes the smeter to “test it”, the software settings reboot, going back to factory settings. This means any testing is inaccurate and will not show if there might have been a problem or interference at the home. This is why the utility can say the meter is working as it should, “no problem”. The only ways to test accurately, according this EE, is to have the utility have its equipment at the site testing for several hours, which of course Hydro won’t do. Or there can be an analog installed measuring the usage along with the smeter to compare.

News 13 Investigates: Duke Energy's smart utility meters not without problems

The state's utility commission said between January and May, it received 30 consumer complaints related to new smart meters. In a third of the cases, the utility commission requested Duke go back and test the meters, and not once did Duke or its contractors find a meter out of compliance.

After months of back and forth, Duke threatened to cut off the Bakers' power, insisting a water leak was to blame for the large bill, meaning the increase was Baker's fault and responsibility. During that time, Duke also changed Baker's meter several times.

<https://wlos.com/news/local/news-13-investigates-duke-energys-smart-utility-meters-not-without-problems>

- 3) I would highly recommend the video (9.54 min) at this link. It demonstrates that the US FCC is ignoring/misrepresenting the scientific evidence while promoting wireless radiation. When its US counterparts are doing this, it makes it very easy for Health Canada and ISED to do the same in Canada.

The Telecom Industry ("Big Wireless") and Those Who Support Them Don't Care About "The Precautionary Principle." There is No Love in Not Caring about Harm from Radiation Exposure.

"The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) regulates new technology; HOWEVER, they are NOT a health organization. FCC employees cleverly defer to the FDA to avoid being held accountable for harm caused by exposure to technology. So – like the FDA – The FCC doesn't care about harm either.

Many U.S. elected officials don't seem to care either. This all becomes more obvious and ridiculous every day since Big Wireless has never said their products were safe. They've simply used outdated legislation and "Big Tobacco" tactics do keep the unsuspecting public buying their products."

<https://www.activistpost.com/2018/07/telecom-industry-big-wireless-supporters-dont-care-precautionary-principle.html>

- 4) Re. Site C. The history of the Peace River Valley, its geological history, is very interesting. Given its fragility and instability, which has been known for a long time, why on earth would Hydro want or be allowed to dam this river? It seems pure lunacy, and very dangerous. I believe this article should be sent to every MLA, and especially to Prem. Horgan and Min. Mungall, and ask them.

The Lifetime of a Dam

<http://www.stopsmartmetersbc.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/Chapter-3-The-Lifetime-of-a-Dam-Draft-by-Dr.-Sandra-Hoffmann-December-2013.pdf>

"If you see fraud and do not say fraud, you are a fraud." —Nicholas Nassim Taleb

If you wish to stop receiving updates, return this with "remove" on the subject line.

Sullivan, Michelle A HLTH:EX

From: s.22
Sent: Thursday, September 13, 2018 8:23 AM
To: s.22
Subject: FW: Update 2018-09-08 Dr. Bonnie Henry, like adrian bethandrew her, is ignoring information and requests for meetings.

From: s.22
Sent: September 9, 2018 12:30 AM
To: s.22
Subject: Update 2018-09-08 Dr. Bonnie Henry, like Kendall before her, is ignoring information and requests for meetings.

- 1) None of our elected officials or the bureaucrats, all of whom work for us, are answering letters these days. I suspect it is going to take some major action like picketing their offices before they pay attention. See a letter below as an example. If Adrian Dix or Dr. Henry respond I will share.

- 2) New groups are forming every day to join with us in this battle for a safer environment. This one is in Pennsylvania.

"Hello, after the PA HB2564 Hearing I decided to found Pennsylvanians for Safe Technology and started a website perhaps others too. I had it reviewed by some of the researchers and advocates I know and it will continuously be a Facebook page (Pennsylvanians for Safe Technology) so that we will have more validity with legislators and othe

Letter:

Subject:Our request for a statement on Wifi safety in our schools
Date:Sat, 25 Aug 2018 13:21:15 -0700
From:Janis Hoffmann (name given with permission)
To:adrian.dix.mla@leg.bc.ca, hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca
CC:bonnie.henry@gov.bc.ca, educ.minister@gov.bc.ca, thancock@uvic.ca, tom.kosatsky@bccdc.ca, premier@gov.bc.ca

Dear Adrian Dix,

Unfortunately our request for a statement about the safety of wireless technology in our schools from our new Provincial Health Officer, Dr. Bonnie Henry has gone unanswered. The most current letter on file is dated July 2011, from our School Health Officer, Dr. Stanwick, who was unable to provide us with any peer-reviewed studies stating the safety of RF radiation exposure. Dr. Stanwick instead has shifted *"the responsibility for professional oversight"* to the Provincial Health Officer. Dr. Stanwick, appears to have no educational background on the biological health effects of RF radiation exposure, yet has endorsed the installation of a known 2b carcinogen listed next to lead, DDT, methyl mercury, chloroform, and car exhaust as an acceptable risk in our schools.

The latest findings of the National Toxicology Program and the supporting Ramazzini Studies stating "Clear Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity" and the association of cancer in the heart and brain and cell phone radiation, warrants the solicitation of a current letter re Wi-Fi safety from Dr. Bonnie Henry to ensure the most accurate health information and recommendations for all children were being used as a basis for sound decision making on such an important topic as our children's health and well being.

Given the start of a new school year, parents, teachers and students are expecting a timely response to our concerns about this upcoming health crisis.

Respectfully,

Janis Hoffmann
Parentsforsafeschools

Letters to Dr. Bonnie Henry posted:

<https://parentsforasafeschool.blogspot.com/2018/09/waiting-for-response-about-wifi-safety.html>

From: X
Sent: September 7, 2018 5:56 AM
To: CBC Ombudsman <ombudsman@cbc.ca>
Cc: s.22
Subject: Re: Complaint regarding CBC Article

Dear Ms. Enkin:

In response to your email, I am not surprised, considering the ignorance and "political/industry bed-buddies." The issue is still dismissed as "contentious" but I expect this to change, with more and more of us standing up for what is right. I'm looking forward to a tsunami of lawsuits, as what has happened in the tobacco and asbestos industries. Unfortunately, it will be too late for the boy, and his family, who were wrongfully defamed, and all the others who suffer silently.

I wish to remind you of the Holocaust. If no one had stood up for Jews and others deemed unacceptable by Hitler, far more murders of innocent people would have occurred. There are many more parallels between these issues.

By not standing up for these innocent people, and exacerbating their suffering as the CBC has done, is not Canadian, and not my CBC! It makes me ill that the CBC has lowered its standards to this degree, and has hurt innocent people.

Sincerely,
X

s.22

"A government has no conscience. Sometimes it has a policy, but nothing more." Albert Camus

s.22

If you wish to stop receiving updates, return this with "remove" on the subject line.

Sullivan, Michelle A HLTH:EX

From: s.22
Sent: Wednesday, September 26, 2018 8:44 AM
To: s.22
Subject: FW: Update 2018-09-25 Jerry Flynn published a book

From: s.22
Sent: September 25, 2018 11:22 PM
To: s.22
Subject: Update 2018-09-25 Jerry Flynn published a book

There may not be an update tomorrow, Sept. 26.

1) A member found an audio link to the interview with Dr. Lloyd Morgan. Apparently there is a video here available for 30 days but I couldn't download it. We hope to have the video of Drs. Morgan and Heroux, and perhaps others, available soon.

<https://huffduffer.com/E6/503516>

2) Below in letters is info about a book that Jerry Flynn has published and is now available.

3) In Australia the govt and utility are as negligent and heartless as ours are. Why should people have to suffer just so that a dangerous, unnecessary device can read power consumption??

Heartless Minister D'Ambrosio refuses to help suffering retiree

“RESERVOIR retiree Sergio spends his nights in a cramped and freezing garage, surrounded by bird cages and old furniture.

Whenever he tries to sleep in his own bed he wakes up with pain, dizziness, and has difficulty talking.

Sergio said these problems started in 2013, shortly after a smart meter was installed at his home.”

<https://stopsmartmeters.com.au/2018/09/25/heartless-minister-dambrosio-refuses-to-help-suffering-retiree/>

4) Janis Hoffmann, who has been fighting long and hard to warn the public, teachers, school trustees and politicians about the dangers of wifi in schools, has designed a bumper, window, door sticker (non sticky). Her email address is parentsforsafeschools@gmail.com and facebook for this group is <https://www.facebook.com/search/top/?q=Parents%20for%20Safe%20Schools> This is just about the only way those who need to know are able to learn that info about wifi is available. Please consider letting parents and teachers know about this group.

New decal for your car \$5.00 includes postage.

My new batch of decals just came in and if you are interested in purchasing one, email me at parentsforsafeschools@gmail.com. This 8" x 8" vinyl decal is "low tack" that can be re-positioned and taken off your car without leaving any kind of sticky residue, much like a large 'Post-it note.' I removed the decal that was on my car for the last 5 years and it just peeled off leaving nothing behind.

Just remember to place the decal on a clean, dry, undamaged surface. The frosted vinyl is best on white and gray cars and the white vinyl is good for darker cars and windows. Email me and I will send you my address.



Letters:

Hi everyone,

The jacket of my first-ever, 10 years-in-the-making, 344-page soft cover book: "Hidden Dangers" can be seen in the links below (both the front and back covers), as can a Description of the book itself.

Because this is the ONLY book of its kind in the world, and because the public is slowly waking up to realize what scientists, globally, say CAUSES (albeit not solely):

- a) 1 in 2 Canadians will get cancer;
- b) 1 in 3 Americans will get cancer;

- c) cancer is the number 1 cause of deaths from disease in children!
- d) the incidence of autism in 2016 in the USA was 1 in 36 children and 1 in 28 boys!
- e) 'special needs' teachers today are commonplace in schools; and,

f) today's other disease epidemics, e.g., brain tumors/cancers, breast cancer, thyroid cancer, pancreatic cancer, testicular cancer, prostate cancer, leukemia and most other cancers, Alzheimer's, Parkinson's, ALS, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS) suicides, depression, miscarriages, sterility, infertility, asthma, heart ailments, behavioural problems, etc.

The book sells for \$24.99 plus \$1.25 GST plus shipping - we use Canada Posts' least expensive method to ship book(s).
First come, first served!

Cheers,

Jerry Flynn jerryjgf@shaw.ca

<http://www.stopmartmetersbc.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/Hidden-Dangers-by-J.G.-Flynn-Captain-Retired-ex-Electronic-Warfare-Specialist-.png>

- <http://www.stopmartmetersbc.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/Book-Covers-Hidden-Dangers-by-Jerry-G.-Flynn.pdf>

&

- <http://www.stopmartmetersbc.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/Book-Description-Hidden-Dangers-by-Jerry-G.-Flynn.docx>

s.22

"Facts do not cease to exist because they are ignored." Aldous Huxley

s.22

If you wish to stop receiving updates, return this with "remove" on the subject line.

Sullivan, Michelle A HLTH:EX

From: s.22
Sent: Friday, June 1, 2018 7:13 AM
To: Henry, Bonnie HLTH:EX
Cc: Minister, HLTH HLTH:EX; Farnworth.MLA, Mike LASS:EX; EDUC Correspondence EDUC:EX; Minister, EDUC EDUC:EX; info@healthyschoolsbc.ca; XT:HLTH Kosatsky, Tom; drtheresa.tam@canada.com; XT:Hancock, Trevor HLTH:IN
Subject: Fwd: Requesting a response to the letter from Parents, Teachers and Students April 20, 2018
Importance: High

Attention Prov. Health Officer Bonnie Henry

Please give this your utmost attention. s.22

have grave concerns as to toxic exposures to our students. I see more and more autistic children entering kindergarten each year not to mention various other auto immune diseases and allergies. Why has this become an epidemic and government officials are mute about it???
Come visit s.22 **and see for yourself many of our students face every day of their lives and there no 'turn off switch' for us** s.22 **and parents to protect them.**

Sincerely

s.22

Dear Dr. Bonnie Henry

We request that the BC Provincial Health Officer provide the public with an official response to the findings of the US National Toxicology Program Expert Panel who concluded that there is "**Clear Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity**" and the association of cancer in the heart and brain and cell phone radiation. This is serious health and safety matter that will cause permanent damage to thousands of children in all our public schools. Currently it is mandatory that our children be exposed RF Microwave Radiation with no way to shield themselves from this full body radiation, much like the rats used in this study.

Parents have been robbed of their right to decide if this chronic exposure, an established 2B carcinogen listed next to lead, DDT, methyl mercury, chloroform, and car exhaust is an acceptable risk or whether precautionary measures should be implemented given there is alternative simple solution.

More and more schools are applying a 'No cell phone' policy during school hours due to distractions that negatively impact learning. This has made a huge impact on the reduction of radiation exposure our children are experiencing in our classrooms. However, the removal of the industrial strength Wi-Fi routers should be implemented immediately and return to hard-wired Internet, which is faster, cheaper, more reliable, more secure and safer than wireless networks.

For as little as a one time cost of \$500 US dollars every classroom would be provided with 40 Ethernet ports plus adapters for their wireless devices, costing about \$20 per child, a small price to pay compared to brain surgery, chemotherapy, radiation treatments etc.

As the Provincial Health Officer you have a duty to take reasonable steps to reduce wireless radiation in our schools given the overwhelming amount of evidence of harm that concerned parents have submitted.

Respectfully,

Janis Hoffmann

Founder of Parentsforsafeschools

Vancouver Island Rep. C4ST.org

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject:Parents, teachers and students want to know why the BC Provincial Health Officer has not done her due diligence and informed the School Boards about the health risks

Date:Fri, 20 Apr 2018 15:57:04 -0700

From:Janis Hoffmann

To:bonnie.henry@gov.bc.ca

CC:hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca, mike.farnworth.mla@leg.bc.ca, EDUC.Correspondence@gov.bc.ca, educ.minister@gov.bc.ca, info@healthyschoolsbc.ca, tom.kosatsky@bccdc.ca, drtheresa.tam@canada.com

Dear Dr. Bonnie Henry,

Parents, teachers and students want to know why the BC Provincial Health Officer has not done her due diligence and informed the School Boards about the health risks from the prolonged and accumulative exposure to RF radiation emitting from wireless technology in our schools.

On March 26-29, 2018, the National Toxicology Program (NTP), a 10-year study costing the US 25 million dollars, had eleven experts review the reports from the NTP's cell phone radiation studies. They concluded that exposure to cell phone radiation caused a rare cancer, malignant schwannoma in the heart, and incidences of glioma, a rare, aggressive and highly malignant brain cancer.

<https://ehtrust.org/cell-phone-radiofrequency-radiation-study/>

Conclusions were based on NTP's scale of "clear evidence", "some evidence", "equivocal evidence", and "no evidence". **Clear Evidence being conclusive.**

<https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/results/pubs/longterm/defs/index.html>

https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/about_ntp/trpanel/2018/march/actions20180328_508.pdf

"The evidence indicating wireless is carcinogenic has increased and can no longer be ignored," stated University of Toronto Dalla Lana School of Public Health Professor Emeritus Anthony B. Miller MD, Member of the Royal Colleges of Physicians of Canada and the UK, and Senior Medical Advisor to EHT who is also a long-term advisor to the World Health Organization.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?time_continue=5&v=bgGJeOVEDQs

Soon after, the Ramazzini Institute also completed the world's largest animal study on cell tower radiation and confirmed the findings of the National Toxicology Program link to Cancer. This information accompanied with the over 26,000 peer reviewed studies by scientists and medical experts <https://www.emf-portal.org/en> is more than enough evidence for you to apply the precautionary principal to protect our children.

<http://www.sbwire.com/press-releases/worlds-largest-animal-study-on-cell-tower-radiation-confirms-cancer-link-953696.htm>

In January 1998, The Wingspread Conference held a three-day academic conference where the Precautionary Principle was defined. It was agreed **the Precautionary Principle would be implemented when an activity raises threats of harm to human health or the environment, even if some cause and effect relationships are not fully established scientifically.** The Precautionary Principle shifts the burden of proof, insisting that those responsible for an activity must vouch for its harmlessness and be held responsible if damage occurs.

<http://sehn.org/wingspread-conference-on-the-precautionary-principle/>

Health is a Provincial Jurisdiction. Your duty and obligation as the Provincial Health Officer and as a Doctor is to implement the Precautionary Principle by honouring your Hippocratic Oath, "nil nocere" to do no harm and protect our children from this health crisis.

All schools now employ industrial wireless networks, exposing students and staff to ubiquitous microwave radiation without any way to shield or avoid this mandatory exposure. Parents have never been informed of the health risks or given their written consent to expose their children to microwave radiation in our schools. School Districts have refused to educate students, parents and staff on how to avoid excessive RFR exposure when handling wireless devices during class time as outlined in user manuals, requirements mandated by law.

Vancouver Island School Boards have shifted the responsibility of potential lawsuits caused by this wireless technology to their **School Medical Health Officer, Dr. Stanwick**, who has endorsed the installation of a known 2b carcinogen in our schools and is unable to provide parents with any peer reviewed studies stating the safety of radiation exposure. **Dr. Stanwick then shifted "the responsibility for professional oversight" to the Provincial Health Officer.**

The BC Ministry of Education has stated they set educational standards, allcates funds and monitors student achievement but decisions regarding the planning and delivery of supports and services for all students are the responsibility of local boards of education and school district administrators. This includes decisions around exposure to RF frequencies and the use of WiFi in the classroom setting.

The Health Minister Philpott was also unable to provide parents with peer reviewed studies stating the safety of Wifi safety in our schools and has instead **relied on Health Canada's Safety Code 6 exposure "guidelines."**

But on February 20, 2013, James McNamee, scientist for Health Canada, admitted in the Superior Court of Quebec, that the Safety Code 6 guideline for microwave radiation emitting from wireless technology is based

ONLY on thermal effects, heating of the skin. This means Canada does not have any regulations in place to protect the public from long-term exposure to the biological effects of the “non-thermal” levels of microwave radiation. <http://www.magdahavas.com/health-canada-admits-safety-code-6-guideline-for-microwave-radiation-is-based-only-on-thermal-effects/>

On page 3, inside the Safety Code 6 document it states, “*These guidelines apply to all individuals working at, or visiting, federally regulated sites and may also be adopted by the provinces, industry or other interested parties.*” Schools are not federally regulated sites and, therefore, SC 6 does not apply to wifi in schools. The fact that the provinces may Adopt SC 6 means that the provinces are free to set their own guidelines.

<http://www.radiationsafety.ca/wp-content/uploads/2012/06/Safety-Code-6.pdf>

In a report by Dr. Martin Pall he discusses Ken Foster and John Moulder, 2 industry affiliated scientists who, for years, have argued that there is no evidence of harm from exposure to microwave radiation. These 2 “bent” scientists have been on Health Canada panels and reviewed Safety Code 6, stating it is protective of non-thermal effects, when it applies to thermal effects only. On page 412 (8 of 12) he explains how they use poor science to help support wifi in schools, cell phones, etc

<https://drive.google.com/file/d/1cxHocOxsX33OO3dsOfJVLHEj2BVl3Klw/view>

Tim Singer from Health Canada responded to a letter concerning the radiation levels in our schools by stating, *Health Canada is aware of concerns related to Wi-Fi in schools, such decisions are not within Health Canada’s mandate. We recommend that you continue to consult your local school board or provincial authority to discuss your concerns.*

The finger pointing has now gone full circle and it is obvious that Provincial Health Officer will be held accountable for willfully ignoring the overwhelming amount of scientific proof of harm.

Parents want to exercise their right to protect their children from harm and are calling on you to take immediate action to mitigate the risk and inform the School Boards throughout the province of the established health risks associated with long term exposure to microwave radiation by recommending the use of hard wired connections to access the Internet in our schools.

The burden of proof should not be put upon the public to prove that it’s unsafe, but upon the industry to prove it is safe.

Sincerely,

Janis Hoffmann
Founder of Parentsforsafeschools

Vancouver Island Rep. C4ST.org

Sullivan, Michelle A HLTH:EX

From: s.22
Sent: Thursday, June 7, 2018 1:00 PM
To: Henry, Bonnie HLTH:EX
Subject: Fwd: Requesting a response to the letter from Parents, Teachers and Students April 20, 2018

Putting the pressure on regarding new studies and Electro magnetic frequencies. Cell towers on top of buildings in our cities and in neighbourhoods is a disgrace. Our government is ignoring this..even more disgraceful !
How can this be safe when the proof is in now and has been for some time now. Cell towers and 5G and mini cell towers on hydro poles in our neighbourhoods is carcinogenic and it is totally unacceptable that Health Canada is not addressing this.

s.22

Please consider helping to keep the pressure on Prov. Health Officer Bonnie Henry because she needs to acknowledge the current science. If we are silent, she will think we are happy with the way things are!!! Silence is consent.

Subject: Requesting a response to the letter from Parents, Teachers and Students April 20, 2018
Date: June 7 2018

Dear Dr. Bonnie Henry,

We request that the BC Provincial Health Officer provide the public with an official response to the findings of the US National Toxicology Program Expert Panel who concluded that there is "**Clear Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity**" and the association of cancer in the heart and brain and cell phone radiation. This is serious health and safety matter that will cause permanent damage to thousands of children in all our public schools. Currently it is mandatory that our children be exposed RF Microwave Radiation with no way to shield themselves from this full body radiation, much like the rats used in this study.

Parents have been robbed of their right to decide if this chronic exposure, an established 2B carcinogen listed next to lead, DDT, methyl mercury, chloroform, and car exhaust is an acceptable risk or whether precautionary measures should be implemented given there is alternative simple solution.

More and more schools are applying a 'No cell phone' policy during school hours due to distractions that negatively impact learning. This has made a huge impact on the reduction of radiation exposure our children are experiencing in our classrooms. However, the removal of the industrial strength Wi-Fi routers should be implemented immediately and return to hard-wired Internet, which is faster, cheaper, more reliable, more secure and safer than wireless networks.

For as little as a one time cost of \$500 US dollars every classroom would be provided with 40 Ethernet ports plus adapters for their wireless devices, costing about \$20 per child, a small price to pay compared to brain surgery, chemotherapy, radiation treatments etc.

As the Provincial Health Officer you have a duty to take reasonable steps to reduce wireless radiation in our schools given the overwhelming amount of evidence of harm that concerned parents have submitted.

s.22

I support Janis Hoffman and Citizens for Safe Technology

Janis Hoffmann

Founder of Parentsforsafeschools

Vancouver Island Rep. C4ST.org

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject: Parents, teachers and students want to know why the BC Provincial Health Officer has not done her due diligence and informed the School Boards about the health risks

Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2018 15:57:04 -0700

From: Janis Hoffmann

To: bonnie.henry@gov.bc.ca

CC: hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca, mike.farnworth.mla@leg.bc.ca, EDUC.Correspondence@gov.bc.ca, educ.minister@gov.bc.ca, info@healthyschoolsbc.ca, tom.kosatsky@bccdc.ca, drtheresa.tam@canada.com

Dear Dr. Bonnie Henry,

Parents, teachers and students want to know why the BC Provincial Health Officer has not done her due diligence and informed the School Boards about the health risks from the prolonged and accumulative exposure to RF radiation emitting from wireless technology in our schools.

On March 26-29, 2018, the National Toxicology Program (NTP), a 10-year study costing the US 25 million dollars, had eleven experts review the reports from the NTP's cell phone radiation studies. They concluded that exposure to cell phone radiation caused a rare cancer, malignant schwannoma in the heart, and incidences of glioma, a rare, aggressive and highly malignant brain cancer.

<https://ehtrust.org/cell-phone-radiofrequency-radiation-study/>

Conclusions were based on NTP's scale of "clear evidence", "some evidence", "equivocal evidence", and "no evidence". **Clear Evidence being conclusive.**

<https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/results/pubs/longterm/defs/index.html>

https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/about_ntp/trpanel/2018/march/actions20180328_508.pdf

"The evidence indicating wireless is carcinogenic has increased and can no longer be ignored," stated University of Toronto Dalla Lana School of Public Health Professor Emeritus Anthony B. Miller MD, Member of the Royal Colleges of Physicians of Canada and the UK, and Senior Medical Advisor to EHT who is also a long-term advisor to the World Health Organization.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?time_continue=5&v=bgGJeOVEDQs

Soon after, the Ramazzini Institute also completed the world's largest animal study on cell tower radiation and confirmed the findings of the National Toxicology Program link to Cancer. This information accompanied with the over 26,000 peer reviewed studies by scientists and medical experts <https://www.emf-portal.org/en> is more than enough evidence for you to apply the precautionary principal to protect our children.

<http://www.sbwire.com/press-releases/worlds-largest-animal-study-on-cell-tower-radiation-confirms-cancer-link-953696.htm>

In January 1998, The Wingspread Conference held a three-day academic conference where the Precautionary Principle was defined. It was agreed **the Precautionary Principle would be implemented when an activity raises threats of harm to human health or the environment, even if some cause and effect relationships are not fully established scientifically.** The Precautionary Principle shifts the burden of proof, insisting that those responsible for an activity must vouch for its harmlessness and be held responsible if damage occurs. <http://sehn.org/wingspread-conference-on-the-precautionary-principle/>

Health is a Provincial Jurisdiction. Your duty and obligation as the Provincial Health Officer and as a Doctor is to implement the Precautionary Principle by honouring your Hippocratic Oath, "nil nocere" to do no harm and protect our children from this health crisis.

All schools now employ industrial wireless networks, exposing students and staff to ubiquitous microwave radiation without any way to shield or avoid this mandatory exposure. Parents have never been informed of the health risks or given their written consent to expose their children to microwave radiation in our schools. School Districts have refused to educate students, parents and staff on how to avoid excessive RFR exposure when handling wireless devices during class time as outlined in user manuals, requirements mandated by law.

Vancouver Island School Boards have shifted the responsibility of potential lawsuits caused by this wireless technology **to their School Medical Health Officer, Dr. Stanwick**, who has endorsed the installation of a known 2b carcinogen in our schools and is unable to provide parents with any peer reviewed studies stating

the safety of radiation exposure. Dr. Stanwick then shifted *"the responsibility for professional oversight"* to the Provincial Health Officer.

The BC Ministry of Education has stated *they set educational standards, allocates funds and monitors student achievement but decisions regarding the planning and delivery of supports and services for all students are the responsibility of local boards of education and school district administrators. This includes decisions around exposure to RF frequencies and the use of WiFi in the classroom setting.*

The Health Minister Philpott was also unable to provide parents with peer reviewed studies stating the safety of Wifi safety in our schools and has instead **relied on Health Canada's Safety Code 6 exposure "guidelines."**

But on February 20, 2013, James McNamee, scientist for Health Canada, admitted in the Superior Court of Quebec, that the Safety Code 6 guideline for microwave radiation emitting from wireless technology is based ONLY on thermal effects, heating of the skin. This means Canada does not have any regulations in place to protect the public from long-term exposure to the biological effects of the "non-thermal" levels of microwave radiation. <http://www.magdahavas.com/health-canada-admits-safety-code-6-guideline-for-microwave-radiation-is-based-only-on-thermal-effects/>

On page 3, inside the Safety Code 6 document it states, *"These guidelines apply to all individuals working at, or visiting, federally regulated sites and may also be adopted by the provinces, industry or other interested parties."* Schools are not federally regulated sites and, therefore, SC 6 does not apply to wifi in schools. The fact that the provinces may Adopt SC 6 means that the provinces are free to set their own guidelines.

<http://www.radiationsafety.ca/wp-content/uploads/2012/06/Safety-Code-6.pdf>

In a report by Dr. Martin Pall he discusses Ken Foster and John Moulder, 2 industry affiliated scientists who, for years, have argued that there is no evidence of harm from exposure to microwave radiation. These 2 "bent" scientists have been on Health Canada panels and reviewed Safety Code 6, stating it is protective of non-thermal effects, when it applies to thermal effects only. On page 412 (8 of 12) he explains how they use poor science to help support wifi in schools, cell phones, etc
<https://drive.google.com/file/d/1cxHocOxsX33O03dsOfJVLHEj2BVI3Klw/view>

Tim Singer from Health Canada responded to a letter concerning the radiation levels in our schools by stating, *Health Canada is aware of concerns related to Wi-Fi in schools, such decisions are not within Health Canada's mandate. We recommend that you continue to consult your local school board or provincial authority to discuss your concerns.*

The finger pointing has now gone full circle and it is obvious that Provincial Health Officer will be held accountable for willfully ignoring the overwhelming amount of scientific proof of harm.

Parents want to exercise their right to protect their children from harm and are calling on you to take immediate action to mitigate the risk and inform the School Boards throughout the province of the established health risks associated with long term exposure to microwave radiation by recommending the use of hard wired connections to access the Internet in our schools.

The burden of proof should not be put upon the public to prove that it's unsafe, but upon the industry to prove it is safe.

Sincerely,

Janis Hoffmann
Founder of Parentsforsafeschools

Vancouver Island Rep. C4ST.org

Sullivan, Michelle A HLTH:EX

From: s.22
Sent: Wednesday, September 5, 2018 1:19 PM
To: OfficeofthePremier, Office PREM:EX; XT:HLTH Kosatsky, Tom; XT:Hancock, Trevor HLTH:IN; Minister, EDUC EDUC:EX; Henry, Bonnie HLTH:EX; Minister, HLTH HLTH:EX; Dix.MLA, Adrian LASS:EX; iknowjanis@shaw.ca
Subject: Fwd: Waiting for a response about Wifi safety in our schools from our BC Provincial Health Officer, Dr. Bonnie Henry

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Janis Hoffmann <parentsforsafeschools@gmail.com>
Date: Wed, Sep 5, 2018 at 9:52 AM
Subject: Waiting for a response about Wifi safety in our schools from our BC Provincial Health Officer, Dr. Bonnie Henry
To:

To all concerned. Please read this if you missed it the first time. Thank you.

s.22

Dear Adrian Dix,

Unfortunately our request for a statement about the safety of wireless technology in our schools from our new Provincial Health Officer, Dr. Bonnie Henry has gone unanswered. The most current letter on file is dated July 2011, from our School Health Officer, Dr. Stanwick, who was unable to provide us with any peer-reviewed studies stating the safety of RF radiation exposure. Dr. Stanwick instead has shifted "*the responsibility for professional oversight*" to the Provincial Health Officer. Dr. Stanwick, appears to have no educational background on the biological health effects of RF radiation exposure, yet has endorsed the installation of a known 2b carcinogen listed next to lead, DDT, methyl mercury, chloroform, and car exhaust as an acceptable risk in our schools.

The latest findings of the National Toxicology Program and the supporting Ramzzuini Studies stating "Clear Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity" and the association of cancer in the heart and brain and cell phone radiation, warrants the solicitation of a current letter re Wi-Fi safety from Dr. Bonnie Henry to ensure the most accurate health information and recommendations for all children were being used as a basis for sound decision making on such an important topic as our children's health and well being.

Given the start of a new school year, parents, teachers and students are expecting a timely response to our concerns about this upcoming health crisis.

Respectfully,

Janis Hoffmann

Parentsforsafeschools

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject:Urgent Request for an Appointment

Date:Sat, 4 Aug 2018 10:29:19 -0700

From:Janis Hoffmann <iknowjanis@shaw.ca>

To:bonnie.henry@gov.bc.ca

CC:hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca, educ.minister@gov.bc.ca

Dear Dr. Bonnie Henry,

We are disappointed that you have been unable to find time to respond to our letters asking you to implement the Precautionary Principal to protect our children's health in our schools.

We are now requesting an appointment to come to your office to discuss the simple solutions to reducing RF radiation in our classrooms.

This will be a health crisis and we can't afford to wait another school year.

Respectfully,

Janis Hoffmann

250-478-7976

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject:Re: Requesting a response to the letter from Parents, Teachers and Students April 20, 2018

Date:Thu, 31 May 2018 06:12:16 -0700

From:Janis Hoffmann <iknowjanis@shaw.ca>

To:bonnie.henry@gov.bc.ca

CC:hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca, mike.farnworth.mla@leg.bc.ca, EDUC.Correspondence@gov.bc.ca,
educ.minister@gov.bc.ca, info@healthyschoolsbc.ca, tom.kosatsky@bccdc.ca,
drtheresa.tam@canada.com, thancock@uvic.ca

Dear Dr. Bonnie Henry,

We request that the BC Provincial Health Officer provide the public with an official response to the findings of the US National Toxicology Program Expert Panel who concluded that there is "Clear Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity" and the association of cancer in the heart and brain and cell phone radiation. This is serious health and safety matter that will cause permanent damage to thousands of children in all our public schools.

Currently it is mandatory that our children be exposed RF Microwave Radiation with no way to shield themselves from this full body radiation, much like the rats used in this study.

Parents have been robbed of their right to decide if this chronic exposure, an established 2B carcinogen listed next to lead, DDT, methyl mercury, chloroform, and car exhaust is an acceptable risk or whether precautionary measures should be implemented given there is alternative simple solution.

More and more schools are applying a 'No cell phone' policy during school hours due to distractions that negatively impact learning. This has made a huge impact on the reduction of radiation exposure our children are experiencing in our classrooms. However, the removal of the industrial strength Wi-Fi routers should be implemented immediately and return to hard-wired Internet, which is faster, cheaper, more reliable, more secure and safer than wireless networks.

For as little as a one time cost of \$500 US dollars every classroom would be provided with 40 Ethernet ports plus adapters for their wireless devices, costing about \$20 per child, a small price to pay compared to brain surgery, chemotherapy, radiation treatments etc.

As the Provincial Health Officer you have a duty to take reasonable steps to reduce wireless radiation in our schools given the overwhelming amount of evidence of harm that concerned parents have submitted.

Respectfully,

Janis Hoffmann

Founder of Parentsforsafeschools

Vancouver Island Rep. C45T.org

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject: Parents, teachers and students want to know why the BC Provincial Health Officer has not done her due diligence and informed the School Boards about the health risks

Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2018 15:57:04 -0700

From: Janis Hoffmann <iknowjanis@shaw.ca>

To: bonnie.henry@gov.bc.ca

CC: hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca, mike.farnworth.mla@leg.bc.ca, EDUC.Correspondence@gov.bc.ca, educ.minister@gov.bc.ca, info@healthyschoolsbc.ca, tom.kosatsky@bccdc.ca, drtheresa.tam@canada.com

Dear Dr. Bonnie Henry,

Parents, teachers and students want to know why the BC Provincial Health Officer has not done her due diligence and informed the School Boards about the health risks from the prolonged and accumulative exposure to RF radiation emitting from wireless technology in our schools.

On March 26-29, 2018, the National Toxicology Program (NTP), a 10-year study costing the US 25 million dollars, had eleven experts review the reports from the NTP's cell phone radiation studies. They concluded that exposure to cell phone radiation caused a rare cancer, malignant schwannoma in the heart, and incidences of glioma, a rare, aggressive and highly malignant brain cancer.

<https://ehtrust.org/cell-phone-radiofrequency-radiation-study/>

Conclusions were based on NTP's scale of "clear evidence", "some evidence", "equivocal evidence", and "no evidence". **Clear Evidence being conclusive.**

<https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/results/pubs/longterm/defs/index.html>

https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/about_ntp/trpanel/2018/march/actions20180328_508.pdf

"The evidence indicating wireless is carcinogenic has increased and can no longer be ignored," stated University of Toronto Dalla Lana School of Public Health Professor Emeritus Anthony B. Miller MD, Member of the Royal Colleges of Physicians of Canada and the UK, and Senior Medical Advisor to EHT who is also a long-term advisor to the World Health Organization.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?time_continue=5&v=bgGJeOVEDQs

Soon after, the Ramazzini Institute also completed the world's largest animal study on cell tower radiation and confirmed the findings of the National Toxicology Program link to Cancer. This information accompanied with the over 26,000 peer reviewed studies by scientists and medical experts <https://www.emf-portal.org/en> is more than enough evidence for you to apply the precautionary principal to protect our children.

<http://www.sbwire.com/press-releases/worlds-largest-animal-study-on-cell-tower-radiation-confirms-cancer-link-953696.htm>

In January 1998, The Wingspread Conference held a three-day academic conference where the Precautionary Principle was defined. It was agreed **the Precautionary Principle would be implemented when an activity raises threats of harm to human health or the environment, even if some cause and effect relationships are not fully established scientifically.** The Precautionary Principle shifts the burden of proof, insisting that those responsible for an activity must vouch for its harmlessness and be held responsible if damage occurs.

<http://sehn.org/wingspread-conference-on-the-precautionary-principle/>

Health is a Provincial Jurisdiction. Your duty and obligation as the Provincial Health Officer and as a Doctor is to implement the Precautionary Principle by honouring your Hippocratic Oath, "nil nocere" to do no harm and protect our children from this health crisis.

All schools now employ industrial wireless networks, exposing students and staff to ubiquitous microwave radiation without any way to shield or avoid this mandatory exposure. Parents have never been informed of

the health risks or given their written consent to expose their children to microwave radiation in our schools. School Districts have refused to educate students, parents and staff on how to avoid excessive RFR exposure when handling wireless devices during class time as outlined in user manuals, requirements mandated by law.

Vancouver Island School Boards have shifted the responsibility of potential lawsuits caused by this wireless technology to their **School Medical Health Officer, Dr. Stanwick**, who has endorsed the installation of a known 2b carcinogen in our schools and is unable to provide parents with any peer reviewed studies stating the safety of radiation exposure. **Dr. Stanwick then shifted "the responsibility for professional oversight" to the Provincial Health Officer.**

The BC Ministry of Education has stated they set educational standards, allocates funds and monitors student achievement but decisions regarding the planning and delivery of supports and services for all students are the responsibility of local boards of education and school district administrators. This includes decisions around exposure to RF frequencies and the use of WiFi in the classroom setting.

The Health Minister Philpott was also unable to provide parents with peer reviewed studies stating the safety of Wifi safety in our schools and has instead **relied on Health Canada's Safety Code 6 exposure "guidelines."**

But on February 20, 2013, James McNamee, scientist for Health Canada, admitted in the Superior Court of Quebec, that the Safety Code 6 guideline for microwave radiation emitting from wireless technology is based ONLY on thermal effects, heating of the skin. This means Canada does not have any regulations in place to protect the public from long-term exposure to the biological effects of the "non-thermal" levels of microwave radiation. <http://www.magdahavas.com/health-canada-admits-safety-code-6-guideline-for-microwave-radiation-is-based-only-on-thermal-effects/>

On page 3, inside the Safety Code 6 document it states, *"These guidelines apply to all individuals working at, or visiting, federally regulated sites and may also be adapted by the provinces, industry or other interested parties."* Schools are not federally regulated sites and, therefore, SC 6 does not apply to wifi in schools. The fact that the provinces may Adopt SC 6 means that the provinces are free to set their own guidelines.

<http://www.radiationsafety.ca/wp-content/uploads/2012/06/Safety-Code-6.pdf>

In a report by Dr. Martin Pall he discusses Ken Foster and John Moulder, 2 industry affiliated scientists who, for years, have argued that there is no evidence of harm from exposure to microwave radiation. These 2 "bent" scientists have been on Health Canada panels and reviewed Safety Code 6, stating it is protective of non-thermal effects, when it applies to thermal effects only. On page 412 (8 of 12) he explains how they use poor science to help support wifi in schools, cell phones, etc
<https://drive.google.com/file/d/1cxHocOxsX330O3dsOfjVLHEj2BVI3Klw/view>

Tim Singer from Health Canada responded to a letter concerning the radiation levels in our schools by stating, *Health Canada is aware of concerns related to Wi-Fi in schools, such decisions are not within Health Canada's mandate. We recommend that you continue to consult your local school board or provincial authority to discuss your concerns.*

The finger pointing has now gone full circle and it is obvious that Provincial Health Officer will be held accountable for willfully ignoring the overwhelming amount of scientific proof of harm.

Parents want to exercise their right to protect their children from harm and are calling on you to take immediate action to mitigate the risk and inform the School Boards throughout the province of the established health risks associated with long term exposure to microwave radiation by recommending the use of hard wired connections to access the Internet in our schools.

The burden of proof should not be put upon the public to prove that it's unsafe, but upon the industry to prove it is safe.

Sincerely,

Janis Hoffmann
Founder of Parentsforsafeschools

Vancouver Island Rep. C4ST.org

Sullivan, Michelle A HLTH:EX

From: Janis Hoffmann <parentsforsafeschools@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, October 19, 2018 4:45 AM
Subject: How much is this wireless radiation exposure in our schools REALLY COSTING US?

Our request for a statement about the safety of wireless technology in our schools from our new Provincial Health Officer, Dr. Bonnie Henry has fallen on deaf ears. Parents have been robbed of their right to decide if this chronic exposure, **an established 2B carcinogen listed next to lead, DDT, methyl mercury, chloroform, and car exhaust** is an acceptable risk or whether precautionary measures should be implemented given there is alternative simple solution.

The removal of the industrial strength Wi-Fi routers should be implemented immediately and return to hard-wired Internet, which is faster, cheaper, more reliable, more secure and safer than wireless networks. For as little as a one time cost of \$500 US dollars every classroom would be provided with 40 Ethernet ports plus adapters for their wireless devices, costing about \$20 per child, a small price to pay compared to brain surgery, chemotherapy, radiation treatments etc.

The latest findings of the National Toxicology Program and the supporting Ramazzini Studies stating "Clear Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity" and the association of cancer in the heart and brain and cell phone radiation, warrants the solicitation of a current letter re Wi-Fi safety from Dr. Bonnie Henry to ensure the most accurate health information and recommendations for all children were being used as a basis for sound decision making on such an important topic as our children's health and well being.

Global NEWS report:

Experts like Dr. Martha Herbert have found relationship between microwave radiation and autism. The financial costs to parents, schools, and the medical system for these children are horrendous. In this Global News article, it says that the assessment alone costs \$3,000, and there is a year's wait in BC !!

Thousands of B.C. children waiting for autism assessment (video)

BC Autism Assessment Network Waitlist	
2013/14	1,719
2017/18	2,091
Now	3,213

<https://globalnews.ca/news/4552537/thousands-of-b-c-children-waiting-for-autism-assessment/>

Harvard Pediatric Neurologist on Electromagnetic Radiation, Autism and Brain Development May 2016 (video)

https://www.youtube.com/watch?time_continue=243&v=BiybO08af58

Las Vegas News Report on WiFi in School Health Risk May 2016 (video)

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xhOtV7KUKYI&fbclid=IwAR0Yr2oegAs00AcoR_4zabHfp724esXnuEsPrMzWSVbjP7jPdLL-T9Z5u64

Dr. Erica Mallery Blythe - Children with Cancer Conference - 2018 (video)

https://www.youtube.com/watch?time_continue=29&v=7HJcH_ZP-y0

Cancer epidemiology update, following the 2011 IARC evaluation of radiofrequency electromagnetic fields (Monograph 102)

<https://www.grnewsletters.com/archive/meg/Cancer-Alert-Sharpened-Cell-Phone-Science-is-a-Wake-up-Call-539627201.html>

PUBLISHED SCIENCE

Herbert, M.R. and Sage, C. "Autism and EMF? Plausibility of a Pathophysiological Link". Part 1: Pathophysiology, 2013, Jun;20(3):191-209, Pubmed abstract for Part 1.

Herbert, M.R. and Sage, C. "Autism and EMF? Plausibility of a Pathophysiological Link". Part II: Pathophysiology, 2013 Jun;20(3):211-34. Epub Pubmed abstract for Part II.

Wen Y, Alshikho MJ, Herbert MR (2016) Pathway Network Analyses for Autism Reveal Multisystem Involvement. Major Overlaps with Other Diseases and Convergence upon MAPK and Calcium Signaling. PLoS ONE 11(4): e0153329. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0153329

Pall, M.L., Microwave frequency electromagnetic fields (EMFs) produce widespread neuropsychiatric effects including depression, Journal of Chemical Neuroanatomy (2015).

Pall, Martin L. "Electromagnetic fields act via activation of voltage-gated calcium channels to produce beneficial or adverse effects." Journal of cellular and molecular medicine 17.8 (2013): 958-965.

Klinghardt, Dietrich, MD. Pilot Study: "Autism May Be Linked to Electromagnetic Radiation Levels in Mother's Bedroom During Pregnancy". 2008.

Ahuja et al., Autism: An epigenomic side-effect of excessive exposure to electromagnetic fields International Journal of Medical and Medical Sciences Vol. 5(4), pp. 171-177, April (2013)

[Click here for a Research Compilation on Cell phone Radiation, Behavior and Brain Development Compiled by Dr. Hugh Taylor of Yale Medicine](#)

Sullivan, Michelle A HLTH:EX

From: Janis Hoffmann <parentsforsafeschools@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2018 8:55 PM
Subject: How safe are our children in school where microwave radiation exposure is mandatory?

Brain Cancer #1 for Children 15-19 yrs While FDA Minimizes Risk From Conclusive NIH Study

The FDA does not do post market safety surveying to see how heavy users are developing more cancer and neurological disorders like they do with drugs and medical devices. We are seeing a dramatic increase in brain tumors in the three areas of the brain that get the most radiation from cell phones: frontal lobe, temporal lobe, and cerebellum. The Italian Supreme court has already ruled that cell phones can cause brain cancer.

https://www.news-journal.com/ap/national/brain-cancer-for-children---yrs-while-fda-minimizes/article_70e81af3-b51f-5e39-b9ab-f2f560a36c04.html

MUST WATCH - Veteran MD Drops Bombshell At 5G Hearing

Dr. Sharon Goldberg, an internal medicine physician & professor gives her testimony regarding the dangers of electromagnetic radiation. She says: "Wireless radiation has biological effects. Period."

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1Qt5B39LB7c&feature=share&fbclid=IwAR3MzVcXHP4wXokyWetApiu5s qlE8Z_ta_dXr1bi4IX74N1vELf1vcYhn0

MUST WATCH - Senator Patrick Colbeck, R-Canton, warns about the dangers some new technologies pose

https://www.youtube.com/watch?time_continue=12&v=hkDDQgDVsbk

Thyroid Cancer & Mobile Phone Use

Men who used cell phones for more than 15 years had over twice the risk of thyroid cancer as compared to non-cell phone users after controlling for other factors. Women who used cell phones for more than two hours per day had a 52% greater risk of thyroid cancer as compared to non-cell phone users.




Fig. 10. Mobile phone use and thyroid cancer risk. From: Carberg et al. 2018 (see abstract below)

https://www.saferemr.com/2014/07/is-mobile-phone-use-contributing-to.html?fbclid=IwAR3wUoJcMkH3QkL0h5dUMJchBxG0nc2ynyMPm0roYDvOSrDWc_91y6Br4iQ

Technology and Education – How safe are our children?


A large number of studies in animals have reported impaired learning and memory following radiofrequency exposures, including from Wi-Fi. Exposures have increased anxiety-like behaviours, decreased learning and increased neurodegeneration in the hippocampus and cerebral cortex of the brain, regions important for cognition (Zhang et al 2017; Saikhedkar et al 2014). There are hyperactivity behaviours and demyelination of neurones (which is a loss of the cells which surround neurones to increase their speed of communication; Kim et al 2017).

<http://www.stopsmartmetersbc.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/Northern-Ireland-Technology-and-Education-How-safe-are-our-children-Dr-Sarah-Starkey-NEU-ATL-Section-Annual-Lecture-Brochure-May-24-2018.pdf>

There's a clear cell phone-cancer link, but FDA is downplaying it

Also, health concerns for children may be greater than that for adults due to increased penetration of cell phone radiation within the brains of children. Simply ignoring the cancer data from the NTP studies is not in the interest of public health. Because of the widespread use of cell phones among the general public, even a small increase in cancer risk would have a serious public health impact.

<https://tinyurl.com/y8dtz9mo>



**Sprague Dawley
rats share about
98% of the same
DNA as humans.**

So "clear evidence" of cancer found in rats
exposed to wireless radiation is a big
red flag for human health.

An Emergency Appeal to the World's Governments by Scientists, Doctors and Environmental Organizations - Please sign

RF radiation has both immediate and long-term effects. Cancer and heart disease are examples of long-term effects. Alteration of heart rhythm[100] and changes in brain function (EEG)[101] are examples of immediate effects. A syndrome that was called radiowave sickness[102] in the former Soviet Union and is called electromagnetic hypersensitivity (EHS) around the world today[103] can be either acute or chronic. Professor Dr. Karl Hecht has published a detailed history of these syndromes, compiled from a review of more than 1,500 Russian scientific papers and the clinical histories of more than 1,000 of his own patients in Germany. Objective findings include sleep disorders, abnormal blood pressure and heart rate, digestive disorders, hair loss, tinnitus and skin rash. Subjective symptoms include dizziness, nausea, headache, memory loss, inability to concentrate, fatigue, flu-like symptoms and cardiac pain. [104]

<https://www.5gspaceappeal.org/>

<http://parentsforasafeschool.blogspot.com/2018/>

<https://www.facebook.com/Parents-for-Safe-Schools-428808610553840/>

<http://c4st.org/category/canadian-news/>

Page 088 to/à Page 093

Withheld pursuant to/removed as

DUPLICATE

Sullivan, Michelle A HLTH:EX

From: Janis Hoffmann <parentsforsafeschools@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 4, 2018 7:50 AM
Subject: Parents, teachers and students want to know why the "No Cell Phone" policy has not been implemented in our Schools?

More and more schools are applying a 'No Cell Phone' policy during school hours due to distractions that negatively impact learning. This has made a huge impact on the reduction of radiation exposure our children are experiencing in our classrooms. The US National Toxicology Program, Expert Panel, recently concluded there is "**Clear Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity**" and the association of cancer in the heart and brain and cell phone radiation. We can't afford to ignore this health risk for another school year.

Here is just a few examples:

US Schools Are Banning Cell Phones In The Classroom

This is a compilation of news from 2016 and 2017

https://www.youtube.com/watch?time_continue=181&v=mXfwi4pkjOU

Cell Phones in Schools: To ban or not to ban?

<https://www.cbs58.com/news/cell-phones-in-schools-to-ban-or-not-to-ban>

Transition to cellphone-free classrooms smooth: principal

<http://www.timescolonist.com/news/local/transition-to-cellphone-free-classrooms-smooth-principal-1.23009938>

France to impose total ban on mobile phones in schools

<https://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2017/12/11/france-impose-total-ban-mobile-phones-schools/>

This School Banned Cell Phones And Happiness Followed

<https://www.blacklistednews.com/article/66959/this-school-banned-cell-phones-and-happiness.html>

Secondary schools are introducing strict new bans on mobile phones

<https://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2018/06/24/secondary-schools-introducing-strict-new-bans-mobile-phones/>

'Cellphone hotels' give Kingston students a break

<http://www.thewhig.com/2018/03/07/cellphone-hotels-give-kingston-students-a-break>

[NSW review may ban smart phones in schools](#)

Parents, teachers welcome ban on cell phones in schools

<http://www.newtimes.co.rw/news/parents-teachers-welcome-ban-cell-phones-schools>

Proposed legislation looks to tackle phone use in schools

<https://www.irishexaminer.com/ireland/proposed-legislation-looks-to-tackle-phone-use-in-schools-472432.html>

Government outlaws use of cell phones in schools

<http://www.newtimes.co.rw/news/government-outlaws-use-cell-phones-schools>


Phonegate, which exposed the fact that 250 makes of cell phones were emitting levels of microwave radiation that exceeded by many times the French allowable limit, resulted in the EU government protecting the telecoms. Testing information can now be kept completely secret. **The public no longer will be allowed to know how dangerous their cell phones are.**

<https://www.phonegatealert.org/2018/06/13/opinion-the-phonegate-scandal-prey-to-business-secrecy-published-by-mediapart/>

WHO classifies 'gaming disorder' as mental health condition

The WHO has recognized a 'Gaming Disorder' as a verifiable diagnosis, now included in the International Classification of Diseases -- the ICD. Health insurance will now have to consider coverage of some forms of treatment

<https://www.cnn.com/2018/06/18/health/video-game-disorder-who/index.html>



Sullivan, Michelle A HLTH:EX

From: Janis Hoffmann <parentsforsafeschools@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 1, 2018 9:56 PM
Subject: Press Release - "Clear Evidence of Cancer" from Cell Phone Radiation: U.S. National Toxicology Program Releases Final Report on Animal Study.

Dear Premier John Horgan and Dr. Bonnie Henry,

Today the US National Toxicology Program released its Final Report showing "Clear Evidence of Cancer" from microwave radiation exposure. This is serious health and safety matter that will cause permanent damage to thousands of children in all our public schools. Currently it is mandatory that our children be exposed RF Microwave Radiation with no way to shield themselves from this full body radiation, much like the rats used in the National Toxicology Program Experiment.

You have a duty to protect our children and take the reasonable steps to reduce wireless radiation in our schools immediately given the overwhelming amount of evidence of harm.

It was agreed the **Precautionary Principle would be implemented when an activity raises threats of harm to human health or the environment, even if some cause and effect relationships are not fully established scientifically.** The Precautionary Principle shifts the burden of proof, insisting that those responsible for an activity must vouch for its harmlessness and be held responsible if damage occurs.

<http://sehn.org/wingspread-conference-on-the-precautionary-principle/>

Press Release - "Clear Evidence of Cancer" from Cell Phone Radiation: U.S. National Toxicology Program Releases Final Report on Animal Study.

The final peer reviewed NIH reports confirm evidence of an association between cell phone radiation and both heart and brain cancers in large-scale animal study.

<https://tinyurl.com/yaectv9z>

Scientists Speaking on Health Effects of Cell Phone Wireless Radiation

Hundreds of scientists are speaking on the health effects of cell phone and wireless. They are calling for action to protect children and communities. Over twenty countries have enacted protective policies. Learn all about this issue and read the peer reviewed science at <https://ehtrust.org/take-action/educate-yourself/top-10-facts-about-cell-phones-and-wi-fi-2/>



https://www.youtube.com/watch?time_continue=51&v=o9thLHXuJs8 (video)

We now have the first clear evidence cell phone radiation can cause cancer in rats

March 2018 - Overall, the peer reviewers commended the study for its rigorous design and execution. George Corcoran, the chair of the department of pharmaceutical sciences at Wayne State University who sat on the panel, says the study was of a "gold standard for design and conduct" and was "comprehensive and robust throughout."

<https://qz.com/1241867/cell-phone-radiation-can-cause-cancer-in-rats-according-to-the-final-results-of-a-us-government-study/>

Re: [Cancer epidemiology update, following the 2011 IARC evaluation of radiofrequency electromagnetic fields \(Monograph 102\)](#)

<https://www.grnewsletters.com/archive/meg/Cancer-Alert-Sharpener-Cell-Phone-Science-is-a-Wake-up-Call-539627201.html>

We are expecting a quick response given the seriousness of this issue and we are requesting a simple solution of installing hard wire connections to the Internet in our schools.

Janis Hoffmann

Parents for Safe Schools

Page 099 to/à Page 104

Withheld pursuant to/removed as

DUPLICATE

Sullivan, Michelle A HLTH:EX

From: Kosatsky, Tom <Tom.Kosatsky@bccdc.ca>
Sent: Wednesday, September 12, 2018 10:41 AM
To: Henry, Bonnie HLTH:EX
Subject: RE: PRESS RELEASE - Leading Canadian Cancer Epidemiologist Says Growing Research Shows that Radiation from Wireless Devices Causes Cancer

Hi bonnie,
s.22

Will read while away and get back to you.
PPT shows where Anthony Miller is coming from.
T
<https://ehtrust.org/wp-content/uploads/Anthony-Miller-1.pdf>

Dr. Tom Kosatsky
Medical Director, Environmental Health Services (EHS)
Scientific Director, National Collaborating Centre for Environmental Health (NCCEH)
Ph: 604-707-2447

From: Henry, Bonnie
Sent: Tuesday, September 11, 2018 2:06 PM
To: Kosatsky, Tom
Subject: FW: PRESS RELEASE - Leading Canadian Cancer Epidemiologist Says Growing Research Shows that Radiation from Wireless Devices Causes Cancer

Hi Tom,
I have looked at this latest paper and it is a summary of 9 weak epi studies. I would appreciate your impressions and advice on response to this. There has been a few media calls that so far have gone to the BC Cancer Agency but I would like to be prepared.

My best,
Bonnie

Dr Bonnie Henry
Provincial Health Officer
Office of the PHO
Ministry of Health
4th floor, 1515 Blanshard St
Mailing address: PO Box 9648, STN PROV GOVT
Victoria, BC
V8W 9P4
Bonnie.henry@gov.bc.ca

Phone: 250 952-1330

I gratefully acknowledge that I live and work on the traditional unceded territory of the Lekwungen Peoples, specifically the Songhees and Esquimalt First Nations. Hay'sxw'qu Si'em

Warning: This email is intended only for the use of the individual or organization to whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged or confidential. Any distribution, disclosure, copying, or other use by anyone else is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please telephone or e-mail the sender immediately and delete the message.

From: Janis Hoffmann [mailto:iknowjanis@shaw.ca]
Sent: Tuesday, September 11, 2018 8:52 AM
To: Dix.MLA, Adrian LASS:EX; Henry, Bonnie HLTH:EX
Cc: Minister, HLTH HLTH:EX; EDUC DM EDUC:EX; XT:Hancock, Trevor HLTH:IN; XT:HLTH Kosatsky, Tom; OfficeofthePremier, Office PREM:EX; Ye, Xibiao HLTH:EX; Reimer, Kim HLTH:EX; Stares, Joanne HLTH:EX; Vander Kuyf, Wendy HLTH:EX; Yang, Aijun J HLTH:EX; Mercer, Richard HLTH:EX; Nie, Yao HLTH:EX; Population and Public Health HLTH:EX; DMOFFICE, HLTH HLTH:EX
Subject: PRESS RELEASE - Leading Canadian Cancer Epidemiologist Says Growing Research Shows that Radiation from Wireless Devices Causes Cancer

Waiting for a response from ANY of our Public Servants.

Re: Cancer epidemiology update, following the 2011 IARC evaluation of radiofrequency electromagnetic fields (Monograph 102)

<https://www.gmnewsletters.com/archive/meg/Cancer-Alert-Sharpned-Cell-Phone-Science-is-a-Wake-up-Call-539627201.html>

It was agreed by Canada, the Precautionary Principle would be implemented when an activity raises threats of harm to human health or the environment, even if some cause and effect relationships are not fully established scientifically.

Janis Hoffmann
Parentsforsafeschools

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject: Our request for a statement on Wifi safety in our schools

Date: Sat, 25 Aug 2018 13:21:15 -0700

From: Janis Hoffmann

To: adrian.dix.mla@leg.bc.ca, hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca

CC: bonnie.henry@gov.bc.ca, educ.minister@gov.bc.ca, thancock@uvic.ca, tom.kosatsky@bccdc.ca, premier@gov.bc.ca

Dear Adrian Dix,

Unfortunately our request for a statement about the safety of wireless technology in our schools from our new Provincial Health Officer, Dr. Bonnie Henry has gone unanswered. The most current letter on file is dated July 2011, from our School Health Officer, Dr. Stanwick, who was unable to provide us with any peer-reviewed studies stating the safety of RF radiation exposure. Dr. Stanwick instead has shifted "the responsibility for professional oversight" to the Provincial Health Officer. Dr. Stanwick, appears to have no educational background on the biological health effects of RF radiation exposure, yet has endorsed the installation of a known 2b carcinogen listed next to lead, DDT, methyl mercury, chloroform, and car exhaust as an acceptable risk in our schools.

The latest findings of the National Toxicology Program and the supporting Ramazzini Studies stating "Clear Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity" and the association of cancer in the heart and brain and cell phone radiation, warrants the solicitation of a current letter re Wi-Fi safety from Dr. Bonnie Henry to ensure the most accurate health information and recommendations for all children were being used as a basis for sound decision making on such an important topic as our children's health and well being.

Given the start of a new school year, parents, teachers and students are expecting a timely response to our concerns about this upcoming health crisis.

Respectfully,

Janis Hoffmann

Parentsforsafeschools

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject:Urgent Request for an Appointment

Date:Sat, 4 Aug 2018 10:29:19 -0700

From:Janis Hoffmann

To:bonnie.henry@gov.bc.ca

CC:hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca, educ.minister@gov.bc.ca

Dear Dr. Bonnie Henry,

We are disappointed that you have been unable to find time to respond to our letters asking you to implement the Precautionary Principal to protect our children's health in our schools.

We are now requesting an appointment to come to your office to discuss the simple solutions to reducing RF radiation in our classrooms.

This will be a health crisis and we can't afford to wait another school year.

Respectfully,

Janis Hoffmann

250-478-7976

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject:Re: Requesting a response to the letter from Parents, Teachers and Students April 20, 2018

Date:Thu, 31 May 2018 06:12:16 -0700

From:Janis Hoffmann

To:bonnie.henry@gov.bc.ca

CC:hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca, mike.farnworth.mla@leg.bc.ca, EDUC.Correspondence@gov.bc.ca, educ.minister@gov.bc.ca, info@healthyschoolsbc.ca, tom.kosatsky@bccdc.ca, drtheresa.tam@canada.com, thancock@uvic.ca

Dear Dr. Bonnie Henry,

We request that the BC Provincial Health Officer provide the public with an official response to the findings of the US National Toxicology Program Expert Panel who concluded that there is **“Clear Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity”** and the association of cancer in the heart and brain and cell phone radiation. This is serious health and safety matter that will cause permanent damage to thousands of children in all our public schools. Currently it is mandatory that our children be exposed RF Microwave Radiation with no way to shield themselves from this full body radiation, much like the rats used in this study.

Parents have been robbed of their right to decide if this chronic exposure, an established 2B carcinogen listed next to lead, DDT, methyl mercury, chloroform, and car exhaust is an acceptable risk or whether precautionary measures should be implemented given there is alternative simple solution.

More and more schools are applying a 'No cell phone' policy during school hours due to distractions that negatively impact learning. This has made a huge impact on the reduction of radiation exposure our children are experiencing in our classrooms. However, the removal of the industrial strength Wi-Fi routers should implemented immediately and return to hard-wired Internet, which is faster, cheaper, more reliable, more secure and safer than wireless networks.

For as little as a one time cost of \$500 US dollars every classroom would be provided with 40 Ethernet ports plus adapters for their wireless devices, costing about \$20 per child, a small price to pay compared to brain surgery, chemotherapy, radiation treatments etc.

As the Provincial Health Office you have a duty to take reasonable steps to reduce wireless radiation in our schools given the overwhelming amount of evidence of harm that concerned parents have submitted.

Respectfully.

Janis Hoffmann
Founder of Parentsforsafeschools
Vancouver Island Rep. C4ST.org

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject:Parents, teachers and students want to know why the BC Provincial Health Officer has not done her due diligence and informed the School Boards about the health risks

Date:Fri, 20 Apr 2018 15:57:04 -0700

From:Janis Hoffmann

To:bonnie.henry@gov.bc.ca

CC:hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca, mike.famworth.mla@leg.bc.ca, EDUC.Correspondence@gov.bc.ca, educ.minister@gov.bc.ca, info@healthyschoolsbc.ca, tom.kosatsky@bccdc.ca, drtheresa.tam@canada.com

Dear Dr. Bonnie Henry,

Parents, teachers and students want to know why the BC Provincial Health Officer has not done her due diligence and informed the School Boards about the health risks from the prolonged and accumulative exposure to RF radiation emitting from wireless technology in our schools.

On March 26-29, 2018, the National Toxicology Program (NTP), a 10-year study costing the US 25 million dollars, had eleven experts review the reports from the NTP's cell phone radiation studies. They concluded that exposure to cell phone radiation caused a rare cancer, malignant schwannoma in the heart, and incidences of glioma, a rare, aggressive and highly malignant brain cancer.

<https://ehtrust.org/cell-phone-radiofrequency-radiation-study/>

Conclusions were based on NTP's scale of "clear evidence", "some evidence", "equivocal evidence", and "no evidence". **Clear Evidence being conclusive.**

<https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/results/pubs/longterm/defs/index.html>

https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/about_ntp/trpanel/2018/march/actions20180328_508.pdf

"The evidence indicating wireless is carcinogenic has increased and can no longer be ignored," stated University of Toronto Dalla Lana School of Public Health Professor Emeritus Anthony B. Miller MD, Member of the Royal Colleges of Physicians of Canada and the UK, and Senior Medical Advisor to EHT who is also a long-term advisor to the World Health Organization.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?time_continue=5&v=bgGJeOVEDQs

Soon after, the Ramazzini Institute also completed the world's largest animal study on cell tower radiation and confirmed the findings of the National Toxicology Program link to Cancer. This information accompanied with the over 26,000 peer reviewed studies by scientists and medical experts <https://www.emf-portal.org/en> is more than enough evidence for you to apply the precautionary principal to protect our children.

<http://www.sbwire.com/press-releases/worlds-largest-animal-study-on-cell-tower-radiation-confirms-cancer-link-953696.htm>

In January 1998, The Wingspread Conference held a three-day academic conference where the Precautionary Principle was defined. It was agreed the **Precautionary Principle would be implemented when an activity**

raises threats of harm to human health or the environment, even if some cause and effect relationships are not fully established scientifically. The Precautionary Principle shifts the burden of proof, insisting that those responsible for an activity must vouch for its harmlessness and be held responsible if damage occurs.

<http://sehn.org/wingspread-conference-on-the-precautionary-principle/>

Health is a Provincial Jurisdiction. Your duty and obligation as the Provincial Health Officer and as a Doctor is to implement the Precautionary Principle by honouring your Hippocratic Oath, "nil nocere" to do no harm and protect our children from this health crisis.

All schools now employ industrial wireless networks, exposing students and staff to ubiquitous microwave radiation without any way to shield or avoid this mandatory exposure. Parents have never been informed of the health risks or given their written consent to expose their children to microwave radiation in our schools. School Districts have refused to educate students, parents and staff on how to avoid excessive RFR exposure when handling wireless devices during class time as outlined in user manuals, requirements mandated by law.

Vancouver Island School Boards have shifted the responsibility of potential lawsuits caused by this wireless technology to their School Medical Health Officer, Dr. Stanwick, who has endorsed the installation of a known 2b carcinogen in our schools and is unable to provide parents with any peer reviewed studies stating the safety of radiation exposure. Dr. Stanwick then shifted "*the responsibility for professional oversight*" to the Provincial Health Officer.

The BC Ministry of Education has stated *they set educational standards, allocates funds and monitors student achievement but decisions regarding the planning and delivery of supports and services for all students are the responsibility of local boards of education and school district administrators. This includes decisions around exposure to RF frequencies and the use of WiFi in the classroom setting.*

The Health Minister Philpott was also unable to provide parents with peer reviewed studies stating the safety of Wifi safety in our schools and has instead **relied on Health Canada's Safety Code 6 exposure "guidelines."**

But on February 20, 2013, James McNamee, scientist for Health Canada, admitted in the Superior Court of Quebec, that the Safety Code 6 guideline for microwave radiation emitting from wireless technology is based ONLY on thermal effects, heating of the skin. This means Canada does not have any regulations in place to protect the public from long-term exposure to the biological effects of the "non-thermal" levels of microwave radiation. <http://www.magdahavas.com/health-canada-admits-safety-code-6-guideline-for-microwave-radiation-is-based-only-on-thermal-effects/>

On page 3, inside the Safety Code 6 document it states, "*These guidelines apply to all individuals working at, or visiting, federally regulated sites and may also be adopted by the provinces, industry or other interested parties.*" Schools are not federally regulated sites and, therefore, SC 6 does not apply to wifi in schools. The fact that the provinces may Adopt SC 6 means that the provinces are free to set their own guidelines.

<http://www.radiationsafety.ca/wp-content/uploads/2012/06/Safety-Code-6.pdf>

In a report by Dr. Martin Pall he discusses Ken Foster and John Moulder, 2 industry affiliated scientists who, for years, have argued that there is no evidence of harm from exposure to microwave radiation. These 2 "bent" scientists have been on Health Canada panels and reviewed Safety Code 6, stating it is protective of non-thermal effects, when it applies to thermal effects only. On page 412 (8 of 12) he explains how they use

poor science to help support wifi in schools, cell phones, etc

<https://drive.google.com/file/d/1cxHocOxsX33003dsOfJVLHEj2BVI3Klw/view>

Tim Singer from Health Canada responded to a letter concerning the radiation levels in our schools by stating, *Health Canada is aware of concerns related to Wi-Fi in schools, such decisions are not within Health Canada's mandate. We recommend that you continue to consult your local school board or provincial authority to discuss your concerns.*

The finger pointing has now gone full circle and it is obvious that Provincial Health Officer will be held accountable for willfully ignoring the overwhelming amount of scientific proof of harm.

Parents want to exercise their right to protect their children from harm and are calling on you to take immediate action to mitigate the risk and inform the School Boards throughout the province of the established health risks associated with long term exposure to microwave radiation by recommending the use of hard wired connections to access the Internet in our schools.

The burden of proof should not be put upon the public to prove that it's unsafe, but upon the industry to prove it is safe.

Sincerely,

Janis Hoffmann

Founder of Parentsforsafeschools Vancouver Island Rep. C4ST.org

Page 112 to/à Page 116

Withheld pursuant to/removed as

DUPLICATE

Sullivan, Michelle A HLTH:EX

From: Kosatsky, Tom <Tom.Kosatsky@bccdc.ca>
Sent: Sunday, June 24, 2018 7:52 PM
To: Henry, Bonnie HLTH:EX
Subject: RE: Requesting a response to the letter from Parents, Teachers and Students April 20, 2018

Happy to discuss, Bonnie.

I will be at the EHPAC mtg in Victoria Monday, and at BCCDC the rest of the week.

t

Dr. Tom Kosatsky
Medical Director, Environmental Health Services (EHS) Scientific Director, National Collaborating Centre for
Environmental Health (NCCEH)
Ph: 604-707-2447

-----Original Message-----

From: Henry, Bonnie
Sent: Friday, June 22, 2018 6:26 PM
To: Kosatsky, Tom
Cc: Tyndall, Mark
Subject: Fw: Requesting a response to the letter from Parents, Teachers and Students April 20, 2018

Hi Tom,

I would appreciate your assistance in replying to this letter.

Thank you,

Bonnie

Dr Bonnie Henry
Provincial Health Officer
Office of the Provincial Health Officer
BC Ministry of Health

250 952 1330

From: Janis Hoffmann <iknowjanis@shaw.ca>
Sent: Thursday, May 31, 2018 6:12 AM
To: Henry, Bonnie HLTH:EX
Cc: Minister, HLTH HLTH:EX; Farnworth.MLA, Mike LASS:EX; EDUC Correspondence EDUC:EX; Minister, EDUC EDUC:EX; info@healthyschoolsbc.ca; XT:HLTH Kosatsky, Tom; drtheresa.tam@canada.com; XT:Hancock, Trevor HLTH:IN
Subject: Re: Requesting a response to the letter from Parents, Teachers and Students April 20, 2018

Dear Dr. Bonnie Henry,

We request that the BC Provincial Health Officer provide the public with an official response to the findings of the US National Toxicology Program Expert Panel who concluded that there is "Clear Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity" and the association of cancer in the heart and brain and cell phone radiation. This is serious health and safety matter that will cause permanent damage to thousands of children in all our public schools. Currently it is mandatory that our children

be exposed RF Microwave Radiation with no way to shield themselves from this full body radiation, much like the rats used in this study.

Parents have been robbed of their right to decide if this chronic exposure, an established 2B carcinogen listed next to lead, DDT, methyl mercury, chloroform, and car exhaust is an acceptable risk or whether precautionary measures should be implemented given there is alternative simple solution.

More and more schools are applying a 'No cell phone' policy during school hours due to distractions that negatively impact learning. This has made a huge impact on the reduction of radiation exposure our children are experiencing in our classrooms. However, the removal of the industrial strength Wi-Fi routers should implemented immediately and return to hard-wired Internet, which is faster, cheaper, more reliable, more secure and safer than wireless networks.

For as little as a one time cost of \$500 US dollars every classroom would be provided with 40 Ethernet ports plus adapters for their wireless devices, costing about \$20 per child, a small price to pay compared to brain surgery, chemotherapy, radiation treatments etc.

As the Provincial Health Office you have a duty to take reasonable steps to reduce wireless radiation in our schools given the overwhelming amount of evidence of harm that concerned parents have submitted.

Respectfully.

Janis Hoffmann
Founder of Parentsforsafeschools
Vancouver Island Rep. C4ST.org

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject: Parents, teachers and students want to know why the BC Provincial Health Officer has not done her due diligence and informed the School Boards about the health risks

Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2018 15:57:04 -0700

From: Janis Hoffmann <iknowjanis@shaw.ca><mailto:iknowjanis@shaw.ca>

To: bonnie.henry@gov.bc.ca<mailto:bonnie.henry@gov.bc.ca>

CC: hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca<mailto:hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca>,

mike.farnworth.mla@leg.bc.ca<mailto:mike.farnworth.mla@leg.bc.ca>,

EDUC.Correspondence@gov.bc.ca<mailto:EDUC.Correspondence@gov.bc.ca>,

educ.minister@gov.bc.ca<mailto:educ.minister@gov.bc.ca>,

info@healthyschoolsbc.ca<mailto:info@healthyschoolsbc.ca>,

tom.kosatsky@bccdc.ca<mailto:tom.kosatsky@bccdc.ca>,

drtheresa.tam@canada.com<mailto:drtheresa.tam@canada.com>

Dear Dr. Bonnie Henry,

Parents, teachers and students want to know why the BC Provincial Health Officer has not done her due diligence and informed the School Boards about the health risks from the prolonged and accumulative exposure to RF radiation emitting from wireless technology in our schools.

On March 26-29, 2018, the National Toxicology Program (NTP), a 10-year study costing the US 25 million dollars, had eleven experts review the reports from the NTP's cell phone radiation studies. They concluded that exposure to cell phone radiation caused a rare cancer, malignant schwannoma in the heart, and incidences of glioma, a rare, aggressive and highly malignant brain cancer.

<https://ehtrust.org/cell-phone-radiofrequency-radiation-study/>

Conclusions were based on NTP's scale of "clear evidence", "some evidence", "equivocal evidence", and "no evidence". Clear Evidence being conclusive.

<https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/results/pubs/longterm/defs/index.html>

https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/about_ntp/trpanel/2018/march/actions20180328_S08.pdf

"The evidence indicating wireless is carcinogenic has increased and can no longer be ignored," stated University of Toronto Dalla Lana School of Public Health Professor Emeritus Anthony B. Miller MD, Member of the Royal Colleges of Physicians of Canada and the UK, and Senior Medical Advisor to EHT who is also a long-term advisor to the World Health Organization. https://www.youtube.com/watch?time_continue=5&v=bgGJeOVEDQs

Soon after, the Ramazzini Institute also completed the world's largest animal study on cell tower radiation and confirmed the findings of the National Toxicology Program link to Cancer. This information accompanied with the over 26,000 peer reviewed studies by scientists and medical experts <https://www.emf-portal.org/en> is more than enough evidence for you to apply the precautionary principal to protect our children. <http://www.sbwire.com/press-releases/worlds-largest-animal-study-on-cell-tower-radiation-confirms-cancer-link-953696.htm>

In January 1998, The Wingspread Conference held a three-day academic conference where the Precautionary Principle was defined. It was agreed the Precautionary Principle would be implemented when an activity raises threats of harm to human health or the environment, even if some cause and effect relationships are not fully established scientifically. The Precautionary Principle shifts the burden of proof, insisting that those responsible for an activity must vouch for its harmlessness and be held responsible if damage occurs. <http://sehn.org/wingspread-conference-on-the-precautionary-principle/>

Health is a Provincial Jurisdiction. Your duty and obligation as the Provincial Health Officer and as a Doctor is to implement the Precautionary Principle by honouring your Hippocratic Oath, "nil nocere" to do no harm and protect our children from this health crisis.

All schools now employ industrial wireless networks, exposing students and staff to ubiquitous microwave radiation without any way to shield or avoid this mandatory exposure. Parents have never been informed of the health risks or given their written consent to expose their children to microwave radiation in our schools. School Districts have refused to educate students, parents and staff on how to avoid excessive RFR exposure when handling wireless devices during class time as outlined in user manuals, requirements mandated by law.

Vancouver Island School Boards have shifted the responsibility of potential lawsuits caused by this wireless technology to their School Medical Health Officer, Dr. Stanwick, who has endorsed the installation of a known 2b carcinogen in our schools and is unable to provide parents with any peer reviewed studies stating the safety of radiation exposure. Dr. Stanwick then shifted "the responsibility for professional oversight" to the Provincial Health Officer.

The BC Ministry of Education has stated they set educational standards, allocates funds and monitors student achievement but decisions regarding the planning and delivery of supports and services for all students are the responsibility of local boards of education and school district administrators. This includes decisions around exposure to RF frequencies and the use of WiFi in the classroom setting.

The Health Minister Philpott was also unable to provide parents with peer reviewed studies stating the safety of Wifi safety in our schools and has instead relied on Health Canada's Safety Code 6 exposure "guidelines."

But on February 20, 2013, James McNamee, scientist for Health Canada, admitted in the Superior Court of Quebec, that the Safety Code 6 guideline for microwave radiation emitting from wireless technology is based ONLY on thermal effects, heating of the skin. This means Canada does not have any regulations in place to protect the public from long-term exposure to the biological effects of the "non-thermal" levels of microwave radiation.

<http://www.magdahavas.com/health-canada-admits-safety-code-6-guideline-for-microwave-radiation-is-based-only-on-thermal-effects/>

On page 3, inside the Safety Code 6 document it states, "These guidelines apply to all individuals working at, or visiting, federally regulated sites and may also be adopted by the provinces, industry or other interested parties." Schools are not federally regulated sites and, therefore, SC 6 does not apply to wifi in schools. The fact that the provinces may Adopt SC 6 means that the provinces are free to set their own guidelines.

<http://www.radiationsafety.ca/wp-content/uploads/2012/06/Safety-Code-6.pdf>

In a report by Dr. Martin Pall he discusses Ken Foster and John Moulder, 2 industry affiliated scientists who, for years, have argued that there is no evidence of harm from exposure to microwave radiation. These 2 "bent" scientists have been on Health Canada panels and reviewed Safety Code 6, stating it is protective of non-thermal effects, when it applies to thermal effects only. On page 412 (8 of 12) he explains how they use poor science to help support wifi in schools, cell phones, etc <https://drive.google.com/file/d/1cxHocOxsX330O3dsOfJVLHEj2BVI3Klw/view>

Tim Singer from Health Canada responded to a letter concerning the radiation levels in our schools by stating, Health Canada is aware of concerns related to Wi-Fi in schools, such decisions are not within Health Canada's mandate. We recommend that you continue to consult your local school board or provincial authority to discuss your concerns.

The finger pointing has now gone full circle and it is obvious that Provincial Health Officer will be held accountable for willfully ignoring the overwhelming amount of scientific proof of harm.

Parents want to exercise their right to protect their children from harm and are calling on you to take immediate action to mitigate the risk and inform the School Boards throughout the province of the established health risks associated with long term exposure to microwave radiation by recommending the use of hard wired connections to access the Internet in our schools.

The burden of proof should not be put upon the public to prove that it's unsafe, but upon the industry to prove it is safe.

Sincerely,

Janis Hoffmann
Founder of Parentsforsafeschools Vancouver Island Rep. C4ST.org

Sullivan, Michelle A HLTH:EX

From: Kafeety, Amani <amani.kafeety@bccdc.ca>
Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2018 11:26 AM
To: Henry, Bonnie HLTH:EX
Cc: XT:HLTH Kosatsky, Tom
Subject: Review of cancer epidemiology update

sent on behalf of Dr. Tom Kosatsky

Hi Bonnie,

At your request I reviewed "Cancer epidemiology update....." by Anthony Miller et al, 2018.

While I am not a cancer epidemiologist, nor a specialist in the health effects of non-ionising radiation, I am familiar with the issue of RF and brain cancer through my involvement in the 2014 BCCDC-NCCEH Radiofrequency Toolkit and its update in 2016.

Miller et al present an up to date review of epidemiologic studies relating use of 3G and earlier cellphones to the incidence, and aggressiveness of gliomas, to the incidence of meningiomas and to other cancers and tumours. They offer mechanistic evidence to support their assessment that meningiomas are associated with higher levels of cellphone exposure. They also interestingly provide a mechanistic explanation for an association of brain tumours in children and cellphone use, even though the epidemiologic evidence does not support such an association.

I believe the association of meningiomas with frequent and longer duration use of 3G and earlier cellphone use is compelling, and justifies public health as well as manufacturers' advice to avoid direct contact between the phone and the user's head. Texting, Bluetooth and earphone use as well possibly the adoption of modern 4G devices would also be in line with this advice.

No direct evidence is presented to link the use of Wi-Fi routers or of devices other than cellphones with brain tumours. As well there is neither mechanistic nor epidemiologic evidence to suggest that the link to 3G cellphone use can reasonably be extrapolated to the much less intense exposure that comes from being in the vicinity of a Wi-Fi router. The evidence to date, as well as that presented in Miller et al, does not support such an assertion and is compatible with the notion of a threshold for cancer induction.

Evidence presented on outcomes other than brain tumours is scanty, and as presented is insufficient to support policy on cellphone and particularly Wi-Fi use.

Best,
Tom

Happy to discuss science and communication around RF and health at your convenience.

From: Janis Hoffmann <parentsforsafeschools@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 21, 2018 8:12 AM
Subject: The New England Journal of Medicine - Fifty Years Later: The Significance of the Nuremberg Code (signed by Canada)

Something is very wrong when parents, teachers and students have to send Government Officials, Health Officers and School Officials quotes from the Nuremberg Code to protect their children from the mandatory exposure to RF Radiation in our schools. **The Nuremberg Code** was written 20 years ago, and published in the New England Journal of Medicine to protect people from involuntary experiments.

1. The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential.

This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, overreaching, or other ulterior form of constraint or coercion; and should have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision. This latter element requires that before the acceptance of an affirmative decision by the experimental subject there should be made known to him the nature, duration, and purpose of the experiment; the method and means by which it is to be conducted; all inconveniences and hazards reasonably to be expected; and the effects upon his health or person which may possibly come from his participation in the experiment.

<https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJM199711133372006>

Tom Wheeler, FCC, promotes 5G - No regulations, no testing...

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NTDguQQK0Iw>

Huawei and TELUS to create 5G "Living Lab" in Downtown Vancouver



<https://www.newswire.ca/news-releases/huawei-and-telus-to-create-5g-living-lab-in-downtown-vancouver-541762271.html>

You are probably wondering why is the media not reporting any of this information to the public? Because the media is owned by the wireless industry giving them the opportunity to keep their secret from the public and protect their billion-dollar investment.

Letter from Parent:

To: Navdeep.Bains@parl.gc.ca

Sent: Monday, May 07, 2018 1:37 PM

Subject: Re: Response to concerns regarding the safety of radio frequency exposure

Dear Minister Bains,

Receiving scripted replies from governmental aids, is nothing short of an insult, as it implies, that we the public, are ignorant fools.

Over the last 8 years, I have read countless, peer reviewed science documents, regarding the dangers of non-ionizing radiation in two languages, and can say with certainty, that I am far more informed on this subject than Minister Bains and his uninformed aids.

Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada is indeed a captured agency, where corporate lobbyists set the tone.

s.22

s.22

s.22

I am fully aware, that government officials will not acknowledge any biological harm, because it would immediately open a floodgate of law suits, as they were negligent in public health protection, by allowing untested technology to be imposed on the unsuspecting public, through WiFi, Cell towers, and now 5G microcells to be released on the population, without any prior biological testing.

In violation of the Rio Declaration of 1992 and the Nuremberg Code, the Government of Canada, is conducting unauthorized human experiments, on a monumental scale, in collusion with the wireless industry.

Exposing the uninformed population to biologically active, non-ionizing, microwave radiation pollution, through Public WiFi, cell towers, smart meters, and now 5G microcells, is revolting.

Most shockingly, these biological unauthorized experiments extend to schools and even hospitals, where children, severely ill and frail elderly patients are fighting for their lives!

Not long ago, Health Canada endorsed asbestos, formaldehyde and DDT and considered AIDS a minor event.

Today, this very same incompetent, captured government agency, is once again failing Canadians most miserably, by endorsing, biologically active, untested wireless technology, by relying on the archaic Safety Code 6, which was intensely criticized by international science, as "*non-protective*".

This absurd reliance on Safety Code 6 allows corporations free and uncontrolled reign, irradiating people in their homes, workplaces, schools, hospitals and every public place imaginable, with biologically untested technology, absent of meaningful governmental scrutiny.

SC6, was originally intended for short visits of workers to industrial sites and was never intended for chronic, 24/7, radiation exposure, of the unborn, mothers and children, the frail and elderly, by a known toxic agent, penetrating homes, schools, and hospitals, without consent!

When have children's bedrooms and classrooms, become industrial sites?

Twenty three thousand (23.000) peer reviewed studies, proving adverse biological effects are dismissed by this government!

(Available at the University of Aachen, Germany)

Where is your *"science based, decision making"* as promised during the last election campaign?

Such incomprehensible reckless attitude and supreme arrogance, as exhibited by Health Canada and your department, constitutes a perversion of Hippocratic ethics, a lack of morality and reverence for human life.

s.22

Sullivan, Michelle A HLTH:EX

From: Janis Hoffmann <iknowjanis@shaw.ca>
Sent: Monday, December 3, 2018 2:08 PM
To: Henry, Bonnie HLTH:EX
Subject: Under the Freedom of Information Act we would like to request a copy of the CLIFF tracking numbers.

Dear Dr. Bonnie Henry,

We would like to know why your office has not responded to any of the emails we sent regarding the unanswered and ignored requests for a statement on the safety of wifi in our schools. The latest findings of the National Toxicology Program and the supporting Ramazzini Studies stating **"Clear Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity"** and the **association of cancer in the heart and brain and cell phone radiation, warrants the solicitation of a current letter re Wi-Fi safety from you** to ensure the most accurate health information and recommendations for all children were being used as a basis for sound decision making on such an important topic as our children's health and well being.

Under the Freedom of Information Act we would like to request a copy of the CLIFF tracking numbers. This would include all notes, emails to staff and their responses to all the correspondence that your office has received from Janis Hoffmann and Parents for Safe Schools.

Respectfully,

Janis Hoffmann

1225 Millstream Road

Victoria BC V9B 6J3

250-478-7976

iknowjanis@shaw.ca

parentsforsafeschools@gmail.com

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject:PRESS RELEASE - Leading Canadian Cancer Epidemiologist Says Growing Research Shows that Radiation from Wireless Devices Causes Cancer

Date:Tue, 11 Sep 2018 08:51:46 -0700

From:Janis Hoffmann

To:adrian.dix.mla@leg.bc.ca , bonnie.henry@gov.bc.ca

CC:hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca, DM.Education@gov.bc.ca, thancock@uvic.ca, tom.kosatsky@bccdc.ca, premier@gov.bc.ca, Xibiao.Ye@gov.bc.ca, Kim.Reimer@gov.bc.ca, Joanne.Stares@gov.bc.ca, Wendy.Vanderkuyl@gov.bc.ca, Aijun.Yang@gov.bc.ca, Richard.Mercer@gov.bc.ca, Yao.Nie@gov.bc.ca, hlth.pph@gov.bc.ca, hlth.dmoffice@gov.bc.ca

Waiting for a response from ANY of our Public Servants.

Re: Cancer epidemiology update, following the 2011 IARC evaluation of radiofrequency electromagnetic fields (Monograph 102)

<https://www.grnewsletters.com/archive/meg/Cancer-Alert-Sharpned-Cell-Phone-Science-is-a-Wake-up-Call-539627201.html>

It was agreed by Canada, the Precautionary Principle would be implemented when an activity raises threats of harm to human health or the environment, even if some cause and effect relationships are not fully established scientifically.

Janis Hoffmann
Parentsforsafeschools

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject:Our request for a statement on Wifi safety in our schools

Date:Sat, 25 Aug 2018 13:21:15 -0700

From:Janis Hoffmann

To:adrian.dix.mla@leg.bc.ca, hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca

CC:bonnie.henry@gov.bc.ca, educ.minister@gov.bc.ca, thancock@uvic.ca, tom.kosatsky@bccdc.ca, premier@gov.bc.ca

Dear Adrian Dix,

Unfortunately our request for a statement about the safety of wireless technology in our schools from our new Provincial Health Officer, Dr. Bonnie Henry has gone unanswered. The most current letter on file is dated July 2011, from our School Health Officer, Dr. Stanwick, who was unable to provide us with any peer-reviewed studies stating the safety of RF radiation exposure. Dr. Stanwick instead has shifted *"the responsibility for professional oversight"* to the Provincial Health Officer. Dr. Stanwick, appears to have no educational background on the biological health effects of RF radiation exposure, yet has endorsed the installation of a known 2b carcinogen listed next to lead, DDT, methyl mercury, chloroform, and car exhaust as an acceptable risk in our schools.

The latest findings of the National Toxicology Program and the supporting Ramazzini Studies stating "Clear Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity" and the association of cancer in the heart and brain and cell

phone radiation, warrants the solicitation of a current letter re Wi-Fi safety from Dr. Bonnie Henry to ensure the most accurate health information and recommendations for all children were being used as a basis for sound decision making on such an important topic as our children's health and well being.

Given the start of a new school year, parents, teachers and students are expecting a timely response to our concerns about this upcoming health crisis.

Respectfully,

Janis Hoffmann

Parentsforsafeschools

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject:Urgent Request for an Appointment

Date:Sat, 4 Aug 2018 10:29:19 -0700

From:Janis Hoffmann

To:bonnie.henry@gov.bc.ca

CC:hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca, educ.minister@gov.bc.ca

Dear Dr. Bonnie Henry,

We are disappointed that you have been unable to find time to respond to our letters asking you to implement the Precautionary Principal to protect our children's health in our schools.

We are now requesting an appointment to come to your office to discuss the simple solutions to reducing RF radiation in our classrooms.

This will be a health crisis and we can't afford to wait another school year.

Respectfully,

Janis Hoffmann

250-478-7976

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject:Re: Requesting a response to the letter from Parents, Teachers and Students April 20, 2018

Date:Thu, 31 May 2018 06:12:16 -0700

From:Janis Hoffmann

To:bonnie.henry@gov.bc.ca

CC:hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca, mike.farnworth.mla@leg.bc.ca, EDUC.Correspondence@gov.bc.ca, educ.minister@gov.bc.ca, info@healthyschoolsbc.ca, tom.kosatsky@bccdc.ca,

Dear Dr. Bonnie Henry,

We request that the BC Provincial Health Officer provide the public with an official response to the findings of the US National Toxicology Program Expert Panel who concluded that there is "**Clear Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity**" and the association of cancer in the heart and brain and cell phone radiation. This is serious health and safety matter that will cause permanent damage to thousands of children in all our public schools. Currently it is mandatory that our children be exposed RF Microwave Radiation with no way to shield themselves from this full body radiation, much like the rats used in this study.

Parents have been robbed of their right to decide if this chronic exposure, an established 2B carcinogen listed next to lead, DDT, methyl mercury, chloroform, and car exhaust is an acceptable risk or whether precautionary measures should be implemented given there is alternative simple solution.

More and more schools are applying a 'No cell phone' policy during school hours due to distractions that negatively impact learning. This has made a huge impact on the reduction of radiation exposure our children are experiencing in our classrooms. However, the removal of the industrial strength Wi-Fi routers should implemented immediately and return to hard-wired Internet, which is faster, cheaper, more reliable, more secure and safer than wireless networks.

For as little as a one time cost of \$500 US dollars every classroom would be provided with 40 Ethernet ports plus adapters for their wireless devices, costing about \$20 per child, a small price to pay compared to brain surgery, chemotherapy, radiation treatments etc.

As the Provincial Health Office you have a duty to take reasonable steps to reduce wireless radiation in our schools given the overwhelming amount of evidence of harm that concerned parents have submitted.

Respectfully,
Janis Hoffmann
Founder of Parentsforsafeschools
Vancouver Island Rep. C4ST.org

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject:Parents, teachers and students want to know why the BC Provincial Health Officer has not done her

due diligence and informed the School Boards about the health risks

Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2018 15:57:04 -0700

From: Janis Hoffmann

To: bonnie.henry@gov.bc.ca

CC: hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca, mike.farnworth.mla@leg.bc.ca, EDUC.Correspondence@gov.bc.ca,
educ.minister@gov.bc.ca, info@healthyschoolsbc.ca, tom.kosatsky@bccdc.ca,
drtheresa.tam@canada.com

Dear Dr. Bonnie Henry,

Parents, teachers and students want to know why the BC Provincial Health Officer has not done her due diligence and informed the School Boards about the health risks from the prolonged and accumulative exposure to RF radiation emitting from wireless technology in our schools.

On March 26-29, 2018, the National Toxicology Program (NTP), a 10-year study costing the US 25 million dollars, had eleven experts review the reports from the NTP's cell phone radiation studies. They concluded that exposure to cell phone radiation caused a rare cancer, malignant schwannoma in the heart, and incidences of glioma, a rare, aggressive and highly malignant brain cancer.

<https://ehtrust.org/cell-phone-radiofrequency-radiation-study/>

Conclusions were based on NTP's scale of "clear evidence", "some evidence", "equivocal evidence", and "no evidence". **Clear Evidence being conclusive.**

<https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/results/pubs/longterm/defs/index.html>

https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/about_ntp/trpanel/2018/march/actions20180328_508.pdf

"The evidence indicating wireless is carcinogenic has increased and can no longer be ignored," stated University of Toronto Dalla Lana School of Public Health Professor Emeritus Anthony B. Miller MD, Member of the Royal Colleges of Physicians of Canada and the UK, and Senior Medical Advisor to EHT who is also a long-term advisor to the World Health Organization.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?time_continue=5&v=bgGJeOVEDQs

Soon after, the Ramazzini Institute also completed the world's largest animal study on cell tower radiation and confirmed the findings of the National Toxicology Program link to Cancer. This information accompanied with the over 26,000 peer reviewed studies by scientists and medical experts <https://www.emf-portal.org/en> is more than enough evidence for you to apply the precautionary principal to protect our children.

<http://www.sbwire.com/press-releases/worlds-largest-animal-study-on-cell-tower-radiation-confirms-cancer-link-953696.htm>

In January 1998, The Wingspread Conference held a three-day academic conference where the Precautionary Principle was defined. It was agreed **the Precautionary Principle would be implemented when an activity raises threats of harm to human health or the environment, even if some cause and effect relationships are not fully established scientifically.** The Precautionary Principle shifts the burden of proof, insisting that those responsible for an activity must vouch for its harmlessness and be held responsible if damage occurs.

<http://sehn.org/wingspread-conference-on-the-precautionary-principle/>

Health is a Provincial Jurisdiction. Your duty and obligation as the Provincial Health Officer and as a Doctor is to implement the Precautionary Principle by honouring your Hippocratic Oath, "nil nocere" to do no harm and protect our children from this health crisis.

All schools now employ industrial wireless networks, exposing students and staff to ubiquitous microwave radiation without any way to shield or avoid this mandatory exposure. Parents have never been informed of the health risks or given their written consent to expose their children to microwave radiation in our schools. School Districts have refused to educate students, parents and staff on how to avoid excessive RFR exposure when handling wireless devices during class time as outlined in user manuals, requirements mandated by law.

Vancouver Island School Boards have shifted the responsibility of potential lawsuits caused by this wireless technology to their School Medical Health Officer, Dr. Stanwick, who has endorsed the installation of a known 2b carcinogen in our schools and is unable to provide parents with any peer reviewed studies stating the safety of radiation exposure. Dr. Stanwick then shifted "*the responsibility for professional oversight*" to the Provincial Health Officer.

The BC Ministry of Education has stated *they set educational standards, allocates funds and monitors student achievement but decisions regarding the planning and delivery of supports and services for all students are the responsibility of local boards of education and school district administrators. This includes decisions around exposure to RF frequencies and the use of WiFi in the classroom setting.*

The Health Minister Philpott was also unable to provide parents with peer reviewed studies stating the safety of Wifi safety in our schools and has instead **relied on Health Canada's Safety Code 6 exposure "guidelines."**

But on February 20, 2013, James McNamee, scientist for Health Canada, admitted in the Superior Court of Quebec, that the Safety Code 6 guideline for microwave radiation emitting from wireless technology is based ONLY on thermal effects, heating of the skin. This means Canada does not have any regulations in place to protect the public from long-term exposure to the biological effects of the "non-thermal" levels of microwave radiation. <http://www.magdahavas.com/health-canada-admits-safety-code-6-guideline-for-microwave-radiation-is-based-only-on-thermal-effects/>

On page 3, inside the Safety Code 6 document it states, "*These guidelines apply to all individuals working at, or visiting, federally regulated sites and may also be adopted by the provinces, industry or other interested parties.*" Schools are not federally regulated sites and, therefore, SC 6 does not apply to wifi in schools. The fact that the provinces may Adopt SC 6 means that the provinces are free to set their own guidelines.

<http://www.radiationsafety.ca/wp-content/uploads/2012/06/Safety-Code-6.pdf>

In a report by Dr. Martin Pall he discusses Ken Foster and John Moulder, 2 industry affiliated scientists who, for years, have argued that there is no evidence of harm from exposure to microwave radiation. These 2 "bent" scientists have been on Health Canada panels and reviewed Safety Code 6, stating it is protective of non-thermal effects, when it applies to thermal effects only. On page 412 (8 of 12) he explains how they use poor science to help support wifi in schools, cell phones, etc
<https://drive.google.com/file/d/1cxHocOxsX33003dsOfJVLHEj2BVl3Klw/view>

Tim Singer from Health Canada responded to a letter concerning the radiation levels in our schools by stating, *Health Canada is aware of concerns related to Wi-Fi in schools, such decisions are not within Health Canada's mandate. We recommend that you continue to consult your local school board or provincial authority to discuss your concerns.*

The finger pointing has now gone full circle and it is obvious that Provincial Health Officer will be held accountable for willfully ignoring the overwhelming amount of scientific proof of harm.

Parents want to exercise their right to protect their children from harm and are calling on you to take immediate action to mitigate the risk and inform the School Boards throughout the province of the established health risks associated with long term exposure to microwave radiation by recommending the use of hard wired connections to access the Internet in our schools.

The burden of proof should not be put upon the public to prove that it's unsafe, but upon the industry to prove it is safe.

Sincerely,

Janis Hoffmann
Founder of Parentsforsafeschools

Vancouver Island Rep. C4ST.org

Sullivan, Michelle A HLTH:EX

From: Janis Hoffmann <parentsforsafeschools@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 15, 2018 4:54 AM
Subject: We are waiting for a response from ANY of our Public Servants.

PRESS RELEASE - Leading Canadian Cancer Epidemiologist Says Growing Research Shows that Radiation from Wireless Devices Causes Cancer

Re: Cancer epidemiology update, following the 2011 IARC evaluation of radiofrequency electromagnetic fields (Monograph 102)

<https://www.grnewsletters.com/archive/meg/Cancer-Alert-Sharpned-Cell-Phone-Science-is-a-Wake-up-Call-539627201.html>

It was agreed by Canada, the Precautionary Principle would be implemented when an activity raises threats of harm to human health or the environment, even if some cause and effect relationships are not fully established scientifically.

Janis Hoffmann
Parentsforsafeschools

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject: Our request for a statement on Wifi safety in our schools

Date: Sat, 25 Aug 2018 13:21:15 -0700

From: Janis Hoffmann

To: adrian.dix.mla@leg.bc.ca, hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca

CC: bonnie.henry@gov.bc.ca, educ.minister@gov.bc.ca, thancock@uvic.ca, tom.kosatsky@bccdc.ca, premier@gov.bc.ca

Dear Adrian Dix,

Unfortunately our request for a statement about the safety of wireless technology in our schools from our new Provincial Health Officer, Dr. Bonnie Henry has gone unanswered. The most current letter on file is dated July 2011, from our School Health Officer, Dr. Stanwick, who was unable to provide us with any peer-reviewed studies stating the safety of RF radiation exposure. Dr. Stanwick instead has shifted *"the responsibility for professional oversight"* to the Provincial Health Officer. Dr. Stanwick, appears to have no educational background on the biological health effects of RF radiation exposure, yet has endorsed the installation of a known 2b carcinogen listed next to lead, DDT, methyl mercury, chloroform, and car exhaust as an acceptable risk in our schools.

The latest findings of the National Toxicology Program and the supporting Ramazzini Studies stating "Clear Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity" and the association of cancer in the heart and brain and cell phone radiation, warrants the solicitation of a current letter re Wi-Fi safety from Dr. Bonnie Henry to ensure the

most accurate health information and recommendations for all children were being used as a basis for sound decision making on such an important topic as our children's health and well being.

Given the start of a new school year, parents, teachers and students are expecting a timely response to our concerns about this upcoming health crisis.

Respectfully,

Janis Hoffmann

Parentsforsafeschools

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject:Urgent Request for an Appointment

Date:Sat, 4 Aug 2018 10:29:19 -0700

From:Janis Hoffmann

To:bonnie.henry@gov.bc.ca

CC:hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca, educ.minister@gov.bc.ca

Dear Dr. Bonnie Henry,

We are disappointed that you have been unable to find time to respond to our letters asking you to implement the Precautionary Principal to protect our children's health in our schools.

We are now requesting an appointment to come to your office to discuss the simple solutions to reducing RF radiation in our classrooms.

This will be a health crisis and we can't afford to wait another school year.

Respectfully,

Janis Hoffmann

250-478-7976

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject:Re: Requesting a response to the letter from Parents, Teachers and Students April 20, 2018

Date:Thu, 31 May 2018 06:12:16 -0700

From:Janis Hoffmann

To:bonnie.henry@gov.bc.ca

CC:hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca, mike.farnworth.mla@leg.bc.ca, EDUC.Correspondence@gov.bc.ca, educ.minister@gov.bc.ca, info@healthyschoolsbc.ca, tom.kosatsky@bccdc.ca, drtheresa.tam@canada.com, thancock@uvic.ca

Dear Dr. Bonnie Henry,

We request that the BC Provincial Health Officer provide the public with an official response to the findings of the US National Toxicology Program Expert Panel who concluded that there is "**Clear Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity**" and the association of cancer in the heart and brain and cell phone radiation. This is serious health and safety matter that will cause permanent damage to thousands of children in all our public schools. Currently it is mandatory that our children be exposed RF Microwave Radiation with no way to shield themselves from this full body radiation, much like the rats used in this study.

Parents have been robbed of their right to decide if this chronic exposure, an established 2B carcinogen listed next to lead, DDT, methyl mercury, chloroform, and car exhaust is an acceptable risk or whether precautionary measures should be implemented given there is alternative simple solution.

More and more schools are applying a 'No cell phone' policy during school hours due to distractions that negatively impact learning. This has made a huge impact on the reduction of radiation exposure our children are experiencing in our classrooms. However, the removal of the industrial strength Wi-Fi routers should be implemented immediately and return to hard-wired Internet, which is faster, cheaper, more reliable, more secure and safer than wireless networks.

For as little as a one time cost of \$500 US dollars every classroom would be provided with 40 Ethernet ports plus adapters for their wireless devices, costing about \$20 per child, a small price to pay compared to brain surgery, chemotherapy, radiation treatments etc.

As the Provincial Health Office you have a duty to take reasonable steps to reduce wireless radiation in our schools given the overwhelming amount of evidence of harm that concerned parents have submitted.

Respectfully.

Janis Hoffmann

Founder of Parentsforsafeschools

Vancouver Island Rep. C4ST.org

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject:Parents, teachers and students want to know why the BC Provincial Health Officer has not done her due diligence and informed the School Boards about the health risks

Date:Fri, 20 Apr 2018 15:57:04 -0700

From:Janis Hoffmann

To:bonnie.henry@gov.bc.ca

CC:h1th.minister@gov.bc.ca, mike.farnworth.mla@leg.bc.ca, EDUC.Correspondence@gov.bc.ca, educ.minister@gov.bc.ca, info@healthyschoolsbc.ca, tom.kosatsky@bccdc.ca, drtheresa.tam@canada.com

Dear Dr. Bonnie Henry,

Parents, teachers and students want to know why the BC Provincial Health Officer has not done her due diligence and informed the School Boards about the health risks from the prolonged and accumulative exposure to RF radiation emitting from wireless technology in our schools.

On March 26-29, 2018, the National Toxicology Program (NTP), a 10-year study costing the US 25 million dollars, had eleven experts review the reports from the NTP's cell phone radiation studies. They concluded that exposure to cell phone radiation caused a rare cancer, malignant schwannoma in the heart, and incidences of glioma, a rare, aggressive and highly malignant brain cancer.

<https://ehtrust.org/cell-phone-radiofrequency-radiation-study/>

Conclusions were based on NTP's scale of "clear evidence", "some evidence", "equivocal evidence", and "no evidence". Clear Evidence being conclusive.

<https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/results/pubs/longterm/defs/index.html>

https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/about_ntp/trpanel/2018/march/actions20180328_508.pdf

"The evidence indicating wireless is carcinogenic has increased and can no longer be ignored," stated University of Toronto Dalla Lana School of Public Health Professor Emeritus Anthony B. Miller MD, Member of the Royal Colleges of Physicians of Canada and the UK, and Senior Medical Advisor to EHT who is also a long-term advisor to the World Health Organization.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?time_continue=5&v=bgGJeOVEDQs

Soon after, the Ramazzini Institute also completed the world's largest animal study on cell tower radiation and confirmed the findings of the National Toxicology Program link to Cancer. This information accompanied with the over 26,000 peer reviewed studies by scientists and medical experts <https://www.emf-portal.org/en> is more than enough evidence for you to apply the precautionary principal to protect our children.

<http://www.sbwire.com/press-releases/worlds-largest-animal-study-on-cell-tower-radiation-confirms-cancer-link-953696.htm>

In January 1998, The Wingspread Conference held a three-day academic conference where the Precautionary Principle was defined. It was agreed the Precautionary Principle would be implemented when an activity raises threats of harm to human health or the environment, even if some cause and effect relationships are not fully established scientifically. The Precautionary Principle shifts the burden of proof, insisting that those responsible for an activity must vouch for its harmlessness and be held responsible if damage occurs.

<http://sehn.org/wingspread-conference-on-the-precautionary-principle/>

Health is a Provincial Jurisdiction. Your duty and obligation as the Provincial Health Officer and as a Doctor is to implement the Precautionary Principle by honouring your Hippocratic Oath, "nil nocere" to do no harm and protect our children from this health crisis.

All schools now employ industrial wireless networks, exposing students and staff to ubiquitous microwave radiation without any way to shield or avoid this mandatory exposure. Parents have never been informed of the health risks or given their written consent to expose their children to microwave radiation in our schools. School Districts have refused to educate students, parents and staff on how to avoid excessive RFR exposure when handling wireless devices during class time as outlined in user manuals, requirements mandated by law.

Vancouver Island School Boards have shifted the responsibility of potential lawsuits caused by this wireless technology to their School Medical Health Officer, Dr. Stanwick, who has endorsed the installation of a known 2b carcinogen in our schools and is unable to provide parents with any peer reviewed studies stating the safety of radiation exposure. Dr. Stanwick then shifted "the responsibility for professional oversight" to the Provincial Health Officer.

The BC Ministry of Education has stated they set educational standards, allocates funds and monitors student achievement but decisions regarding the planning and delivery of supports and services for all students are the responsibility of local boards of education and school district administrators. This includes decisions around exposure to RF frequencies and the use of WiFi in the classroom setting.

The Health Minister Philpott was also unable to provide parents with peer reviewed studies stating the safety of Wifi safety in our schools and has instead relied on Health Canada's Safety Code 6 exposure "guidelines."

But on February 20, 2013, James McNamee, scientist for Health Canada, admitted in the Superior Court of Quebec, that the Safety Code 6 guideline for microwave radiation emitting from wireless technology is based ONLY on thermal effects, heating of the skin. This means Canada does not have any regulations in place to protect the public from long-term exposure to the biological effects of the "non-thermal" levels of microwave radiation. <http://www.magdahavas.com/health-canada-admits-safety-code-6-guideline-for-microwave-radiation-is-based-only-on-thermal-effects/>

On page 3, inside the Safety Code 6 document it states, "These guidelines apply to all individuals working at, or visiting, federally regulated sites and may also be adopted by the provinces, industry or other interested parties." Schools are not federally regulated sites and, therefore, SC 6 does not apply to wifi in schools. The fact that the provinces may Adopt SC 6 means that the provinces are free to set their own guidelines.

<http://www.radiationsafety.ca/wp-content/uploads/2012/06/Safety-Code-6.pdf>

In a report by Dr. Martin Pall he discusses Ken Foster and John Moulder, 2 industry affiliated scientists who, for years, have argued that there is no evidence of harm from exposure to microwave radiation. These 2 "bent" scientists have been on Health Canada panels and reviewed Safety Code 6, stating it is protective of non-thermal effects, when it applies to thermal effects only. On page 412 (8 of 12) he explains how they use poor science to help support wifi in schools, cell phones, etc
<https://drive.google.com/file/d/1cxHocOxsX33OO3dsOfJVLHEj2BVl3Klw/view>

Tim Singer from Health Canada responded to a letter concerning the radiation levels in our schools by stating, Health Canada is aware of concerns related to Wi-Fi in schools, such decisions are not within Health Canada's mandate. We recommend that you continue to consult your local school board or provincial authority to discuss your concerns.

The finger pointing has now gone full circle and it is obvious that Provincial Health Officer will be held accountable for willfully ignoring the overwhelming amount of scientific proof of harm.

Parents want to exercise their right to protect their children from harm and are calling on you to take immediate action to mitigate the risk and inform the School Boards throughout the province of the established health risks associated with long term exposure to microwave radiation by recommending the use of hard wired connections to access the Internet in our schools.

The burden of proof should not be put upon the public to prove that it's unsafe, but upon the industry to prove it is safe.

Sincerely,

Janis Hoffmann
Founder of Parentsforsafeschools

Vancouver Island Rep. C4ST.org

Sullivan, Michelle A HLTH:EX

From: Lorene Benoit <Lorene@naturalpathremedies.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 5, 2018 10:02 AM
To: Henry, Bonnie HLTH:EX
Cc: Minister, HLTH HLTH:EX; Vancouver Sun Editor; editor@pentictonherald.ca; Cowichan News Leader - Pictorial; Farnworth.MLA, Mike LASS:EX; EDUC Correspondence EDUC:EX; Minister, EDUC EDUC:EX; info@healthyschoolsbc.ca; XT:HLTH Kosatsky, Tom; drtheresa.tam@canada.com; info@sd79.bc.ca; superintendent@sd68.bc.ca; community@sd61.bc.ca; Alistair MacGregor; Furstenau.MLA, Sonia LASS:EX; Nanaimo Daily News
Subject: wireless in schools?

Can someone from your office PLEASE respond and let us, concerned grandparents, past public school teacher, concerned health practitioner, and tax payers that pay your salaries, know when your office is going to respond to requests for answers and for an appointment to discuss this critical issue? We also have written on several occasions and are yet to receive a response.

This is your responsibility; the buck has been passed around for too many years!

If you are unaware of the issue, (hard to believe), please contact any of the many groups and individuals who have already written you about this, (with no response), and LEARN by reading any of the following links:

<https://ehtrust.org/cell-phone-radiofrequency-radiation-study/>

<https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/results/pubs/longterm/defs/index.html>

https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/about_ntp/trpanel/2018/march/actions20180328_508.pdf

<http://parentsforasafeschool.blogspot.com/>

"The evidence indicating wireless is carcinogenic has increased and can no longer be ignored," stated University of Toronto Dalla Lana School of Public Health Professor Emeritus Anthony B. Miller MD, Member of the Royal Colleges of Physicians of Canada and the UK, and Senior Medical Advisor to EHT who is also a long-term advisor to the World Health

over 26,000 peer reviewed studies by scientists and medical experts: <https://www.emf-portal.org/en> is more than enough evidence for you to apply the precautionary principal to protect our children.

<http://www.sbwire.com/press-releases/worlds-largest-animal-study-on-cell-tower-radiation-confirms-cancer-link-953696.htm>

Health is a Provincial Jurisdiction. **Your duty and obligation as the Provincial Health Officer** is to implement the Precautionary Principle by honouring your Hippocratic Oath, "nil nocere" to do no harm and protect our children from this health crisis.

All schools now employ industrial wireless networks, exposing students and staff to ubiquitous microwave radiation without any way to shield or avoid this mandatory exposure. Parents have never been informed of the health risks or given their written consent to expose their children to microwave radiation in our schools. School Districts have refused to educate students, parents and staff on how to avoid excessive RFR exposure when handling wireless devices during class time as outlined in user manuals, requirements mandated by law.

<http://www.radiationsafety.ca/wp-content/uploads/2012/06/Safety-Code-6.pdf>

<https://drive.google.com/file/d/1cxHocOxsX33OO3dsOfJVLHEj2BVI3Klw/view>

The finger pointing has gone full circle and it is obvious that the Provincial Health Officer will be held accountable for willfully ignoring the overwhelming amount of scientific proof of harm.

--
Benoit & Associates Health Education
Lorene Benoit, MHH, BEd, CHC, CRA,
FBenoit BScNursing, NHC, LChabot HCA

Website: NaturalPathRemedies.com
Nature's Sunshine: supplement information, free health assessment, webinars & more: <http://TakeTheNaturalPath.mynsp.com/>
Phone: 250-748-6802

Lorene Benoit's book to create optimum health: **The Paw Paw Program - A "Christopher Columbus" Approach to Cancer - The World IS Round and Cancer CAN Be Treated Naturally**

Take The Natural Path to Your Health - You ARE Worth It !

MO-Ministers Office

Referral Slip for ID:1114501

2018/12/20

Log Type: Email	Action: FYI
Batch: Frequent Writer - Janis Hoffmann	Subaction:

<i>Type:</i> Private Citizen	<i>Incoming Written:</i> 2018/04/26	
Janis Hoffman	<i>Received:</i> 2018/04/26	<i>File No.:</i>
<i>Email:</i> parentsforsafeschools@gmail.com	<i>Interim:</i>	<i>Entered By:</i> eauthier
	<i>Closed:</i>	

<i>Address To:</i> Minister of Health	<i>Keyword:</i>
<i>Copy To:</i>	<i>Issue:</i> Miscellaneous
<i>Div Resp.:</i> CorpOps	<i>Drafter:</i>
<i>Branch Rsp:</i> CorpOps	
<i>HAHSDA:</i>	

Subject
 Writer making comments about dangers of cell phones, Monsanto, Cancer, wireless radiation

MO-Ministers Office

Referral Slip for ID: 1124521

2018/12/20

Log Type: Email	Action: FYI
Batch: Frequent Writer - Janis Hoffmann	Subaction:

Type: Private Citizen
Janis Hoffman

Incoming Written: 2018/11/03
Received: 2018/11/05

File No.:

Email: parentsforsafeschools@gmail.com

Entered By: epearl

Interim:

Closed:

Address To: Minister of Health

Keyword:

Copy To:

Issue: Miscellaneous

Div Resp.: CorpOps

Drafter:

Branch Rsp: CorpOps

HA/HSDA:

Subject

Writer making comments about dangers of cell phones, Monsanto, Cancer, wireless radiation

MO-Ministers Office

Referral Slip for ID:1124522

2018/12/20

Log Type: Email	Action: FYI
Batch: Frequent Writer - Janis Hoffmann	Subaction:

Type: Private Citizen	Incoming Written: 2018/11/03	File No.:
Janis Hoffman	Received: 2018/11/05	Entered By: cpeam
Email: parentsforsafeschools@gmail.com	Interim:	
	Closed:	

Address To: Minister of Health	Keyword:
Copy To:	Issue: Miscellaneous
Div Resp.: CorpOps	Drafter:
Branch Rsp: CorpOps	
HA/HSDA:	

Subject
 Writer making comments about dangers of cell phones, Monsanto, Cancer, wireless radiation

MO-Ministers Office

Referral Slip for ID:1124524

2018/12/20

Log Type: Email		Action: FYI
Batch: Frequent Writer - Janis Hoffmann		Subaction:
Type: Private Citizen	Incoming Written: 2018/10/19	
Janis Hoffman	Received: 2018/10/22	File No.:
Email: parentsforsafeschools@gmail.com	Interim:	Entered By: epcarn
	Closed:	
Address To: Minister of Health	Keyword:	
Copy To:	Issue: Miscellaneous	
Div Resp.: CorpOps	Drafter:	
Branch Rsp: CorpOps		
HA/HSDA:		
Subject		
Writer making comments about dangers of cell phones, Monsanto, Cancer, wireless radiation		

From: Janis Hoffmann
To: Dix,MLA, Adrian LASS:EX; Henry, Bonnie HLTH:EX
Cc: Minister, HLTH HLTH:EX; EDUC DM EDUC:EX; XT:Hancock, Trevor HLTH:IN; XT:HLTH Kosatsky, Tom; OfficeofthePremier, Office PREM:EX; Ye, Xibiao HLTH:EX; Reimer, Kim HLTH:EX; Stares, Joanne HLTH:EX; Vander Kuyf, Wendy HLTH:EX; Yang, Aijun J HLTH:EX; Mercer, Richard HLTH:EX; Nie, Yao HLTH:EX; Population and Public Health HLTH:EX; DMOFFICE, HLTH HLTH:EX
Subject: 1121040-Hoffmann 2nd Incoming
Date: Tuesday, September 11, 2018 9:08:56 AM

HLTH MO fyi to PHO – ss – Add as 2nd incoming to CLIFF from Janis Hoffmann dated Aug 25/18 @ 13:21 pm once created; Xref 1113177/1099989

Waiting for a response from ANY of our Public Servants.

Re: Cancer epidemiology update, following the 2011 IARC evaluation of radiofrequency electromagnetic fields (Monograph 102)

<https://www.grnewsletters.com/archivc/mcg/Cancercr-Alert-Sharpned-Ccell-Phone-Science-is-a-Wake-up-Call-539627201.html>

It was agreed **by Canada, the Precautionary Principle would be implemented when an activity raises threats of harm to human health or the environment, even if some cause and effect relationships are not fully established scientifically.**

Janis Hoffmann
Parentsforsafeschools

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject:Our request for a statement on Wifi safety in our schools

Date:Sat, 25 Aug 2018 13:21:15 -0700

From:Janis Hoffmann

To:adrian.dix.inla@leg.bc.ca, hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca

CC:bonnie.henry@gov.bc.ca, educ.minister@gov.bc.ca, thancock@uvic.ca, tom.kosatsky@bccdc.ca, premier@gov.bc.ca

Dear Adrian Dix,

Unfortunately our request for a statement about the safety of wireless technology in our schools from our new Provincial Health Officer, Dr. Bonnie Henry has gone unanswered. The most current letter on file is dated July 2011, from our School Health Officer, Dr. Stanwick, who was unable to provide us with any peer-reviewed studies stating the safety of RF radiation exposure. Dr. Stanwick instead has shifted *“the responsibility for professional oversight”* to the Provincial Health Officer. Dr. Stanwick, appears to have no educational background on the biological health effects of RF radiation exposure, yet has endorsed the installation of a known 2b carcinogen listed next to lead, DDT, methyl mercury, chloroform, and car exhaust as an acceptable risk in our schools.

The latest findings of the National Toxicology Program and the supporting Ramazzini Studies stating *“Clear Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity”* and the association of cancer in

the heart and brain and cell phone radiation, warrants the solicitation of a current letter re Wi-Fi safety from Dr. Bonnie Henry to ensure the most accurate health information and recommendations for all children were being used as a basis for sound decision making on such an important topic as our children's health and well being.

Given the start of a new school year, parents, teachers and students are expecting a timely response to our concerns about this upcoming health crisis.

Respectfully,

Janis Hoffmann

Parentsforsafeschools

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject:Urgent Request for an Appointment

Date:Sat, 4 Aug 2018 10:29:19 -0700

From:Janis Hoffmann

To:bonnie.henry@gov.bc.ca

CC:hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca, educ.minister@gov.bc.ca

Dear Dr. Bonnie Henry,

We are disappointed that you have been unable to find time to respond to our letters asking you to implement the Precautionary Principal to protect our children's health in our schools.

We are now requesting an appointment to come to your office to discuss the simple solutions to reducing RF radiation in our classrooms.

This will be a health crisis and we can't afford to wait another school year.

Respectfully,

Janis Hoffmann

250-478-7976

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject:Re: Requesting a response to the letter from Parents, Teachers and Students April 20, 2018

Date:Thu, 31 May 2018 06:12:16 -0700

From:Janis Hoffmann

To:bonnie.henry@gov.bc.ca

CC:hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca, mike.farnworth.mla@leg.bc.ca, EDUC.Correspondence@gov.bc.ca, educ.minister@gov.bc.ca, info@healthyschoolsbc.ca, tom.kosatsky@bccdc.ca, drtheresa.tam@canada.com, thancock@uvic.ca

Dear Dr. Bonnie Henry,

We request that the BC Provincial Health Officer provide the public with an official response to the findings of the US National Toxicology Program Expert Panel who

concluded that there is “**Clear Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity**” and the association of cancer in the heart and brain and cell phone radiation. This is serious health and safety matter that will cause permanent damage to thousands of children in all our public schools. Currently it is mandatory that our children be exposed RF Microwave Radiation with no way to shield themselves from this full body radiation, much like the rats used in this study.

Parents have been robbed of their right to decide if this chronic exposure, an established 2B carcinogen listed next to lead, DDT, methyl mercury, chloroform, and car exhaust is an acceptable risk or whether precautionary measures should be implemented given there is alternative simple solution.

More and more schools are applying a 'No cell phone' policy during school hours due to distractions that negatively impact learning. This has made a huge impact on the reduction of radiation exposure our children are experiencing in our classrooms. However, the removal of the industrial strength Wi-Fi routers should implemented immediately and return to hard-wired Internet, which is faster, cheaper, more reliable, more secure and safer than wireless networks.

For as little as a one time cost of \$500 US dollars every classroom would be provided with 40 Ethernet ports plus adapters for their wireless devices, costing about \$20 per child, a small price to pay compared to brain surgery, chemotherapy, radiation treatments etc.

As the Provincial Health Office you have a duty to take reasonable steps to reduce wireless radiation in our schools given the overwhelming amount of evidence of harm that concerned parents have submitted.

Respectfully.

Janis Hoffmann

Founder of Parentsforsafeschools

Vancouver Island Rep. C4ST.org

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject:Parents, teachers and students want to know why the BC Provincial Health Officer has not done her due diligence and informed the School Boards about the health risks

Date:Fri, 20 Apr 2018 15:57:04 -0700

From:Janis Hoffmann

To:bonnie.henry@gov.bc.ca

CC:hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca, mike.farnworth.mla@leg.bc.ca, EDUC.Correspondence@gov.bc.ca, educ.minister@gov.bc.ca, info@healthyschoolsbc.ca, tom.kosatsky@bccdc.ca, drtheresa.tam@canada.com

Dear Dr. Bonnie Henry,

Parents, teachers and students want to know why the BC Provincial Health Officer has not done her due diligence and informed the School Boards about the health risks from the prolonged and accumulative exposure to RF radiation emitting from wireless technology in our schools.

On March 26-29, 2018, the National Toxicology Program (NTP), a 10-year study costing the US 25 million dollars, had eleven experts review the reports from the NTP's cell phone radiation studies. They concluded that exposure to cell

phone radiation caused a rare cancer, malignant schwannoma in the heart, and incidences of glioma, a rare, aggressive and highly malignant brain cancer.

<https://ehtrust.org/cell-phone-radiofrequency-radiation-study/>

Conclusions were based on NTP's scale of "clear evidence", "some evidence", "equivocal evidence", and "no evidence". **Clear Evidence being conclusive.**

<https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/results/pubs/longterm/defs/index.html>

https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/about_ntp/trpanel/2018/march/actions20180328_508.pdf

"The evidence indicating wireless is carcinogenic has increased and can no longer be ignored," stated University of Toronto Dalla Lana School of Public Health Professor Emeritus Anthony B. Miller MD, Member of the Royal Colleges of Physicians of Canada and the UK, and Senior Medical Advisor to EHT who is also a long-term advisor to the World Health Organization. https://www.youtube.com/watch?time_continue=5&v=bgGJeOVEDQs

Soon after, the Ramazzini Institute also completed the world's largest animal study on cell tower radiation and confirmed the findings of the National Toxicology Program link to Cancer. This information accompanied with the over 26,000 peer reviewed studies by scientists and medical experts <https://www.emf-portal.org/en> is more than enough evidence for you to apply the precautionary principle to protect our children. <http://www.sbwire.com/press-releases/worlds-largest-animal-study-on-cell-tower-radiation-confirms-cancer-link-953696.htm>

In January 1998, The Wingspread Conference held a three-day academic conference where the Precautionary Principle was defined. It was agreed **the Precautionary Principle would be implemented when an activity raises threats of harm to human health or the environment, even if some cause and effect relationships are not fully established scientifically.** The Precautionary Principle shifts the burden of proof, insisting that those responsible for an activity must vouch for its harmlessness and be held responsible if damage occurs. <http://sehn.org/wingspread-conference-on-the-precautionary-principle/>

Health is a Provincial Jurisdiction. Your duty and obligation as the Provincial Health Officer and as a Doctor is to implement the Precautionary Principle by honouring your Hippocratic Oath, "nil nocere" to do no harm and protect our children from this health crisis.

All schools now employ industrial wireless networks, exposing students and staff to ubiquitous microwave radiation without any way to shield or avoid this mandatory exposure. Parents have never been informed of the health risks or given their written consent to expose their children to microwave radiation in our schools. School Districts have refused to educate students, parents and staff on how to avoid excessive RFR exposure when handling wireless devices during class time as outlined in user manuals, requirements mandated by law.

Vancouver Island School Boards have shifted the responsibility of potential lawsuits caused by this wireless technology **to their School Medical Health Officer, Dr. Stanwick**, who has

endorsed the installation of a known 2b carcinogen in our schools and is unable to provide parents with any peer reviewed studies stating the safety of radiation exposure. **Dr. Stanwick then shifted “the responsibility for professional oversight” to the Provincial Health Officer.**

The BC Ministry of Education has stated *they set educational standards, allocates funds and monitors student achievement but decisions regarding the planning and delivery of supports and services for all students are **the responsibility of local boards of education and school district administrators.** This includes decisions around exposure to RF frequencies and the use of WiFi in the classroom setting.*

The Health Minister Philpott was also unable to provide parents with peer reviewed studies stating the safety of Wifi safety in our schools and has instead **relied on Health Canada’s Safety Code 6 exposure “guidelines.”**

But on February 20, 2013, James McNamee, scientist for Health Canada, admitted in the Superior Court of Quebec, that the Safety Code 6 guideline for microwave radiation emitting from wireless technology is based ONLY on thermal effects, heating of the skin. This means Canada does not have any regulations in place to protect the public from long-term exposure to the biological effects of the “non-thermal” levels of microwave radiation.

http://www.magdahavas.com/health_canada_admits_safety_code_6_guideline_for_microwave-radiation-is-based-only-on-thermal-effects/

On page 3, inside the Safety Code 6 document it states, *“These guidelines apply to all individuals working at, or visiting, federally regulated sites and may also be adopted by the provinces, industry or other interested parties.”* Schools are not federally regulated sites and, therefore, SC 6 does not apply to wifi in schools. The fact that the provinces may Adopt SC 6 means that the provinces are free to set their own guidelines.

<http://www.radiationsafety.ca/wp-content/uploads/2012/06/Safety-Code-6.pdf>

In a report by Dr. Martin Pall he discusses Ken Foster and John Moulder, 2 industry affiliated scientists who, for years, have argued that there is no evidence of harm from exposure to microwave radiation. These 2 “bent” scientists have been on Health Canada panels and reviewed Safety Code 6, stating it is protective of non-thermal effects, when it applies to thermal effects only. On page 412 (8 of 12) he explains how they use poor science to help support wifi in schools, cell phones, etc

<https://drive.google.com/file/d/1cxHocOxsX33OO3dsOfJVLHEj2BVI3Klw/view>

Tim Singer from Health Canada responded to a letter concerning the radiation levels in our schools by stating, *Health Canada is aware of concerns related to Wi-Fi in schools, such decisions are not within Health Canada’s mandate. We recommend that you continue to **consult your local school board or provincial authority** to discuss your concerns.*

The finger pointing has now gone full circle and it is obvious that Provincial Health Officer

will be held accountable for willfully ignoring the overwhelming amount of scientific proof of harm.

Parents want to exercise their right to protect their children from harm and are calling on you to take immediate action to mitigate the risk and inform the School Boards throughout the province of the established health risks associated with long term exposure to microwave radiation by recommending the use of hard wired connections to access the Internet in our schools.

The burden of proof should not be put upon the public to prove that it's unsafe, but upon **the industry to prove it is safe.**

Sincerely,

Janis Hoffmann

Founder of Parentsforsafeschools Vancouver Island Rep. C4ST.org

From: [Janis Hoffmann](#)
To: [Dix, MLA, Adrian LASS:EX; Minister, HLTH HLTH:EX](#)
Cc: [Henry, Bonnie HLTH:EX; Minister, EDUC EDUC:EX; XT:Hancock, Trevor HLTH:IN; XT:HLTH Kosatsky, Tom; OfficeofthePremier, Office PREM:EX](#)
Subject: 1121040-Hoffman-WiFi in Schools
Date: Saturday, August 25, 2018 1:36:23 PM
Attachments: [BC Health Officer2.pdf](#)

HLTH MO to PHO – jp – XREF 1113177/1099989

Dear Adrian Dix,

Unfortunately our request for a statement about the safety of wireless technology in our schools from our new Provincial Health Officer, Dr. Bonnie Henry has gone unanswered. The most current letter on file is dated July 2011, from our School Health Officer, Dr. Stanwick, who was unable to provide us with any peer-reviewed studies stating the safety of RF radiation exposure. Dr. Stanwick instead has shifted *“the responsibility for professional oversight”* to the Provincial Health Officer. Dr. Stanwick, appears to have no educational background on the biological health effects of RF radiation exposure, yet has endorsed the installation of a known 2b carcinogen listed next to lead, DDT, methyl mercury, chloroform, and car exhaust as an acceptable risk in our schools. The latest findings of the National Toxicology Program and the supporting Ramzzuini Studies stating “Clear Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity” and the association of cancer in the heart and brain and cell phone radiation, warrants the solicitation of a current letter re Wi-Fi safety from Dr. Bonnie Henry to ensure the most accurate health information and recommendations for all children were being used as a basis for sound decision making on such an important topic as our children’s health and well being. Given the start of a new school year, parents, teachers and students are expecting a timely response to our concerns about this upcoming health crisis.

Respectfully,

Janis Hoffmann

Parentsforsafeschools

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject:Urgent Request for an Appointment

Date:Sat, 4 Aug 2018 10:29:19 -0700

From:Janis Hoffmann

To:bonnie.henry@gov.bc.ca

CC:hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca, educ.minister@gov.bc.ca

Dear Dr. Bonnie Henry,

We are disappointed that you have been unable to find time to respond to our letters asking you to implement the Precautionary Principal to protect our children’s health in our schools.

We are now requesting an appointment to come to your office to discuss the simple solutions to reducing RF radiation in our classrooms.

This will be a health crisis and we can't afford to wait another school year.

Respectfully,

Janis Hoffmann

250-478-7976

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject:Re: Requesting a response to the letter from Parents, Teachers and Students April 20, 2018

Date:Thu, 31 May 2018 06:12:16 -0700

From:Janis Hoffmann

To:bonnie.henry@gov.bc.ca

CC:hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca, mike.farnworth.mla@leg.bc.ca, EDUC.Correspondence@gov.bc.ca, educ.minister@gov.bc.ca, info@healthyschoolsbc.ca, tom.kosatsky@bccdc.ca, drtheresa.tam@canada.com, thancock@uvic.ca

Dear Dr. Bonnie Henry,

We request that the BC Provincial Health Officer provide the public with an official response to the findings of the US National Toxicology Program Expert Panel who concluded that there is "**Clear Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity**" and the association of cancer in the heart and brain and cell phone radiation. This is serious health and safety matter that will cause permanent damage to thousands of children in all our public schools. Currently it is mandatory that our children be exposed RF Microwave Radiation with no way to shield themselves from this full body radiation, much like the rats used in this study.

Parents have been robbed of their right to decide if this chronic exposure, an established 2B carcinogen listed next to lead, DDT, methyl mercury, chloroform, and car exhaust is an acceptable risk or whether precautionary measures should be implemented given there is alternative simple solution.

More and more schools are applying a 'No cell phone' policy during school hours due to distractions that negatively impact learning. This has made a huge impact on the reduction of radiation exposure our children are experiencing in our classrooms. However, the removal of the industrial strength Wi-Fi routers should implemented

immediately and return to hard-wired Internet, which is faster, cheaper, more reliable, more secure and safer than wireless networks.

For as little as a one time cost of \$500 US dollars every classroom would be provided with 40 Ethernet ports plus adapters for their wireless devices, costing about \$20 per child, a small price to pay compared to brain surgery, chemotherapy, radiation treatments etc.

As the Provincial Health Office you have a duty to take reasonable steps to reduce wireless radiation in our schools given the overwhelming amount of evidence of harm that concerned parents have submitted.

Respectfully,

Janis Hoffmann

Founder of Parentsforsafeschools

Vancouver Island Rep. C4ST.org

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject:Parents, teachers and students want to know why the BC Provincial Health Officer has not done her due diligence and informed the School Boards about the health risks

Date:Fri, 20 Apr 2018 15:57:04 -0700

From:Janis Hoffmann

To:bonnie.henry@gov.bc.ca

CC:hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca, mike.farnworth.mla@leg.bc.ca, EDUC.Correspondence@gov.bc.ca, educ.minister@gov.bc.ca, info@healthyschoolsbc.ca, tom.kosatsky@bccdc.ca, drtheresa.tam@canada.com

Dear Dr. Bonnie Henry,

Parents, teachers and students want to know why the BC Provincial Health Officer has not done her due diligence and informed the School Boards about the health risks from the prolonged and accumulative exposure to RF radiation emitting from wireless technology in our schools.

On March 26-29, 2018, the National Toxicology Program (NTP), a 10-year study costing the US 25 million dollars, had eleven experts review the reports from the NTP's cell phone radiation studies. They concluded that exposure to cell phone radiation caused a rare cancer, malignant schwannoma in the heart, and incidences of glioma, a rare, aggressive and highly malignant brain cancer.

<https://ehtrust.org/cell-phone-radiofrequency-radiation-study/>

Conclusions were based on NTP's scale of "clear evidence", "some evidence", "equivocal evidence", and "no evidence". **Clear Evidence being conclusive.**

<https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/results/pubs/longterm/defs/index.html>

https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/about_ntp/trpanel/2018/march/actions20180328_508.pdf

"The evidence indicating wireless is carcinogenic has increased and can no longer be

ignored," stated University of Toronto Dalla Lana School of Public Health Professor Emeritus Anthony B. Miller MD, Member of the Royal Colleges of Physicians of Canada and the UK, and Senior Medical Advisor to EHT who is also a long-term advisor to the World Health Organization. https://www.youtube.com/watch?time_continue=5&v=bgGJeOVEdQs

Soon after, the Ramazzini Institute also completed the world's largest animal study on cell tower radiation and confirmed the findings of the National Toxicology Program link to Cancer. This information accompanied with the over 26,000 peer reviewed studies by scientists and medical experts <https://www.emf-portal.org/en> is more than enough evidence for you to apply the precautionary principal to protect our children. <http://www.sbwire.com/press-releases/worlds-largest-animal-study-on-cell-tower-radiation-confirms-cancer-link-953696.htm>

In January 1998, The Wingspread Conference held a three-day academic conference where the Precautionary Principle was defined. It was agreed **the Precautionary Principle would be implemented when an activity raises threats of harm to human health or the environment, even if some cause and effect relationships are not fully established scientifically.** The Precautionary Principle shifts the burden of proof, insisting that those responsible for an activity must vouch for its harmlessness and be held responsible if damage occurs. <http://sehn.org/wingspread-conference-on-the-precautionary-principle/>

Health is a Provincial Jurisdiction. Your duty and obligation as the Provincial Health Officer and as a Doctor is to implement the Precautionary Principle by honouring your Hippocratic Oath, "nil nocere" to do no harm and protect our children from this health crisis.

All schools now employ industrial wireless networks, exposing students and staff to ubiquitous microwave radiation without any way to shield or avoid this mandatory exposure. Parents have never been informed of the health risks or given their written consent to expose their children to microwave radiation in our schools. School Districts have refused to educate students, parents and staff on how to avoid excessive RFR exposure when handling wireless devices during class time as outlined in user manuals, requirements mandated by law.

Vancouver Island School Boards have shifted the responsibility of potential lawsuits caused by this wireless technology **to their School Medical Health Officer, Dr. Stanwick**, who has endorsed the installation of a known 2b carcinogen in our schools and is unable to provide parents with any peer reviewed studies stating the safety of radiation exposure. **Dr. Stanwick then shifted "the responsibility for professional oversight" to the Provincial Health Officer.**

The BC Ministry of Education has stated *they set educational standards, allocates funds and monitors student achievement but decisions regarding the planning and delivery of supports and services for all students are the responsibility of local boards of education and school district administrators.* This includes decisions around exposure to RF frequencies and the use of WiFi in the classroom setting.

The Health Minister Philpott was also unable to provide parents with peer reviewed studies

stating the safety of Wifi safety in our schools and has instead **relied on Health Canada's Safety Code 6 exposure "guidelines."**

But on February 20, 2013, James McNamee, scientist for Health Canada, admitted in the Superior Court of Quebec, that the Safety Code 6 guideline for microwave radiation emitting from wireless technology is based ONLY on thermal effects, heating of the skin. This means Canada does not have any regulations in place to protect the public from long-term exposure to the biological effects of the "non-thermal" levels of microwave radiation.

<http://www.magdahavas.com/health-canada-admits-safety-code-6-guideline-for-microwave-radiation-is-based-only-on-thermal-effects/>

On page 3, inside the Safety Code 6 document it states, *"These guidelines apply to all individuals working at, or visiting, federally regulated sites and may also be adopted by the provinces, industry or other interested parties."* Schools are not federally regulated sites and, therefore, SC 6 does not apply to wifi in schools. The fact that the provinces may Adopt SC 6 means that the provinces are free to set their own guidelines.

<http://www.radiationsafety.ca/wp-content/uploads/2012/06/Safety-Code-6.pdf>

In a report by Dr. Martin Pall he discusses Ken Foster and John Moulder, 2 industry affiliated scientists who, for years, have argued that there is no evidence of harm from exposure to microwave radiation. These 2 "bent" scientists have been on Health Canada panels and reviewed Safety Code 6, stating it is protective of non-thermal effects, when it applies to thermal effects only. On page 412 (8 of 12) he explains how they use poor science to help support wifi in schools, cell phones, etc

<https://drive.google.com/file/d/1cxHocOxsX33OO3dsOfjVLHEj2BVI3Klw/view>

Tim Singer from Health Canada responded to a letter concerning the radiation levels in our schools by stating, *Health Canada is aware of concerns related to Wi-Fi in schools, such decisions are not within Health Canada's mandate. We recommend that you continue to consult your local school board or provincial authority to discuss your concerns.*

The finger pointing has now gone full circle and it is obvious that Provincial Health Officer will be held accountable for willfully ignoring the overwhelming amount of scientific proof of harm.

Parents want to exercise their right to protect their children from harm and are calling on you to take immediate action to mitigate the risk and inform the School Boards throughout the province of the established health risks associated with long term exposure to microwave radiation by recommending the use of hard wired connections to access the Internet in our schools.

The burden of proof should not be put upon the public to prove that it's unsafe, but upon **the industry to prove it is safe.**

Sincerely,

Janis Hoffmann

Founder of Parentsforsafeschools Vancouver Island Rep. C4ST.org

ID	Authors	Subject	Log Type	Action
		Parents, teachers, and students want to know why the BC Provincial Health Officer has not done her due diligence and informed the School Boards about the health risks.		
1113177	Hoffmann, Janis	XREF:1099989	Email	FYI-PC
1114500	Hoffmann, Janis	Writer making comments about dangers of cell phones, Monsanto, Cancer, wireless radiation	Email	FYI
1114501	Hoffman, Janis	Writer making comments about dangers of cell phones, Monsanto, Cancer, wireless radiation	Email	FYI
1114502	Hoffmann, Janis	Writer making comments about dangers of cell phones, Monsanto, Cancer, wireless radiation	Email	FYI
1114503	Hoffmann, Janis	Writer making comments about dangers of cell phones, Monsanto, Cancer, wireless radiation	Email	FYI
1114504	Hoffmann, Janis	Writer making comments about dangers of cell phones, Monsanto, Cancer, wireless radiation	Email	FYI
1114505	Hoffmann, Janis	Writer making comments about dangers of cell phones, Monsanto, Cancer, wireless radiation	Email	FYI
1114506	Hoffmann, Janis	Writer making comments about dangers of cell phones, Monsanto, Cancer, wireless radiation	Email	FYI
1115015	Hoffmann, Janis	Writer making comments about dangers of cell phones, Monsanto, Cancer, wireless radiation	Email	FYI
1115643	s. 22	Reiterating Janis Hoffmann email for requesting a response to the letter from Parents, Teachers and Students April 20, 2018	Email	FYI-PC
1121026	Hoffmann, Janis	Writer making comments about dangers of cell phones, Monsanto, Cancer, wireless radiation	Email	FYI
1121027	Hoffmann, Janis	Writer making comments about dangers of cell phones, Monsanto, Cancer, wireless radiation	Email	FYI
1121028	Hoffmann, Janis	Writer making comments about dangers of cell phones, Monsanto, Cancer, wireless radiation	Email	FYI
1121029	Hoffmann, Janis	Writer making comments about dangers of cell phones, Monsanto, Cancer, wireless radiation	Email	FYI
1121030	Hoffmann, Janis	Writer making comments about dangers of cell phones, Monsanto, Cancer, wireless radiation	Email	FYI
1121031	Hoffmann, Janis	Writer making comments about dangers of cell phones, Monsanto, Cancer, wireless radiation	Email	FYI
1121032	Hoffmann, Janis	Writer making comments about dangers of cell phones, Monsanto, Cancer, wireless radiation	Email	FYI
1121033	Hoffmann, Janis	Writer making comments about dangers of cell phones, Monsanto, Cancer, wireless radiation	Email	FYI
1121034	Hoffmann, Janis	Writer making comments about dangers of cell phones, Monsanto, Cancer, wireless radiation	Email	FYI
1121036	Hoffmann, Janis	Writer making comments about dangers of cell phones, Monsanto, Cancer, wireless radiation	Email	FYI
1121037	Hoffmann, Janis	Writer making comments about dangers of cell phones, Monsanto, Cancer, wireless radiation	Email	FYI
1121038	Hoffmann, Janis	Writer making comments about dangers of cell phones, Monsanto, Cancer, wireless radiation	Email	FYI
1121040	Hoffmann, Janis	WiFi in Schools	Email	Assign to Division
1124521	Hoffman, Janis	Writer making comments about dangers of cell phones, Monsanto, Cancer, wireless radiation	Email	FYI
1124522	Hoffman, Janis	Writer making comments about dangers of cell phones, Monsanto, Cancer, wireless radiation	Email	FYI
1124523	Hoffman, Janis	Writer making comments about dangers of cell phones, Monsanto, Cancer, wireless radiation	Email	FYI
1124524	Hoffman, Janis	Writer making comments about dangers of cell phones, Monsanto, Cancer, wireless radiation	Email	FYI